

Southern California Joint Pole Committee

437 So. Cataract Ave. Unit 3

San Dimas, CA 91773

Phone (909) 592-4001

Fax (909) 592-4636

January 17, 2007

A regular meeting of the **Administrative Board** took place on the above date, at 9:00 a.m., at the Committee office. Those in attendance were:

Ms. Sherri Goetz	Southern California Edison
Mr. Adolph Gonzales	Southern California Edison
Mr. Varghese George	City of Glendale
Mr. Roger Ohms	City of Banning
Ms. Paula Haney	NextG Networks
Ms. Kourtney Aboudara	NextG Networks
Mr. Don Beckermann	MCI Telecommunications
Mr. Tibor Laky	Sprint Comm.
Mr. David Patterson	City of Azusa
Mr. Justin Cashmer	Verizon California (teleconference)
Mr. Malcolm Brown	Verizon Wireless
Ms. Lynn Prescott	Verizon Wireless
Mr. Mahendra Garg	City of Anaheim
Ms. Sue Thomas	Sprint PCS
Ms. Lupe Hernandez	Cingular Wireless
Mr. Steve Rodriguez	T-Mobile USA
Mr. Sheldon Cox	City of Pasadena
Mr. Daniel Lippert	City of Burbank
Mr. Rich Freyre	City of Los Angeles (DWP)
Ms. Velma Prouty	AT&T California
Ms. Jennie Corella	Committee Staff

CALL TO ORDER:

Vice President, Mr. Mahendra Garg opened the meeting at 9:05 am, and allowed for introductions.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Mr. Garg asked the members to review the January 17, 2007 Board minutes for any additions, or corrections. Ms. Hernandez requested a revision in verbiage to the unknown items section, outcome of meeting between SCE and LAC. Ms. Corella would work with Ms. Hernandez to revise the minutes. Mr. Garg solicited a motion to approve the minutes. Ms. Hernandez motioned to approve the minutes as corrected. Mr. Cox seconded the motion, which upon voting passed unanimously.

Mr. Brown inquired into the issue of wind loading mentioned in the November 2006 Board minutes, and if this issue has been assigned an item number. Ms. Corella responded that Mr. Chow of SCE had raised the issue. There is no item number assigned to wind loading, however, she believes that Mr. Chow would be bringing the issue to the next Routine Revision ad hoc meeting.

MANAGER'S REPORT

Ms. Corella reported that the gross operating expenses for the month of December 2006 totaled \$57,605.90. The members received a credit against their share of monthly assessments due to the Banning initial payment. Ms. Corella added in that the SCJPC is non-profit, any monies received must be applied against operating expenses.

COMMUNICATIONS:

Royal Street

Ms. Corella reported that she received a non-disclosure agreement (NDA) form from Royal Street. Royal Street is requesting the committee sign this agreement prior to submitting their audited financial statement. She distributed copies to the members for their review. The members reviewed, and discussed the NDA. Mr. Laky noted that in the disclosure, under section 11-Choice of Law is the state of Texas. The consensus is to submit the NDA to the committee lawyer for his review and direction, prior to signing this disclosure statement.

SPECIAL REPORTS

There were no special reports.

SUB-COMMITTEE REPORTS:

ad hoc Committee on Authorized Cost Elements

In the absence of Mr. Allen, Ms. Corella reported that Authorized Costs Elements ad hoc committee met early in the month. She stated that the ad hoc would be discussing the authorized costs matrix under the discussion calendar of the current agenda.

Operating Committee

Mr. Garg reported that the Operating committee met in November 2006, and to please refer to the minutes for details.

ad hoc Committee on Reorganization

Chairperson, Ms. Prouty reported that Reorganization did not meet in November 2006.

Routine Revision Committee

Chairperson, Ms. Prescott reported that the Routine Revision ad hoc met earlier in the month. She reported that the major topic of the meeting was the volume of Form 7's submitted to the JPC office. This discussion is scheduled for later in the meeting.

Computer Communications ad hoc Committee

Ms. Corella reported that ad hoc Computer Communications committee did not meet.

CONSENT CALENDAR:

There were no items on the consent calendar.

DISCUSSION CALENDAR:

Item 1415: 2007 Authorized Costs/Item 1429: Authorized Costs Elements Matrix Worksheet

Ms. Corella opened discussion on these item numbers. She stated that at the previous ad hoc meeting the members discussed the elements, and formula utilized by members when costs are developed within their respective organizations. She added that the ad hoc would like to identify elements, and set a standard to aid members in developing costs.

Some members reported that they utilized the pole price elements in developing their costs for lack of a better method. Other members had not given the pole price matrix elements sheet any consideration.

Ms. Hernandez reported that in reviewing the pole price matrix as a template for arriving at authorized costs revealed to her that one could be possibly double dipping. She added that in her opinion, this issue should be discussed at this board meeting, because there are a greater number of members attending, which would result in greater dialogue. She would like to question some attendees at how they arrived at their costs. In that Mr. Gonzales is involved in construction, Ms. Hernandez solicited information from Mr. Gonzalez in regards to costs that apply to both power and communication.

Mr. Gonzales stated that he would require reviewing the costs within his organization, to determine how the costs were developed within SCE. He added that he agrees with Ms. Hernandez in that the elements must be identified in order to avoid any double dipping, however, he could not provide that information to the members at this time.

The members discussed AC item 19 briefly. Ms. Thomas stated that in her opinion, it is extremely difficult to arrive at a standard matrix that would apply to every authorized costs item. She added that creating a pole matrix is not as complex as authorized costs, since a pole is a pole in all instances. The prices may increase with the size of the pole, however, all elements are applicable. Ms. Prouty stated obviously that, the pole price matrix does not apply to authorized costs, therefore; another method must be developed.

Ms. Corella stated that at the last AC ad hoc meeting, the members had agreed that any costs submitted by any members that would appear questionable, it would then be incumbent on that member to provide the data and formula at how their organization arrived at the cost. Ms. Thomas added that she recalls the dialogue Ms. Corella has referenced. She added that in her opinion, it is nearly impossible to create a template of elements that would apply to all authorized costs.

Ms. Prouty stated that also mentioned in the dialogue is if a member utilizes a contractor, the member should include their respective administrative expenses to the contractor's total costs.

The consensus is to have the ad hoc committee members, upon returning to their respective organizations, revise, add, and/or delete the elements on the pole

price matrix to arrive at a matrix applicable to authorized costs. This item would remain open at this time.

Item 1421: 2007 Pole Prices

There are no issues or discussion on the proposed 2007 pole prices. Mr. Garg solicited a motion from the members. Ms. Hernandez motioned to accept the proposed 2007 pole prices. Mr. Mahendra Garg seconded the motion, which upon voting, passed unanimously.

Item 1425: Fiberglass Wrap/per foot cost, Item 1426: Additional Steel/Wood Stub cost, Item 1430: Transfer Meter Circuit Breaker Box/Disconnect Box

Ms. Prouty motioned to accept the proposed verbiage revisions to authorized costs. Ms. Hernandez seconded the motion, which upon voting passed unanimously.

OTHER ITEMS: Unfinished Business

Item 1280: Authorized Signatures – RE-ORGANIZATION
Item 1341: Storm Costs - AUTHORIZED COSTS
Item 1360: Crane Costs - AUTHORIZED COSTS
Item 1361: Removal of Ivy - AUTHORIZED COSTS
Item 1366: Review Anchor, Overhead and Down Guys - AUTHORIZED COSTS
Item 1399: Royal Street Mbr Application - OPERATING COMMITTEE
Item 1400: ClearLinx Network Mbr Application - OPERATING COMMITTEE
Item 1405: Job Descriptions and Assoc. Salary – OPERATING COMMITTEE
Item 1407: Proposed Revision to Section 4.1 – ROUTINE REVISION
Item 1415: 2007 Authorized Costs - AUTHORIZED COSTS
Item 1418: Banning Membership Application- OPERATING COMMITTEE
Item 1419: Vegetation Management Program - AUTHORIZED COSTS
Item 1421: 2007 Pole Prices - AUTHORIZED COSTS
Item 1423: Standardization of Procedures/Minutes - OPERATING COMMITTEE
Item 1425: Fiberglass Wrap per Foot Cost - AUTHORIZED COSTS
Item 1426: Additional Steel/Wood Stub Price - AUTHORIZED COSTS
Item 1427: Space/Grade on Eng Steel Poles - ROUTINE REVISION
Item 1428: NewPath Networks Membership App. - OPERATING COMMITTEE
Item 1429: Auth. Costs Elements Matrix Worksheet - AUTHORIZED COSTS
Item 1430: Trsfr Meter Circuit Brker Box/Disconnect Box - AUTHORIZED COSTS

There were no questions, concerns, or discussion on the unfinished business items

Item 1402– *Environmental Issues* - ROUTINE REVISION

This item number is closed. A new item number has been re-assigned to this issue. It is now Item 1431.

New Business

Known Items:

No known items

Unknown Items:

Form 7

Ms. Goetz opened discussion on Form 7's. Ms. Goetz reported that it has been brought to her attention that when a final JPA is submitted to the JPC office, and there is an outstanding Form 7 in the JPC office file, a memo is sent to the submitting JPA member stating that there is an outstanding Form 7. In order for the final JPA to be processed, the issuing member of the outstanding Form 7 must submit another Form 7 releasing the original Form 7. Ms. Goetz added that in researching the JPC office Form 7 file drawer, the majority of outstanding Form 7's that were CC'd to the JPC office are for minor corrections. She added that when this type of minor Form 7's are CC'd to the JPC office, it delays billing. She stated that not all Form 7's should be CC'd to the JPC office. Ms. Prescott added that the only type Form 7's that should be sent to the JPC office are for protests, and correction of record. Ms. Prouty added that a list should be compiled noting those type Form 7's that should be CC'd to the JPC office. All other type Form 7's would be returned. The list should be distributed to all members to forward to their respective staff, and to the JPC billing staff.

Ms. Prouty suggested that a time limit should be set for outstanding Form 7's. Ms. Hernandez interjected that the limit would be if the JPA were active. She added that there are active JPA's that are years old. She would not approve closing a Form 7 unless the JPA is cancelled, or the issue has been resolved.

Ms. Hernandez stated that a list should be created by the ad hoc Operating committee addressing the issue of Form 7's to be CC'd to the JPC office. **Item 1432: Form 7 Clean Up** has been assigned to the Operating committee to address this issue.

24 Emergency Phone Numbers

Ms. Goetz opened discussion on emergency phone numbers. She stated that emergency phone numbers are currently password protected. She inquired if emergency phone numbers could be accessible on the web without requiring a password. Mr. Gonzalez added that when there are emergencies, SCE notifies their respective departments to retrieve emergency phone numbers via the web. The problem is that these departments do not have the password to gain access to member information. Therefore, SCE is requesting the only member's emergency phone numbers become available to the public without requiring a password. Ms. Corella inquired if the attending members have an issue with making their emergency phone number available to the public. The consensus is to notify all members that the emergency phone numbers would become available to the public via the web, and to verify if the number is correct.

Minutes on Web

Ms. Hernandez proposed that all meeting minutes be posted on the web for easy member access. The members agreed. All minutes would be posted on the web commencing January 2007.

SCHEDULE OF FUTURE MEETINGS:

Future meetings were scheduled as follows:

Routine Revision	02/13/07	9:00 a.m.	Committee Office
Authorized Costs	02/13/07	Following	Routine Revision
Computer Committee	02/13/07	Following	Authorized Costs
Administrative Board	02/21/07	9:00 a.m.	Committee Office
Operating Committee	02/21/07	Following	Administrative Board

ADJOURNMENT:

Mr. Garg adjourned the meeting at 10:30 a.m., until February 21, 2007.

Mr. Mahendra Garg, Vice-President.

Ms. Jennie Corella, Mgr. of Operations

Southern California Joint Pole Committee

437 So. Cataract Ave. Unit 3
San Dimas, CA 91773
Phone (909) 592-4001
Fax (909) 592-4636

February 21, 2007

A regular meeting of the **Administrative Board** took place on the above date, at 9:00 a.m., at the Committee office. Those in attendance were:

Ms. Sherri Goetz	Southern California Edison
Mr. Larry Chow	Southern California Edison
Mr. Varghese George	City of Glendale
Mr. Mike Pursell	Time-Warner
Mr. Rick Williams	Time-Warner (teleconference)
Ms. Paula Haney	NextG Networks
Ms. Kourtney Aboudara	NextG Networks
Mr. Don Beckermann	MCI Telecommunications
Mr. Manny Zamora	Sprint Comm.
Mr. Dan Kjar	City of Azusa
Mr. Justin Cashmer	Verizon California (teleconference)
Ms. Terry Holte	Nextel Communications
Mr. Sean Matlock	Golden State Water (teleconference)
Ms. Lynn Prescott	Verizon Wireless
Mr. Mahendra Garg	City of Anaheim
Ms. Sue Thomas	Sprint PCS (teleconference)
Ms. Lupe Hernandez	Cingular Wireless
Mr. Steve Rodriguez	T-Mobile USA (teleconference)
Mr. Sheldon Cox	City of Pasadena
Mr. Daniel Lippert	City of Burbank
Mr. Robert Allen	City of Los Angeles (teleconference)
Ms. Velma Prouty	AT&T California
Ms. Jennie Corella	Committee Staff

CALL TO ORDER:

President, Mr. Sheldon Cox opened the meeting at 9:10 am, and allowed for introductions.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Mr. Cox asked the members to review the January 17, 2007 Board minutes for any additions, or corrections. After allowing time to review the minutes, Mr. Cox inquired if there were any additions, deletions, or corrections. There were no revisions to the minutes. Mr. Chow motioned to accept the minutes as written, Mr. Garg seconded the motion, which upon voting, passed unanimously.

MANAGER'S REPORT

Ms. Corella reported that the gross operating expenses for the month of January 2007 totaled \$43,562.73. The members received a credit against their share of monthly assessments due to a \$5,000.00 Banning initial payment credit. Ms. Corella added in that the SCJPC is non-profit, any monies received must be applied against operating expenses. The members were assessed \$38,035.13, resulting from the excess credit balance from the previous month.

COMMUNICATIONS:

NewPath Networks

Ms. Corella reported that she contacted Mr. Michael Kavanagh in reference to the NewPath application. She stated that Mr. Kavanagh informed her that his organization is still interested in SCJPC membership. He reported that his organization is in the process of obtaining an audit to provide the members with an audited financial statement no later than April of this year. Ms. Corella reminded the members that the next step in the NewPath application process is the receipt of their audited financial statement. This item would remain open at this time.

Time Warner – Mike Pursell

Ms. Corella reported that she was originally going to report on the status of Time Warner, Adelphia Cable, and Comcast Cable. However, since Mr. Pursell was in attendance, she invited Mr. Pursell to share with the members the relationship of the aforementioned three parties. Mr.. Pursell reported that Time Warner united with Comcast Cable, and together acquired the Adelphia Cable Communications assets. The two parties then divided the systems, nationally, into separate clusters.

In reference to the Los Angeles area, Time Warner expanded by a multiple of six. He added that those parties the members had communicated with in the past remain with Time Warner in another capacity of work. California is divided into three divisions, the North, the South, and the Metro area. There are construction personnel and directors who would be preparing applications, and handling maintenance within each respective division. He is in the regional group, and he would be interfacing with all utilities and dealing with member contracts. He is the Time Warner representative.

Ms. Corella stated the concern with the committee is that Adelphia Cable, and Comcast Cable are individual members with their respective memberships. She added that both members are assessed monthly. Therefore, Time Warner is twice assessed. Ms. Corella inquired which membership Time Warner acquired, Adelphia, or Comcast Cable. Ms. Pursell responded that at this time, he is not aware of the specifics of the membership. He added that Time Warner would work with the committee in any capacity to maintain a good membership relationship. He reiterated that all three entities are now one under Time Warner.

Mr. Beckermann stated that his organization is in a similar situation. He stated that MCI is no longer. However, his organization is maintaining two memberships, and is assessed twice every month. He added that he has not received direction from his organization on how to combine the two memberships. Therefore, to remain in good standing with the committee, they maintain both memberships at this time.

Mr. Pursell stated that at this time, Time Warner would continue business as usual in reference to Adelphia and Comcast.

Ms. Prouty inquired if the committee should request a letter documenting what Mr. Pursell stated. Mr. Pursell responded that he would get a letter to the JPC office.

Ms. Corella inquired if she should continue invoicing Adelphia and Comcast at the same address. Mr. Pursell responded to continue invoicing as usual.

Ms. Hernandez inquired if a new member code should be assigned to Time Warner. Mr. Pursell responded that whatever code is currently utilized at this time should continue. It was agreed that when Time Warner should initiate JPAs, then a member code would be assigned.

Item 1399: Royal Street Membership Application

Ms. Corella reported on the correspondence she received from the SCJPC legal. The correspondence is in regards to the NDA (non-disclosure agreement) sent to the committee from Royal Street. The concern the committee has with the NDA is the choice of law state, which is the State of Texas. Legal counsel for the committee advises that the NDA should not be signed until the choice of state reads the State of California. Ms. Corella read the document to the members.

It is agreed that the response to Royal Street is that the committee would sign the NDA, when the choice of law is changed to read the State of California.

SPECIAL REPORTS

There were no special reports.

SUB-COMMITTEE REPORTS:

ad hoc Committee on Authorized Cost Elements

Mr. Allen reported that Authorized Costs did meet in January 2007 (please refer to the minutes for details).

Operating Committee

In the absence of Mr. Cashmer, Mr. Cox reported that the Operating committee met in January 2007, and to please refer to the minutes for details.

ad hoc Committee on Reorganization

Chairperson, Ms. Prouty reported that Reorganization did not meet in January 2007.

Routine Revision Committee

Chairperson, Ms. Hernandez reported that the Routine Revision ad hoc met earlier in the month. The primary discussion was Engineered Steel Poles, which is on the discussion calendar of the current agenda.

Computer Communications ad hoc Committee

Mr. Chow reported that the ad hoc Computer Communications committee met in January 2007 (please refer to the minutes of the meeting).

CONSENT CALENDAR:

There were no items on the consent calendar.

DISCUSSION CALENDAR:

Item 1427: Space/Grade on Engineered Steel Poles

Ms. Hernandez stated that Section 15.7 would be revised to reflect engineered steel poles by adding this as another alternative to wood poles, as well as Section 16.1 Grade –new table to address engineered steel poles (see attachment). Ms. Hernandez stated the purpose for these revisions is that this type pole is set on the ground line; no portion of the pole is in the ground. This changes the dynamics of pole allocations, and space and grade. Sections 3.14, 4.14, and 5.14 would have the following verbiage added to the end of the paragraph, “Section 1.2 required for Engineered Steel Poles”.

Ms. Hernandez motioned to accept the aforementioned revisions as written. Ms. Prouty seconded the motion, which upon voting passed unanimously.

Item 1429: Authorized Costs Elements Matrix Worksheet

Ms. Corella stated that the Authorized Costs Elements ad hoc committee is requesting approval on the AC matrix created to aid members in arriving at their respective proposed cost. Ms. Corella reported that the ad hoc has been working to create a matrix that equitably reflects the elements taken into account when creating authorized costs. The matrix would not be utilized until the next AC review. The ad hoc is currently working on the 2007 costs. She inquired if any members have any concerns with the matrix, or would like to open discussion. Mr. Allen stated that the objective of the ad hoc is to standardize the elements.

The members discussed this issue, and adding a column titled equipment expenses. It was agreed to add an additional column for equipment expense to the matrix resulting in 9 columns for the matrix.

Ms. Haney motioned to accept the AC matrix with the additional equipment expense column. Mr. Cox seconded the motion, which upon voting, passed unanimously.

OTHER ITEMS: Unfinished Business

Item 1280: Authorized Signatures – RE-ORGANIZATION

Item 1341: Storm Costs - AUTHORIZED COSTS

Item 1360: Crane Costs - AUTHORIZED COSTS

Item 1361: Removal of Ivy - AUTHORIZED COSTS

Item 1366: Review Anchor, Overhead and Down Guys - AUTHORIZED COSTS

Item 1399: Royal Street Mbr Application - OPERATING COMMITTEE

Item 1400: ClearLinux Network Mbr Application - OPERATING COMMITTEE

Item 1407: Proposed Revision to Section 4.1 – ROUTINE REVISION
Item 1415: 2007 Authorized Costs - AUTHORIZED COSTS
Item 1419: Vegetation Management Program - AUTHORIZED COSTS
Item 1427: Space/Grade on Eng Steel Poles - ROUTINE REVISION
Item 1428: NewPath Networks Membership App. - OPERATING COMMITTEE
Item 1429: Auth. Costs Elements Matrix Worksheet - AUTHORIZED COSTS
Item 1432: Form 7 Clean-up - OPERATING COMMITTEE

There were no questions, concerns, or discussion on the unfinished business items

Item 1402– *Environmental Issues* - ROUTINE REVISION

New Business

Known Items:

No known items

Unknown Items:

Routine Handbook

Mr. Chow inquired if the recently approved Routine Handbook revisions would be added to the handbook effective immediately. Ms. Corella responded that all approved revisions within the current year are published the following year in January. Ms. Corella stated that she would check with Ms. Pranata to inquire if the handbook is current.

SCE Fiberglass Wrap & Steel Stub

Mr. Chow reported that SCE handles fiberglass wrap and steel stubbing as a maintenance issue and procedure. These procedures are currently not included in the maintenance program; therefore; it would require SCE to initiate a JPA for every location a fiberglass wrap or steel stub action occurs to recover any costs. SCE

would like to treat this type action as they handle inspections, and SRA's. They would like to present this issue for discussion.

Ms. Corella inquired if SCE would like to present this action process to include it in the maintenance program, and to note that this includes fiberglass wrap and stubbing, since the common assumption is that this program is for wood pole inspections only.

Ms. Hernandez interjected for clarification. She stated that currently, she receives a printout from SCE reflecting if a pole has passed inspection and/or what action has been taken. If the pole requires replacement, then, a member receives a JPA for pole replacement due to inspection. Therefore, currently if the action is fiberglass wrap or steel stubbing, a member receives a JPA. In her opinion, this is no different than replacing a pole. Mr. Chow disagreed, and stated pole replacement is a different activity than wrap or stubbing. At SCE wrap, and stubbing is maintenance activity, unlike pole replacement. Mr. Cox questioned how SCE handles trussing. Mr. Chow responded that trussing is treated in a similar fashion. He added that this type action is maintenance, since the activity is done immediately, and cannot wait the 45-day JPA period. For this reason this action is viewed as maintenance within SCE. Mr. Allen stated regardless of when the work transpires; this AC should be billed via a JPA. Mr. Chow responded that a separate JPA is required for every pole. He added that historically, SCE would handle this type action like the inspections are currently being processed. He stated the reason this process was terminated is because wrapping and stubbing is not included in the inspection maintenance program. Thus, his proposal to include this action in SCE's current inspection maintenance program is to revert to the historical process.

Ms. Hernandez stated that she receives this type billing as a final, never having received a preliminary.

Ms. Prouty stated that the members previously requested preliminaries even if a final is submitted to the JPC office.

The consensus is that SCE send a prelim to the members for verification prior to billing for wrap and stubbing.

Mr. Chow would research if this were doable within his organization, and if it is the issue would be open for further discussion. Mr. Chow stated that he would like the members to give this issue some serious thought, and be prepared to discuss inclusion of this type action in the SCE inspection maintenance program.

It was agreed to assign **Item 1433: Fiberglass Wrap & Steel Stub Maintenance Program Inclusion** for this issue.

SCHEDULE OF FUTURE MEETINGS:

Future meetings were scheduled as follows:

Routine Revision	03/13/07	9:00 a.m.	Committee Office
Authorized Costs	03/13/07	Following	Routine Revision
Computer Committee	03/13/07	Following	Authorized Costs
Administrative Board	03/21/07	9:00 a.m.	Committee Office
Operating Committee	03/21/07	Following	Administrative Board

ADJOURNMENT:

Mr. Cox adjourned the meeting at 10:20 a.m., until March 21, 2007.

Mr. Sheldon Cox, President.

Ms. Jennie Corella, Mgr. of Operations

ATTACHMENTS

Item 1427: Space/Grade on Engineered Steel Poles

CURRENT

15.7 Alternative To Wood Poles

CF (Composite Fiberglass)

LWS (Lightweight Steel)

PROPOSED

15.7 Alternative To Wood Poles

CF (Composite Fiberglass)

LWS (Lightweight Steel)

ES (Engineered Steel)

Notation: engineered steel poles require Section 1.2

CURRENT

16.1 Grade

Grade is measured from the ground line to the attachment in question. The average grade of the top position on a pole and other pertinent attachment information shall be assumed to be as follows (the top conductor is not necessarily at the same height):

Pole Ht	Top Grd	Ft in Grnd	Supp Struc	Safe Clear	Common Area	Use Ft
25'	20'	5'	14'	4'	23'	2'
30'	25'	5'	16'	4'	25'	5'
35'	30'	5'	18'*	4'*	27'	8'
40'	34'	6'	18'	6'	30'	10'
45'	39'	6'	18'	6'	30'	15'
50'	43'	7'	18'	6'	31'	19'
55'	48'	7'	18'	6'	31'	24'
60'	52'	8'	18'	6'	32'	28'
65'	57'	8'	18'	6'	32'	33'
70'	61'	9'	18'	6'	33'	37'
75'	66'	9'	18'	6'	33'	42'
80'	70'	10'	18'	6'	34'	46'
85'	75'	10'	18'	6'	34'	51'
90'	79'	11'	18'	6'	35'	55'
95'	84'	11'	18'	6'	35'	60'
100'	89'	11'	18'	6'	35'	65'

*Per Clearance Requirements

The above numbers are to be used as a guideline, but are subject to change based upon actual field conditions. See the exhibit on Page 16-3 regarding how cost allocation is affected by changes in shared space.

PROPOSED

16.1 Grade

Grade is measured from the ground line to the attachment in question. The average grade of the top position on a pole and other pertinent attachment information shall be assumed to be as follows (the top conductor is not necessarily at the same height):

Pole Ht	Top Grd	Ft in Grnd	Supp Struc	Safe Clear	Common Area	Use Ft
25'	20'	5'	14'	4'	23'	2'
30'	25'	5'	16'	4'	25'	5'
35'	30'	5'	18'*	4'*	27'	8'
40'	34'	6'	18'	6'	30'	10'
45'	39'	6'	18'	6'	30'	15'
50'	43'	7'	18'	6'	31'	19'
55'	48'	7'	18'	6'	31'	24'
60'	52'	8'	18'	6'	32'	28'
65'	57'	8'	18'	6'	32'	33'
70'	61'	9'	18'	6'	33'	37'
75'	66'	9'	18'	6'	33'	42'
80'	70'	10'	18'	6'	34'	46'
85'	75'	10'	18'	6'	34'	51'
90'	79'	11'	18'	6'	35'	55'
95'	84'	11'	18'	6'	35'	60'
100'	89'	11'	18'	6'	35'	65'

*Per Clearance Requirements

Engineered Steel Poles (Rev. January 2007)

Pole Ht	Top Grd	Ft in Grnd	Supp Struc	Safe Clear	Common Area	Use Ft
70'	70'	0	18'	6'	24'	46'
75'	75'	0	18'	6'	24'	51'
80'	80'	0	18'	6'	24'	56'
85'	85'	0	18'	6'	24'	61'
90'	90'	0	18'	6'	24'	66'
95'	95'	0	18'	6'	24'	71'
100'	100'	0	18'	6'	24'	76'
105'	105'	0	18'	6'	24'	81'
110'	110'	0	18'	6'	24'	86'

The above numbers are to be used as a guideline, but are subject to change based upon actual field conditions. See the exhibit on Page 16-3 regarding how cost allocation is affected by changes in shared space.

Southern California Joint Pole Committee

437 So. Cataract Ave. Unit 3

San Dimas, CA 91773

Phone (909) 592-4001

Fax (909) 592-4636

March 21, 2007

A regular meeting of the **Administrative Board** took place on the above date, at 9:00 a.m., at the Committee office. Those in attendance were:

Ms. Sherri Goetz	Southern California Edison
Mr. Larry Chow	Southern California Edison
Mr. Varghese George	City of Glendale
Mr. Mike Pursell	Time-Warner
Mr. Rick Williams	Time-Warner (teleconference)
Ms. Paula Haney	NextG Networks
Ms. Kourtney Aboudara	NextG Networks
Mr. Don Beckermann	MCI Telecommunications
Mr. Tibor Laky	Sprint Comm. (teleconference)
Mr. Dan Kjar	City of Azusa
Mr. Justin Cashmer	Verizon California
Mr. Viset Ong	City of Riverside (teleconference)
Mr. Malcolm Brown	Verizon Wireless
Ms. Lynn Prescott	Verizon Wireless
Mr. Mahendra Garg	City of Anaheim (teleconference)
Ms. Sue Thomas	Sprint PCS (teleconference)
Ms. Lupe Hernandez	Cingular Wireless
Mr. Steve Rodriguez	T-Mobile USA
Mr. Sheldon Cox	City of Pasadena
Mr. Daniel Lippert	City of Burbank
Mr. Richard Freyre	City of Los Angeles
Ms. Velma Prouty	AT&T California
Ms. Jennie Corella	Committee Staff
Ms. Jean Baccus	Committee Staff

CALL TO ORDER:

President, Mr. Sheldon Cox opened the meeting at 9:05 am, and allowed for introductions.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Mr. Cox asked the members to review the February 21, 2007 Board minutes for any additions, or corrections. After allowing time to review the minutes, Mr. Cox inquired if there were any additions, deletions, or corrections. Ms. Hernandez reported a typo on page 3, third paragraph. Mr. Pursell is referred to as Ms. Pursell. Ms. Corella noted the error. Ms. Prouty motioned to accept the minutes as corrected, Ms. Hernandez seconded the motion, which upon voting, passed unanimously.

MANAGER'S REPORT

Ms. Corella reported that the gross operating expenses for the month of February 2007 totaled \$44,709.90. The members were assessed the aforementioned amount.

COMMUNICATIONS:

Time Warner – Mike Pursell

Ms. Corella reported that she has received a notification letter from Time-Warner Communications detailing the business transaction between themselves, Comcast Cable, and Adelphia Communications. Ms. Corella read the communications to the members. It states that Time Warner, and Comcast Cable have merged and taken Adelphia Cable and exchanged certain properties around the US to increase cluster size. The issue of dual committee membership would be addressed in the future.

Ms. Corella reported that the retirement luncheon for Ms. Gertrud Greene was a success, and Ms. Greene extended her thanks to all the members. Ms. Greene's last working day would be March 23, 2007.

SPECIAL REPORTS

There were no special reports.

SUB-COMMITTEE REPORTS:

ad hoc Committee on Authorized Cost Elements

Mr. Freyre reported that Authorized Costs did meet in February 2007 (please refer to the minutes for details).

Operating Committee

Ms. Corella reported that the Operating met in February 2007, (please refer to the minutes for details).

ad hoc Committee on Reorganization

Chairperson, Ms. Prouty reported that Reorganization did not meet in February 2007.

Routine Revision Committee

Chairperson, Ms. Hernandez reported that the Routine Revision ad hoc met in February 2007 (please refer to the minutes for details). She added that the ad hoc met this month, and members would be receiving an email from the JPC office inquiring what is their respective definition of an unauthorized attachment.

Computer Communications ad hoc Committee

Mr. Chow reported that the ad hoc Computer Communications committee met in February 2007 (please refer to the minutes of the meeting). He added that at the meeting which met earlier in the month, the primary focus is finalizing the iPAM phase I completion contract.

CONSENT CALENDAR:

There were no items on the consent calendar.

DISCUSSION CALENDAR:

Item 1435: iPAM Contract Phase I Completion

Ms. Corella reported that the ad hoc Computer Communications committee would like the attending Board members to review the latest contract draft. The ad hoc committee is soliciting input and comments on the draft. Ms. Corella distributed copies to the members. She added that the total cost of this project would be assessed to the members on an equally shared basis, not proportionately. Therefore, the expense would impact all the member's monthly assessment.

The members discussed the issues and concerns discussed at the last computer communications ad hoc. The first issue is clarification of the iPAM database. Originally, the iPAM database would be shared by the member's staff, and the JPC staff, thus creating one database. This database would be in real time in that the information entered by the members during the preliminary process would be on record and available for viewing by all users. The ad hoc would be obtaining clarification from Hyperlink on this issue, and report back to the administrative board.

The next issue is to create a user's manual, which would be complied by having the JPC staff work with Hyperlink to ensure the manual is clear and user friendly. Once the user manual is accepted and approved by the SCJPC, then the next step in the process would be the training sessions. For clarification to the members, the training sessions are for those member's staff that would be training their respective internal staff, a train the trainer session.

Mr. Chow stated that the venue for the training sessions would not be the JPC office, in that the JPC office is not equipped for this type training. The City of Los Angeles, and SCE would be inquiring within their organizations to procure a classroom for training.

Mr. Chow stated that Hyperlink is limiting the classroom venue to not more than 40 miles from the JPC office. The members agreed to reimburse Hyperlink any mileage beyond the 40 miles at the IRS rate of .485/mile.

Mr. Chow asked the attending members to please review the contract outline, and if there are any questions, or concerns, to contact himself or Ms. Corella via email.

Ms. Corella inquired of the members, if they would have the ability of resources to run two JPA process systems simultaneously. She added that for a certain period, members would be utilizing the iPAM electronic system, and the current manual process of transmitting JPAs. She added that the iPAM software would need to be tested and compared against the manual process to ensure that the software is performing as expected.

Item 1415: 2007 Authorized Costs

Ms. Hernandez opened discussion by stating that members should have received, and reviewed the latest draft of the proposed authorized costs matrix. If any members have a concern or issue with any of the submitted costs, this would be the forum to discuss or question any submitted costs.

Ms. Hernandez stated she has a concern with the costs submitted by the City of Pasadena for Item 4-Straightening in Earth. In her opinion the costs are relatively high.

Mr. Cox explained the process for arriving at his costs. After some discussion, the consensus is to accept the costs submitted for this item.

Ms. Hernandez questioned the costs for Item 10 – Sidewalk/pavement repair submitted by the City of Pasadena. She stated that these costs also appear relatively high. Mr. Cox responded that he was informed that the cost of asphalt has increased just as the cost of gasoline has increased. The members agreed to accept the costs submitted for this item.

Ms. Hernandez stated that she also has concern with Item 19 – Pole in Same Hole. She added that the costs of the City of Pasadena nearly double that of the other power utilities. She stated that it appears high for a differential cost. She added that this cost should be the additional to the pole setting cost, since authorized costs are additional incremental costs to pole setting costs.

Mr. Cox responded that there is the possibility that the pole set cost is included in his costs. Therefore, he added that he would research this concern, and contact the JPC office if the submitted costs for this item should be changed.

Ms. Hernandez noted some typos under Item 23 – Change Time Construction-vertical to flat. It was agreed to that the term, “time” should read, “type”. The term, “flat” is to be changed to read, “horizontal”. New sub-section numbers would be added to differentiate, and add a cost to 1) tri-flat, and 2) Vertical to horizontal. Ms. Corella noted the revisions, and would make the changes to the matrix.

Mr. Chow stated that communications costs may bring the average artificially low from the power perspective, since their costs are based on communications labor rate, and not that of power labor rates. Therefore, communications are not taking into account the costs of qualified electrical workers, which the power utilities do. He added that some action items couldn't be handled with merely communication labor workers only.

Ms. Prouty inquired if the communication companies should inquire contractors for their costs taking into account power labor rates.

Mr. Chow responded that his costs, and other power companies, costs take into account the work of communications, however, communication costs do not take into account the work of power utilities.

Ms. Hernandez interjected that this concept is the same with the pole prices, and all costs and work are differentiated by communications and power. Mr. Chow agreed with Ms. Hernandez.

The consensus is to revise the AC draft with the costs re-submitted by the City of Pasadena, and to change terms where suggested.

OTHER ITEMS: Unfinished Business

Item 1280: Authorized Signatures – RE-ORGANIZATION
Item 1341: Storm Costs - AUTHORIZED COSTS
Item 1360: Crane Costs - AUTHORIZED COSTS
Item 1361: Removal of Ivy - AUTHORIZED COSTS
Item 1366: Review Anchor, Overhead and Down Guys - AUTHORIZED COSTS
Item 1399: Royal Street Mbr Application - OPERATING COMMITTEE
Item 1400: ClearLinx Network Mbr Application - OPERATING COMMITTEE
Item 1407: Proposed Revision to Section 4.1 – ROUTINE REVISION
Item 1415: 2007 Authorized Costs - AUTHORIZED COSTS
Item 1419: Vegetation Management Program - AUTHORIZED COSTS
Item 1423: Standard and Procedures Manual - OPERATING COMMITTEE
Item 1428: NewPath Networks Membership App. - OPERATING COMMITTEE
Item 1432: Form 7 Clean-up - OPERATING COMMITTEE
Item 1433: Fbrglass Wrap/Steel Stub Main Prog Inclusion - ROUTINE REVISION
Item 1434: Non-Use Space Rule 94.4 B&C - ROUTINE REVISION
Item 1435: iPAM Contract Phase I Completion – COMPUTER COMMUNICATIONS

Ms. Hernandez opened discussion on **Item 1334: Non-Use Space Rule 94.4 B & C.** She stated that this issue has been assigned to the Routine Revision ad hoc committee. She added that if members wish, they could discuss this item now, or at the next ad hoc meeting. She stated that in her opinion the 2 feet of non-usable space should remain part of the common area shared by joint owners.

Mr. Chow interjected that he has spoken with parties who were involved in this rule making decision. According to Rule 94.4, the member that owns the antenna that requires the two feet of space is allowed to use the two feet of space, but no other entity can.

Ms. Hernandez responded that this is not her understanding. She added that she would clarify with her source of information.

Mr. Chow added that the reasoning for wireless companies being allowed the two feet is because they would be familiar with the safety, and work practices that must be adhered to.

Ms. Hernandez stated that she was informed, that today, power is allowed into the safety clearance zone with their equipment. She reported a situation between Cingular, and the City of Los Angeles where DWP was placing fiber arms in the safety clearance zone, and attaching their fiber. She was informed that DWP is allowed to attach within 2 feet, because they are close to their respective power. In her opinion, this is no different than the two feet rule for wireless.

Mr. Chow stated that any member is allowed to encroach two feet into the safety zone. Ms. Hernandez responded that the statement is correct, however; joint members share in the cost of the encroached space. As she stated in her earlier example of DWP attaching into the safety zone, DWP did not purchase the space.

Ms. Hernandez reiterated her stand on Rule 94. She stated that if the owner of the antenna encroaches into the two feet clearance, they should not be required to purchase that space; it should remain as non-usable footage, with all members sharing the cost.

Ms. Hernandez stated that she would like the members to give this issue some further thought, and prepare to discuss it at the next Routine Revision committee meeting.

Item 1402– *Environmental Issues* - ROUTINE REVISION

New Business

Known Items:

No known items

Unknown Items:

GO 95 Infractions

Mr. Pursell reported that Time Warner (TW) is witnessing GO 95 infractions by Verizon in their Fountain Valley service area. He added that TW has notified Edison. The issue is with pole-mounted closures for their fiber network. They are placed on the poles, and are blocking climbing space. This type situation hinders his organizations construction. He inquired as to how this situation is corrected.

Ms. Prouty stated that this type situation is normally handled between members, outside of the Administrative Board meeting

Mr. Chow stated that his organization is aware of this situation, and is taking steps to correct it.

Mr. Pursell stated that Edison is not allowing TW to place pole-mounted closures on poles.

Mr. Freyre interjected, and stated that these situations are GO 95 issues, and should be addressed at the GO 95 meetings. He added that the SCJPC is primarily for monetary transactions, and exchanges.

Changing Pole Class

Ms. Prouty inquired from the members a situation involving pole change-out. She stated that when a power utility changes a pole from a class 5 to a class 3, is it the responsibility of the communication members to share in this cost if the communication member is fine with the pole class.

Ms. Hernandez responded that this sounds like an overbuild.

Mr. Chow responded that the only situation when Edison would change pole class is if the pole is overloaded. He added that according to his recollection, poles that are existing in the field that are identified as overloaded, the members agreed in order to avoid researching which member actually caused the overload, this would be a 7.11 replacement.

Ms. Prouty stated that the JPA she is referring to does not specify why the pole is being replaced.

Mr. Chow recommended that Ms. Prouty's organization contact the power utility to determine the purpose of replacing the pole class. If it is overloaded then section 7.11 applies, and if it is for the sole benefit of the power utility (i.e. adding power equipment), then it is section 7.3.

Ms. Haney inquired if the JPA should have the wind loading calculations attached, which would save the inquiring phone call.

Non-Compliance with Routine

Mr. Chow stated that he would like to open discussion on Routine compliance. What prompted his desire to open this discussion is Edison's proposed revision to section 4.1 – unauthorized attachment. He added that during the extensive discussion on this proposal within the Routine ad hoc, the topic of members not following the Routine and/or agreement was exposed. He added that this is impacting Edison in their district seven by Cal-Trans who is attempting to eliminate their right of way permit. This decision by Cal-Trans is based on poles that are left in the field, and not being PTD and transferred by the responsible parties. He stated that Edison has compiled a list of 80 poles in this situation, and it includes many members. In some instances Edison did not follow through with the process, in other instances the process was followed, but the other members did not. He is of the opinion that this matter requires serious discussion by the members to determine how to remedy this problem.

Ms. Prouty reported that she attended the Cal-Trans meeting, and her organization is fully aware of the problems created by the lack of following Routine procedures. Her organization is attempting to resolve the problem.

The consensus is that this issue does in fact require serious discussion. Therefore, **Item 1436: Compliance with Routine** has been assigned to the Routine Revision ad hoc committee for further discussion.

SCHEDULE OF FUTURE MEETINGS:

Future meetings were scheduled as follows:

Routine Revision	04/17/07	9:00 a.m.	Committee Office
Authorized Costs	04/17/07	Following	Routine Revision
Computer Committee	04/17/07	Following	Authorized Costs
Administrative Board	04/18/07	9:00 a.m.	Committee Office
Operating Committee	04/18/07	Following	Administrative Board

ADJOURNMENT:

Mr. Cox adjourned the meeting at 11:00 a.m., until April 18, 2007.

Mr. Sheldon Cox, President.

Ms. Jennie Corella, Mgr. of Operations

Southern California Joint Pole Committee

437 So. Cataract Ave. Unit 3

San Dimas, CA 91773

Phone (909) 592-4001

Fax (909) 592-4636

April 18, 2007

A regular meeting of the **Administrative Board** took place on the above date, at 9:00 a.m., at the Committee office. Those in attendance were:

Ms. Sherri Goetz	Southern California Edison
Mr. Larry Chow	Southern California Edison
Mr. Varghese George	City of Glendale
Mr. Mike Pursell	Time-Warner (teleconference)
Mr. Rick Williams	Time-Warner (teleconference)
Ms. Kourtney Aboudara	NextG Networks
Mr. Don Beckermann	MCI Communications (teleconference)
Mr. Mike Bogner	Sprint Communications
Mr. Sean Matlock	Golden State Water (teleconference)
Mr. Justin Cashmer	Verizon California
Mr. Roger Ohms	City of Banning
Mr. Dan Kjar	City of Azusa
Mr. Malcolm Brown	Verizon Wireless
Ms. Lynn Prescott	Verizon Wireless
Mr. Mahendra Garg	City of Anaheim
Ms. Sue Thomas	Sprint PCS
Ms. Lupe Hernandez	Cingular Wireless
Mr. Steve Rodriguez	T-Mobile USA
Mr. Sheldon Cox	City of Pasadena
Mr. Daniel Lippert	City of Burbank
Mr. Robert Allen	City of Los Angeles (teleconference)
Ms. Velma Prouty	AT&T California
Ms. Jean Baccus	Committee Staff

CALL TO ORDER:

President, Mr. Sheldon Cox opened the meeting at 9:05 am, and allowed for introductions.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Mr. Cox asked the members to review the March 21, 2007 Board minutes for any additions, or corrections. After allowing time to review the minutes, Mr. Cox inquired if there were any additions, deletions, or corrections. Ms. Goetz motioned to accept the minutes as written, Mr. George seconded the motion, which upon voting, passed unanimously.

MANAGER'S REPORT

Ms. Baccus reported that the gross operating expenses for the month of April 2007 totaled \$71,690.84. The members were assessed the aforementioned amount.

COMMUNICATIONS:

Clear Linx – Name change

Ms. Baccus reported that the JPC office received a notification letter from ClearLinx Communications. Ms. Baccus read the communication to the attending members. The letter states that ClearLinx would like to change the name on their membership application from ClearLinx to ExTeNet. They further stated that they would be sending their financial statement.

Royal Street – Non-Disclosure Agreement revision (State of Choice).

Ms. Baccus reported that the JPC office received communications from Royal Street in regards to their non-disclosure statement. According to the Royal Street legal, the JPC office may choose to change the State of Choice, and initial the change. Royal Street is in agreement with the revision.

The members discussed this and decided that the committee legal should be contacted to inquire if 1) the NDA is signed by the JPC President on behalf of the entire committee, are the members allowed to disclose information to their respective legal departments, and 2) if the committee should line out the, "State of Choice", and change it to read California, or should the other party make the changes.

The legal counsel would be contacted on behalf of the committee in regards to the above questions.

SPECIAL REPORTS

There were no special reports.

SUB-COMMITTEE REPORTS:

ad hoc Committee on Authorized Cost Elements

Mr. Allen reported that Authorized Costs met the previous day (please refer to the April 1007 minutes for details).

Operating Committee

Ms. Baccus reported that the Operating met in March 2007, (please refer to the March 2007 minutes for details).

ad hoc Committee on Reorganization

Chairperson, Ms. Prouty reported that Reorganization did not meet in March 2007.

Routine Revision Committee

Chairperson, Ms. Hernandez reported that the Routine Revision met the previous day (please refer to the April 2007 minutes for details). She added that ad hoc items would be on discussion calendar of this agenda. They include revisions to Section 4.1, and SCE adding fiberglass wrap/steel stubbing to their Board approved maintenance program.

Computer Communications ad hoc Committee

Mr. Chow reported that the ad hoc Computer Communications committee also met the previous day (please refer to the April 2007 minutes of the meeting). He added that when the ad hoc chairperson reports on the latest meeting, those minutes have not been published at that point in time. The minutes published on the web at that point on from the prior month's meeting. Therefore, it is a bit misleading.

He added that the ad hoc is in the process of revising the proposed Hyperlink contract to complete iPAM phase one. Also in negotiation are the Hyperlink FRIEND support, and system maintenance contracts.

The ad hoc is also negotiating a pole search enhancement web page with Diamond Bar web.

CONSENT CALENDAR:

There were no items on the consent calendar.

DISCUSSION CALENDAR:

Item 1400: ExTeNet Systems (FKA ClearLinx) Mbr Appl - Financials

There was no discussion on this topic.

Item 1415: 2007 Authorized Costs

Mr. Allen stated that the Authorized Costs Elements ad hoc committee is presenting the proposed 2007 costs to the members for discussion, and consideration.

Mr. Allen added that it was noted by the ad hoc, that many members are not submitting proposed costs, but are submitting the current existing authorized costs. Ms. Thomas stated that the ad hoc has agreed that for the 2008 costs matrix, the existing cost would not be included.

Mr. Allen inquired if the attending members had any concerns with the proposed costs. Ms. Hernandez stated that item 19 should read, "by special agreement", across the entire row. Ms. Baccus noted the error.

Mr. Allen solicited a motion to accept the 2007 costs. Mr. Chow motioned to accept the 2007 proposed authorized costs. Ms. Hernandez seconded the motion. Which upon voting passed unanimously.

Item 1407: Proposed Revision to Section 4.1

Ms. Hernandez opened discussion by stating that the Routine ad hoc has changed the revisions to this section. Ms. Hernandez distributed copies of the new revisions.

Mr. Garg inquired how to identify an attachment on the pole when he has no record. Ms. Prouty responded that he should attempt to contact the communications company. Ms. Hernandez added that it is the responsibility of the owner of the space on the pole to maintain it, and determine who is attached in any specific space on a pole

The members discussed this issue extensively and the consensus is to return this item to the ad hoc for additional discussion.

Item 1433: Fiberglass Wrap/Steel Sub Main Prog Inclusions

Ms. Hernandez opened discussion by stating that SCE is requesting that their fiberglass wrap and steel stubbing be included with their Board approved maintenance program.

Mr. Chow stated that in order to share the costs of these actions with any joint members, they must be included in their approved maintenance program.

Ms. Hernandez motioned to include the SCE fiberglass wrap and steel stubbing in their Board approved maintenance program. Ms. Thomas seconded the motion, which upon voting passed unanimously.

Section 18.1-C Revision

The members reviewed and discussed the rearranging of information and verbiage in this section. They discussed the issue of the JPC office staff changing the suffix on all supplemental JPA's to SUPx. Some members identify a supplemental JPA with 'SOx, or SUPPLx, however, it has been the practice of the JPC staff to change to SUPx. Mr. Chow stated that he would prefer that the JPC office not change the supplement suffix to SUP. Ms. Hernandez stated that for the sake of research, she would prefer that her supplemental suffix remain as written. It was agreed not change the suffix on any SCE supplemental JPAs.

OTHER ITEMS: Unfinished Business

Item 1280: Authorized Signatures – RE-ORGANIZATION (12/17/98)
Item 1341: Storm Costs - AUTHORIZED COSTS (01/15/03)
Item 1360: Crane Costs - AUTHORIZED COSTS (01/07/04)
Item 1361: Removal of Ivy - AUTHORIZED COSTS (01/07/04)
Item 1366: Rev Anchor, Overhead/Down Guys - AUTHORIZED COSTS (04/21/04)
Item 1399: Royal Street Mbr Application - OPERATING COMMITTEE (11/16/05)
Item 1400: ClearLinx Network Mbr App. - OPERATING COMMITTEE (11/16/05)
Item 1407: Proposed Revision to Section 4.1 – ROUTINE REVISION (02/08/06)
Item 1415: 2007 Authorized Costs - AUTHORIZED COSTS (04/12/06)
Item 1419: Vegetation Management Program - AUTHORIZED COSTS (06/21/06)
Item 1423: Standard & Procedures Manual - OPERATING COMMITTEE (09/14/06)
Item 1428: NewPath Networks Mbrship App. - OPERATING COMMITTEE (10/18/06)
Item 1432: Form 7 Clean-up - OPERATING COMMITTEE (01/17/07)
Item 1433: Fbrgl's Wrap/SteelStub Main Prg Incl - ROUTINE REVISION (02/21/07)
Item 1434: Non-Use Space Rule 94.4 B&C - ROUTINE REVISION (03/14/07)
Item 1435: iPAM Cntrct Phse I Comp – COMPUTER COMMUNICATIONS 03/14/07)
Item 1436: Compliance with Routine - ROUTINE REVISION (03/21/07)

Item 1437: Staff Restructure - OPERATING COMMITTEE (03/21/07)

Item 1402- *Environmental Issues* - ROUTINE REVISION

New Business

Known Items:

No known items

Unknown Items:

No Unknown items

SCHEDULE OF FUTURE MEETINGS:

Future meetings were scheduled as follows:

Routine Revision	05/08/07	9:00 a.m.	Committee Office
Authorized Costs	05/08/07	Following	Routine Revision
Computer Committee	05/08/07	Following	Authorized Costs
Administrative Board	05/16/07	9:00 a.m.	Committee Office
Operating Committee	05/16/07	Following	Administrative Board

ADJOURNMENT:

Mr. Cox adjourned the meeting at 10:15 a.m., until May 16, 2007.

Mr. Sheldon Cox, President.

Ms. Jean Baccus, Asst Mgr. of Operations

(jc)

Southern California Joint Pole Committee

437 So. Cataract Ave. Unit 3

San Dimas, CA 91773

Phone (909) 592-4001

Fax (909) 592-4636

May 16, 2007

A regular meeting of the **Administrative Board** took place on the above date, at 9:00 a.m., at the Committee office. Those in attendance were:

Ms. Sherri Goetz	Southern California Edison
Mr. Larry Chow	Southern California Edison
Mr. Varghese George	City of Glendale
Ms. Paula Haney	NextG Networks
Mr. Don Beckermann	MCI Communications
Mr. Mike Bogner	Sprint Communications
Mr. Sean Matlock	Golden State Water (teleconference)
Mr. Justin Cashmer	Verizon California
Mr. Roger Ohms	City of Banning
Mr. Dan Kjar	City of Azusa (teleconference)
Mr. Malcolm Brown	Verizon Wireless
Ms. Lynn Prescott	Verizon Wireless
Mr. Mahendra Garg	City of Anaheim
Ms. Sue Thomas	Sprint PCS
Ms. Lupe Hernandez	Cingular Wireless
Mr. Steve Rodriguez	T-Mobile USA
Mr. Sheldon Cox	City of Pasadena
Mr. Robert Allen	City of Los Angeles (teleconference)
Ms. Velma Prouty	AT&T California
Ms. Jennie Corella	Committee Staff

CALL TO ORDER:

President, Mr. Sheldon Cox opened the meeting at 9:05 am, and allowed for introductions.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Mr. Cox asked the members to review the April 18, 2007 Board minutes for any additions, or corrections. After allowing time to review the minutes, Mr. Cox inquired if there were any additions, deletions, or corrections. Ms. Hernandez motioned to accept the minutes as written, Mr. Chow seconded the motion, which upon voting, passed unanimously.

MANAGER'S REPORT

Ms. Corella reported that the gross operating expenses for the month of April 2007 totaled \$38,621.07. The members were assessed the aforementioned amount.

COMMUNICATIONS:

ExTeNet Systems – Status on Financial Statement

Ms. Corella reported that she received a call from the ExTeNet contact inquiring on the status of their submitted financial statement. Ms. Corella then inquired if the attending members have any comments on the status of the financial.

Ms. Prouty responded that she submitted the financial to her organizations finance department. She added that their response was not satisfactory, and that AT&T California does not normally examine an organization's financial document's that has been in operations less than three years. Therefore, in the opinion of AT&T California, the submitted financial is not satisfactory to them.

Mr. Chow reported that the SCE financial department commented that the financial statement reports one lump sum, and not many details of explanation. He added that his financial department suggested if the committee should consider accepting their application, that the committee requests ExTeNet place a deposit into an escrow account.

Ms. Hernandez, and Mr. Cox inquired at how would the committee arrive at a dollar amount for the escrow deposit and/or a bond.

Mr. Bogner responded that it could be based on their projected build, and round up the amount.

Ms. Hernandez stated that the inquiry into the size of an applicants build is not requested until after the financials have been accepted, and the applicant is invited to the Board meeting for an interview. She added that perhaps the application process order should be reviewed, and/or revised.

The members discussed the issue of requesting a deposit and/or bond from applicants who are start-ups. Mr. Cox remarked that perhaps a bond/deposit should be requested from all new members.

Ms. Corella stated that the 1998 Agreement does not allude to a bond.

The consensus is to assign this issue an item number, and assign it to an ad hoc committee. **Item 1438: Restructure of Membership Application Process** has been assigned to the Operating Committee to be discussed further.

It was agreed to invite ExTeNet Systems to the next Administrative Board meeting for an interview session.

Crown Castle – CA-CLEC-LLC

Ms. Corella reported that she received correspondence from Crown Castle in regards to membership application. Ms. Corella stated that the correspondent had previously applied for membership. Their application was denied due to the inability to provide the Committee with an audited financial statement; they submitted a pro-forma financial, unacceptable to the committee. Ms. Corella read the correspondence to the attending members. The letter states that they would like to re-apply for membership, and they are willing to provide a bond.

The committee would discuss this issue of bonds with Item 1438 at the next Operating meeting.

NewPath Networks

Ms. Corella reported that she received a telephone call from Mr. Michael Kavanaugh of NewPath. He stated that he would be sending the committee a copy of his organizations financial statement for review by the Board. She added that Mr. Kavanaugh mentioned a concern of disclosure of their financials to all members in the committee, since their direct competitor is a committee member. Ms. Corella informed Mr. Kavanaugh that all committee members are privy to applicant's financials documents. Ms. Corella stated that she would be getting a copy of the NewPath financial statement to all members via an email attachment.

This issue would be on the discussion calendar of the next Administrative Board meeting.

SPECIAL REPORTS

There were no special reports.

SUB-COMMITTEE REPORTS:

ad hoc Committee on Authorized Cost Elements

Mr. Allen reported that Authorized Costs met May 8, 2007. He reported that item number 1366 is on the discussion calendar of the current meeting (please refer to the minutes for further details of the meeting).

Operating Committee

Mr. Cashmer reported that the Operating committee met in April 2007, (please refer to the April 2007 minutes for details).

ad hoc Committee on Reorganization

Chairperson, Ms. Prouty reported that Reorganization did not meet in April 2007.

Routine Revision Committee

Chairperson, Ms. Hernandez reported that the Routine Revision met on May 8, 2007. She added that item number 1434 is on the discussion calendar of the current meeting. Please refer to the minutes for further details of that meeting.

Computer Communications ad hoc Committee

Mr. Chow reported that the ad hoc Computer Communications committee met May 8, 2007. He stated that the ad hoc is in contract negotiation with Hyperlink on the completion of iPAM phase II. He added that the ad hoc approved the revision of the web site pole search window to aid with dead pole searches. Please refer to the minutes of that meeting for further details.

CONSENT CALENDAR:

There were no items on the consent calendar.

DISCUSSION CALENDAR:

Item 1366: Review Anchor, Overhead and Down Guys

Mr. Allen opened discussion by stating that this item pertains to the depreciation of anchors. It was brought to the attention of the ad hoc that when purchasing into poles with anchors, members are purchasing anchors at a greater cost than poles. The authorized costs elements ad hoc committee has extensively discussed this topic. The consensus is to depreciate anchors at a rate of 70% (see Attachment I).

The members briefly discussed this item.

Ms. Hernandez motioned to accept the proposed revision to authorized costs Item 111. Ms. Thomas seconded the motion. Mr. Bogner abstained, the remaining members approved. The motion carried. The cost would become effective January 2008.

Item 1400: ExTeNet Systems – Financial Statement

This issue was discussed earlier in the meeting.

Item 1434: Non-useable Space Rule 94.4 – B&C

Ms. Hernandez opened discussion. She stated that this issue pertains to the new rule stating that there must be a two feet clearance from the lowest point of the antenna to the next communication. There is no attachment allowed within the two feet of clearance. Therefore, Ms. Hernandez added that the two feet should be treated as a safety clearance zone, and as non-usable footage/common area.

Ms. Hernandez motioned to accept the two feet of clearance per Rule 94.4 to be treated as safety clearance/common area effective August 2007. Ms. Haney seconded the motion. Mr. Bogner, and Mr. Allen abstained. The remaining members approved.

OTHER ITEMS: Unfinished Business

Item 1280: Authorized Signatures – RE-ORGANIZATION (12/17/98)

Item 1341: Storm Costs - AUTHORIZED COSTS (01/15/03)

Item 1360: Crane Costs - AUTHORIZED COSTS (01/07/04)

Item 1361: Removal of Ivy - AUTHORIZED COSTS (01/07/04)

Item 1366: Rev Anchor, Overhead/Down Guys - AUTHORIZED COSTS (04/21/04)

Item 1399: Royal Street Mbr Application - OPERATING COMMITTEE (11/16/05)
Item 1400: ClearLinx Network Mbr App. - OPERATING COMMITTEE (11/16/05)
Item 1407: Proposed Revision to Section 4.1 – ROUTINE REVISION (02/08/06)
Item 1419: Vegetation Management Program - AUTHORIZED COSTS (06/21/06)
Item 1423: Standard & Procedures Manual - OPERATING COMMITTEE (09/14/06)
Item 1428: NewPath Networks Mbrship App. - OPERATING COMMITTEE (10/18/06)
Item 1434: Non-Use Space Rule 94.4 B&C - ROUTINE REVISION (03/14/07)
Item 1435: iPAM Cntrct Phse I Comp – COMPUTER COMMUNICATIONS 03/14/07)
Item 1436: Compliance with Routine - ROUTINE REVISION (03/21/07)
Item 1437: Staff Restructure - OPERATING COMMITTEE (03/21/07)

Item 1407: Proposed Revision to Section 4.1

Ms. Hernandez opened discussion on this issue. She stated that SCE is proposing a greater penalty on unauthorized attachments (see attachment II). The penalty is purchasing at the current year's pole cost, plus 50%.

Ms Prouty stated that after some further thought, AT&T California's stand is to make no revisions to this section. Mr. Casher added that Verizon California agrees with AT&T California, and would not approve any revisions to this section.

Ms. Hernandez stated that originally she was not in support of the revision, however, she is now uncertain, since she agrees that there must some deterrent to members attaching illegally.

Ms. Haney stated that from previous experience, there has been instances where a member is charged unauthorized attachment, only to discover after investigation there is no unauthorized attachment. In her opinion, this is time, and cost ineffectiveness for members in an attempt to prove they are not unauthorized.

Mr. Chow stated he agrees that there have instances where SCE processed a 4.1 JPA, when it should be a 4.0. He added that research is required in many instances, but that is the nature of the JPA process. He added that this proposal would benefit all members.

He added that he would like a consensus that if a member is proven unauthorized, that it should be handled via special agreement 1.2, however, in his opinion it should state so in the Routine Handbook.

Ms. Hernandez stated that she is aware that the issue of members attaching unauthorized needs to be addressed by all members; however, she added that there is a lack of communication. Members are charged unauthorized without any prior communication.

Ms. Prouty added that SCE has proposed to remedy this problem of communication; however, she does see much improvement.

Ms. Goetz posed a scenario where communication has processed a JPA to purchase space on an E pole, but an illegally attached member is in that space. She queried how communication would handle this pending JPA.

Ms. Haney stated that in the Routine, the party that processes the JPA initially has the right to that space, and the other member must relinquish the space.

Ms. Goetz responded that in reality this would never occur.

Ms. Haney stated that the representatives should be contacted when it is discovered that they are allegedly illegally attached. This would allow the representatives to attempt to remedy the situation, and would result in accountability.

Mr. Chow inquired if the members could verify with the proper parties within their respective organizations, if the SCE proposal would deter them from attaching illegally. Ms. Hernandez responded that in her opinion, she does not think this is sufficient a penalty to deter everyone from illegally attaching when it is imperative to get their service.

Mr. Beckermann stated that his organization engages several different contractors for their projects. He added it is almost near to impossible to monitor what transacts at these sites, or to educate all contractors.

Mr. Allen stated that regardless, all organizations must take the responsibility for ensuring that their facilities are handled per the Routine. He added that in his opinion, the issue of unauthorized attachment requires attention, and there should be something in place to discourage, and deter members from practicing this type business.

Ms. Corella noted some of the attending members stand on this issue. She stated that AT&T California, Verizon California, and NextG Networks would not support this proposal. The City of Glendale, the City of Los Angeles, and Sprint Communications LP are in support of SCE's proposed revision.

Mr. Allen recommended returning this item to the ad hoc for further discussion. All members agreed. Mr. Allen stressed the importance of members participating in the ad hoc committee meetings, as well as the Board meetings.

Item 1402- *Environmental Issues* - ROUTINE REVISION

No comments on this issue.

New Business

Known Items:

Mr. Chow reported that at the last Routine Revision meeting, he asked the participating members to research within their respective organizations if removing a steel sleeve could be a problem. He inquired if any members had any comments. Ms. Hernandez reported that she inquired within her organization, and the response is that there is no problem with removing a steel sleeve. Mr. Chow briefed the members on this issue. He stated that the Routine states in Section 2.D- (1) that steel sleeves are to be removed by the installing party. He added that the ad hoc is considering changing the verbiage to read any member may remove. Prior to

changing the verbiage, the ad hoc is researching to ensure there is no problem with members in removing a steel sleeve. **Item 1439: Section 2.7-D (1) Clarification** has been assigned to the Routine Revision ad hoc committee. The ad hoc would continue discussion on this topic.

Unknown Items:

A) *Customer complaint resolution for 2-poles left in the field – SCE (Routine Revision)*

Mr. Chow reported that this issue was brought to the table at the Routine Revision ad hoc meeting. He added that when a member receives a customer complaint in regards to poles left in the field, the party responsible for removing that pole should be notified via a single point of contact phone number. The member receiving the complaint should have the ability to make one phone call to remedy the problem, or to give the phone number to the complaining customer.

The consensus is that Ms. Corella would contact all the members via email to solicit this information.

B) *Concern for members not properly following the 1998 Agreement, and/or Routine Handbook – Routine Revision*

The issue is driven by Item 1407. The members are curious if there is a clause in the agreement giving leverage to the Committee in correcting those members who violate JPC procedures. Ms. Corella will contact Committee legal for direction.

C) *JPA Denial*

Ms. Haney stated that NextG is receiving JPAs that are denied after the 45 days have elapsed. She added that NextG has an aggressive build schedule, and when NextG receives the denied JPAs, they have already built. She inquired if any attending members are experiencing similar situations. Ms. Hernandez responded that she has experienced this in the North. She added that she notes “automatic approval” on the JPA and returns it to the joint member.

Mr. Brown stated that either a JPA is denied within the 45-day period, or it is automatically approved.

Mr. Chow interjected stating that in many instances a Form 7 is issued requesting additional time.

Ms. Hernandez responded that in those cases, a Form 7 represents a form of communication, which is a key issue in preliminary JPA processing.

D) Above Ground Facilities Policy (AGF)

Mr. Allen reported at a city meeting, the city attorney referred to the Joint Pole agreement in the AGF policy. He then read an excerpt from the Policy. Per Mr. Allen, according to the policy, it appears they are under the impression that the JPC agreement is a law. He added that there is a misconception within the city, that the JPC has legal obligations, when it is a voluntary entity, and in his opinion, a bookkeeping unit.

Mr. Chow responded that membership is voluntary, however, once an organization is part of the Committee, and signs the Agreement, there are some issues that are contractual in nature.

Mr. Allen added that he wanted to ensure that he was correct in his assumptions about the Committee.

The members discussed this issue, and agreed that there are many misconceptions regarding the SCJPC, and the Agreement.

The consensus is to assign this topic to an ad hoc committee for further discussion. **Item 1440: Review of 1998 Agreement** has been assigned to the Reorganization ad hoc committee.

E) Graffiti Removal

Mr. Chow reported that SCE has contracted with a graffiti removal vendor. They are requesting a single point of contact in regards to graffiti removal. Ms. Corella would be contacting all members to solicit this information.

SCHEDULE OF FUTURE MEETINGS:

Future meetings were scheduled as follows:

Routine Revision	06/12/07	9:00 a.m.	Committee Office
Authorized Costs	06/12/07	Following	Routine Revision
Computer Committee	06/12/07	Following	Authorized Costs
Reorganization	06/12/07	Following	Computer Committee
Administrative Board	06/20/07	9:00 a.m.	Committee Office
Operating Committee	06/20/07	Following	Administrative Board

ADJOURNMENT:

Mr. Cox adjourned the meeting at 11:25 a.m., until June 20, 2007.

Mr. Sheldon Cox, President.

Ms. Jennie Corella, Manager of Operations

ATTACHMENT I

Item 1366: Review Anchor, Overhead and Down Guys

Current:

111. Anchors:

(a)	Expanding Plate (Hand Dig).....	962
(b)	Expanding Plate (Truck Access).....	519
(c)	PISA (Screw Anchor).....	423
(d)	Hydraulically Driven (Mantaray).....	415
(e)	Concreting an anchor.....	171
(f)	Sale of abandoned anchor to another utility – all sizes.....	80

NOTE: Actual size of anchor needs to be noted on JPA along with the appropriate item number for type. For existing anchors of an unknown type, use Item 111b. (The SCJPC recommends using a standard 1¼" anchor in all instances).

Proposed:

111. Anchors:

(a)	Expanding Plate (Hand Dig).....	962
(b)	Expanding Plate (Truck Access).....	519
(c)	PISA (Screw Anchor).....	423
(d)	Hydraulically Driven (Mantaray).....	415
(e)	Concreting an anchor.....	171
(f)	Sale of abandoned anchor to another utility – all sizes.....	80
(G)	Existing Anchor Purchase (Rear property).....	673
(h)	Existing Anchor Purchase (Street/alley).....	363

NOTE: Actual size of anchor needs to be noted on JPA along with the appropriate item number for type. For existing anchors of an unknown type, use Item 111b. (The SCJPC recommends using a standard 1¼" anchor in all instances).

NOTE: items 111 (g), and (h) are calculated at 70% of Item 111 (a) and (b) respectively.

ATTACHMENT II

4.1 Unauthorized Attachment

Each case requiring joint ownership in a pole shall constitute an unauthorized attachment if the Member making such attachment begins construction and fails to initiate Form 2 Joint Pole Authorization **or constructs prior to approval of Form 2 Joint Pole Authorization, or constructs prior to approval of Form 2 Joint Pole Authorization.** Said Member shall be required to purchase interest at **the current year (regardless of the year set of the pole)** structural value and pay an added 50 % penalty. ~~\$500 per pole penalty as per date Form 2 Joint Pole Authorization is issued.~~

Southern California Joint Pole Committee

437 So. Cataract Ave. Unit 3
San Dimas, CA 91773
Phone (909) 592-4001
Fax (909) 592-4636

June 20, 2007

A regular meeting of the **Administrative Board** took place on the above date, at 9:00 a.m., at the Committee office. Those in attendance were:

Ms. Sherri Goetz	Southern California Edison
Mr. Larry Chow	Southern California Edison
Mr. Varghese George	City of Glendale
Ms. Paula Haney	NextG Networks
Ms. Kourtney Aboudara	NextG Networks
Mr. Daniel Lippert	City of Burbank
Mr. Tibor Laký	Sprint Communications/Nextel Comm.
Mr. Sean Matlock	Golden State Water (teleconference)
Mr. Viset Ong	City of Riverside (teleconference)
Mr. Annetta Baker	City of Colton (teleconference)
Mr. Roger Ohms	City of Banning
Mr. Dan Kjar	City of Azusa
Mr. Malcolm Brown	Verizon Wireless
Ms. Lynn Prescott	Verizon Wireless
Mr. Mahendra Garg	City of Anaheim
Ms. Sue Thomas	Sprint PCS
Ms. Lupe Hernandez	Cingular Wireless
Mr. Steve Rodriguez	T-Mobile USA
Mr. Sheldon Cox	City of Pasadena
Mr. Robert Allen	City of Los Angeles (teleconference)
Ms. Velma Prouty	AT&T California
Ms. Jennie Corella	Committee Staff
Ms. Jean Baccus	Committee Staff

Guests:	Ms. Kris Bennett	ExteNet Systems
	Mr. Terry Ray	ExTeNet Systems (teleconference)

CALL TO ORDER:

President, Mr. Sheldon Cox opened the meeting at 9:05 am, and allowed for introductions.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Mr. Cox asked the members to review the May 16, 2007 Board minutes for any additions, or corrections. After allowing time to review the minutes, Mr. Cox inquired if there were any additions, deletions, or corrections. Mr. Chow stated that on page 6, under heading item 1407: Proposed Revision to Section 4.1, second paragraph, second sentence, Mr. Cashmer's name is misspelled. Ms. Corella noted the error, which will be corrected. Ms. Hernandez motioned to accept the minutes as corrected, Mr. Garg seconded the motion, which upon voting, passed unanimously.

MANAGER'S REPORT

Ms. Corella reported that the gross operating expenses for the month of May 2007 totaled \$40, 171.73. The members were assessed the aforementioned amount.

COMMUNICATIONS:

Ms. Corella reported that May was a quiet month in regards to communications. She added that the letter received from the Committee legal would be discussed at the Operating committee meeting immediately following the Board meeting.

SPECIAL REPORTS

ExTeNet Systems – Kris Bennet/Terry Ray (teleconference)

For the benefit of Ms. Bennet, Mr. Cox allowed for introductions. Ms. Bennet opened by briefing the members on her position and responsibilities, as well as a synopsis on ExTeNet Systems. She stated that she negotiates the joint pole

agreements nationally on behalf of ExTeNet, is responsible for the regulatory filings, and reporting. She sits in on GO 95 meetings, and is on the pole climbing committee.

Mr. Garg inquired into the location of their office. Ms. Bennet responded that their corporate office is in Chicago, and there are satellite offices in San Antonio, Texas, Phoenix, Arizona, Las Vegas, Nevada, and Orange County. Currently, there is no satellite office in California. Mr. Ray stated that the California staff is currently working from their homes.

Ms. Prouty inquired what the reason(s) ExTeNet is interested in committee membership. Ms. Bennet responded the primary concern for membership is the implementation process of attaching to poles. She added that currently, they have negotiated third party agreements with some committee members. Therefore, they are aware that there are other options to pole attachment; however, it is the understanding of ExTeNet, that there are greater benefits in pole ownership. Mr. Ray interjected that it is his understanding that membership is a more efficient method of pole attachment.

Mr. Chow inquired as to how ExTeNet plans on handling pole maintenance such as the transfer of facilities should a pole require replacement, PTD of poles and any other routine maintenance actions.

Ms. Bennet responded that currently, ExTeNet is unaware of the committee process for handling routine pole maintenance. They have been unsuccessful in attempting to procure any information as to the JPC process.

Mr. Ray explained how this process is handled at other venues. He stated that ExTeNet does not build on speculation. They build if there is a need to bring service to customers via a wireless service provider. He added that ExTeNet engages local contractors, and works closely with the contractors since they have an obligation to their customers to ensure continuous service.

Mr. Chow inquired into the average term of their customer contracts. Ms. Bennet responded that normal contracts are a ten-year commitment; however, it may vary depending on the customer.

Ms. Hernandez stated that she heard a rumor that ExTeNet was in the process of a name change. Ms. Bennett responded that the name would be ExTeNet California, LLC. She stated the purpose for the change is due to the unique characteristics to California, and the name change would prove beneficial.

Mr. Laky inquired how ExTeNet plans on transacting the daily joint pole process. Ms. Bennett responded that she is unable to respond to this inquiry, in that ExTeNet is not completely aware of how the process is transacted. She added that their intent is to utilize their local personnel; however, there is the possibility of working with contractors if necessary.

When asked who would be representing ExTeNet. Mr. Ray responded that in the West it would most likely be himself.

Mr. Allen stated that his organization has concerns with their financial documents, and the lack of history. He inquired if ExTeNet is transacting business elsewhere.

Mr. Ray responded that ExTeNet Systems LLC has been created in California by the parent company as a private company, and the need of confidentiality of the

parent company's financial information. The confidentiality of financial information is very important to the parent company. ExTeNet is a single member LLC, which means that the sole member is the parent company. He proceeded to give a brief history on the parent company. The parent company has been in existence since October 2002. It was originally incorporated in Delaware as ClearLinx Network Corporation. It is funded by four venture capitalist firms, and is operational in four states.

Mr. Allen inquired if the members could communicate with their out-of-state utility contacts.

Mr. Ray responded that he would submit contact names and numbers to the members.

Mr. Chow stated that SCE's financial and risk management departments have concerns with the capitalization of the LLC. He added that their capital could be spent quickly in regards to the size and costs of their intended build, and continuing business.

Mr. Ray responded that the organization's parent company/single member intends on keeping it funded to continue in business. He added that they intend on performing audits on an annual basis for the LLC, and the parent company.

Ms. Bennett inquired if members are required to post bonds for projects.

Mr. Chow responded that posting of bonds is not a requirement; however, there has been discussion on this issue in the past, and there might be discussion in the future.

Mr. Ray added that currently, they have set up security and bonds with specific projects, and posting a bond would not pose a problem.

Mr. Allen inquired what type of equipment would ExTeNet be placing on poles. Ms. Bennett responded that ExTeNet is a distributor of antenna systems, much like NextG Networks. They install fiber optic cable, antennas, radio heads, and battery backup on poles that are referred to as node poles. The nodes are not placed on every pole, but approximately three to four within a mile.

Ms. Bennett stated that they would conform to any standards set by the committee.

Mr. Allen corrected Ms. Bennett and stated that the committee does not set standards for all members. Each member abides by each respective members standards, since the committee is a voluntary organization that have mutually agreed to share ownership in the poles.

Ms. Bennett stated that she would be providing the members with the contact names and numbers upon returning to her office. She inquired as to the time frame of the application process, and what is the next step in the process.

Mr. Allen stated that he should have an answer at the next meeting.

Ms. Prouty inquired if ExTeNet had any urgent projects pending on membership. Ms. Bennett responded that there is nothing urgent at this time; however would like to have the Committee membership in place since they intend on furthering other projects.

The members thanked Ms. Bennett for her time, and informed her that the Committee would be contacting her shortly.

SUB-COMMITTEE REPORTS:

ad hoc Committee on Authorized Cost Elements

Mr. Allen reported that Authorized Costs met June 12, 2007. He stated that the minutes from that meeting are currently accessible on the SCJPC web site.

Operating Committee

In the absence of Mr. Cashmer, Ms. Corella reported that the Operating committee met on May 16 2007. The primary issues within this ad hoc are the JPC staff restructuring, and restructure of the SCJPC application process. (Please refer to the May 2007 minutes for further details).

ad hoc Committee on Reorganization

Chairperson, Ms. Prouty reported that Reorganization did not meet in May 2007.

Routine Revision Committee

Chairperson, Ms. Hernandez reported that the Routine Revision met on June 12, 2007. She urged all to read the minutes from that meeting since it was a productive, and extensive meeting. She added that SCE is now requesting those members that choose to attach to SCE poles to include their wind loading calculations to the JPA. SCE is in the process of reformatting the Form 49 Tracer/Router to include the criteria required from initiating members in order to perform their respective wind loading calculations.

Mr. Chow stated that after some thoughts, he might suggest at the next ad hoc that SCE may take a different avenue in resolution to this issue. He added that SCE does not want to get into a position that if the wind loading information is not included that the 45-day clock keeps running. Therefore, further discussion is required on this item to address these time issues. He stated that SCE would like to ensure that there is a good process in place, that everyone is able to adhere to, and is agreeable with the process.

Ms. Hernandez stated that the Routine ad hoc committee members highly encourage all members to attempt to attend these meetings, in that many issues are discussed and agreed upon. All members input are helpful, and welcomed.

Ms. Hernandez took the opportunity to remind members that any member receiving a JPA, and discover that there is a pending JPA for a pole, it is important for that member to notify the initiator that there is a pending JPA that must be processed prior to the JPA in question. The discovering member must inform the initiator of what will transpire on the pending JPA. She reiterated the importance, and relevancy for this action.

She reported that the ad hoc would start reviewing the Routine Handbook to ensure that members are interpreting the individual sections in a similar fashion. For further details, please refer to the minutes available on the web site.

Computer Communications ad hoc Committee

Mr. Chow reported that the ad hoc Computer Communications committee met June 12, 2007. He stated that the ad hoc is continuing negotiation with Hyperlink on the completion of iPAM phase II contract. He added that the contract would be expiring with the current web site hosting company. Ms. Pranata is in the process of researching other web hosting companies, should the ad hoc decide to change from the current web-hosting provider to another. Lastly, he stated that the single point of contact for poles left in field, and graffiti removal list is in the compilation process, and should be available on the web site shortly (for further details, please refer to the minutes available on the web site.)

CONSENT CALENDAR:

There were no items on the consent calendar.

DISCUSSION CALENDAR:

Item 1428: NewPath Networks Membership Application

Ms. Corella opened discussion by inquiring if any attending members had the opportunity of reviewing the financials submitted by NewPath Networks. She briefed the members on the application. She stated that this is the second application for membership submitted by NewPath Networks. The previous application was rejected due to an unsatisfactory financial statement. She added that NewPath would like the committee to view their financial statement and judge if it is acceptable or unacceptable. Ms. Corella stated that they have yet to submit their application fee.

Ms. Prouty reported that AT&T California is in the process of reviewing the NewPath financial statement.

Mr. Laky stated that the members are unnecessarily expensing resources if NewPath has not officially applied for membership by submitting their application

fee of \$750.00 should the financial statement prove unsatisfactory. The consensus is not to move forward on the financial statement review until the application fee is received from NewPath Networks.

Item 1434: Non-Useable Space Rule 94.4 B&C

Ms. Hernandez briefed the members on this issue by stating that this applies to the CPUC ruling that a two-foot safety clearance is required for antennas. The Board has approved the two-feet of clearance to be treated as common area. Ms. Baccus has created a chart to include the two feet of clearance. This would be revising Section 16.1-Grade, by including an additional chart. The issue is to approve the new chart and revision to Section 16.1. The members discussed the proposed chart, and made some minor changes (see attachment).

Ms. Hernandez motioned to accept the proposed chart to be added to Section 16.1 with the minor changes, effective August 15, 2007. Ms. Prouty seconded the motion. Mr. Allen abstained. The remaining members approved, and the motion carried.

OTHER ITEMS: Unfinished Business

Item 1280: Authorized Signatures – RE-ORGANIZATION (12/17/98)
Item 1341: Storm Costs - AUTHORIZED COSTS (01/15/03)
Item 1360: Crane Costs - AUTHORIZED COSTS (01/07/04)
Item 1361: Removal of Ivy - AUTHORIZED COSTS (01/07/04)
Item 1399: Royal Street Mbr Application - OPERATING COMMITTEE (11/16/05)
Item 1400: ExTeNet Systems LLC Mbr App. - OPERATING COMMITTEE (11/16/05)
Item 1407: Proposed Revision to Section 4.1 – ROUTINE REVISION (02/08/06)
Item 1419: Vegetation Management Program - AUTHORIZED COSTS (06/21/06)
Item 1423: Standard & Procedures Manual - OPERATING COMMITTEE (09/14/06)
Item 1428: NewPath Networks Mbrship App. - OPERATING COMMITTEE (10/18/06)
Item 1434: Non-Use Space Rule 94.4 B&C - ROUTINE REVISION (03/14/07)
Item 1435: iPAM Cntrct Phse I Comp – COMPUTER COMMUNICATIONS 03/14/07)
Item 1436: Compliance with Routine - ROUTINE REVISION (03/21/07)
Item 1437: Staff Restructure - OPERATING COMMITTEE (03/21/07)
Item 1438: Restructure of App Process - OPERATING COMMITTEE (05/18/07)
Item 1440: Review of 1998 Agreement - RE-ORGANIZATION (05/18/07)

Item 1431: Environmental Issues - ROUTINE REVISION

No comments on this issue.

New Business

Known Items:

There are not known items.

Unknown Items:

Blanket Form 7

Ms. Hernandez stated that discussed at the Routine ad hoc meeting was the concern with issuing a blanket Form 7. A blanket Form 7 is instructing the JPC office not to process a specified block of JPAs. Ms. Hernandez stated that this type of action should be communicated to the JPC office via a member letterhead. The letter would then be brought to the Board for discussion among the members. The JPC office is longer accepting blanket Form 7's, since the JPC office serves the Committee as a whole, and should not take direction on this type action from one specific member. The directed action should be brought to the Administrative Board, and if agreed the JPC office would then be directed to act.

Mr. Chow reported a historical situation of a blanket Form 7 to aid the members in understanding this type of Form 7. He stated that several years back, in an attempt to cure a problem with SCE JPAs, other members issued a Form 7 to the JPC office stating to stop billing all JPAs between themselves and SCE. He added that the purpose of the discussion is to ensure that all members are aware of the proper uses when issuing Form 7's.

Ms. Prouty inquired if this action transpires today. Mr. Chow responded that SCE has not seen any recently; however, there is one outstanding Form 7 of this type.

Mr. Allen stated that the primary purpose of the discussion would be the proper/correct use of a Form 7.

This would be discussed further at the ad hoc level.

Representatives as member contacts

Ms. Hernandez reported that the JPC office is receiving phone calls from third parties, and fellow employees, inquiring who the representative is for a specific member utility. She stressed that it is imperative that members notify any co-worker(s), within their respective organization, involved with the joint pole process that they are the company representative, and contact person.

Ms. Hernandez suggested that representatives re-introduce themselves to those involved, since many organizations are losing staff to retirement, and new

personnel may be unaware of whom is representing their respective organization on their behalf to the SCJPC Committee.

Ms. Hernandez stated that the ad hoc would be compiling a list of frequently asked questions (FAQ), or questions and answers (Q&A) to upload on the web for availability to those who visit the SCJPC web site.

The JPC office would compile a list of calls to be presented to the Operating Committee to aid in compiling the FAQ list.

SCHEDULE OF FUTURE MEETINGS:

Future meetings were scheduled as follows:

Routine Revision	07/10/07	9:00 a.m.	Committee Office
Authorized Costs	07/10/07	Following	Routine Revision
Computer Committee	07/10/07	Following	Authorized Costs
Reorganization	07/10/07	Following	Computer Committee
Administrative Board	07/18/07	9:00 a.m.	Committee Office
Operating Committee	07/18/07	Following	Administrative Board

ADJOURNMENT:

Mr. Cox adjourned the meeting at 11:15 a.m., until July 18, 2007.

Mr. Sheldon Cox, President.

Ms. Jennie Corella, Manager of Operations

ATTACHMENT

CURRENT

Sec. 16.1 Grade

Grade is measured from the ground line to the attachment in question. The average grade of the top position on a pole and other pertinent attachment information shall be assumed to be as follows (the top conductor is not necessarily at the same height):

Pole Ht	Top Grd	Ft in Grnd	Supp Struc	Safe Clear	Common Area	Use Ft
25'	20'	5'	14'	4'	23'	2'
30'	25'	5'	16'	4'	25'	5'
35'	30'	5'	18'*	4'*	27'	8'
40'	34'	6'	18'	6'	30'	10'
45'	39'	6'	18'	6'	30'	15'
50'	43'	7'	18'	6'	31'	19'
55'	48'	7'	18'	6'	31'	24'
60'	52'	8'	18'	6'	32'	28'
65'	57'	8'	18'	6'	32'	33'
70'	61'	9'	18'	6'	33'	37'
75'	66'	9'	18'	6'	33'	42'
80'	70'	10'	18'	6'	34'	46'
85'	75'	10'	18'	6'	34'	51'
90'	79'	11'	18'	6'	35'	55'
95'	84'	11'	18'	6'	35'	60'
100'	89'	11'	18'	6'	35'	65'

*Per Clearance Requirements

The above numbers are to be used as a guideline, but are subject to change based upon actual field conditions. See the exhibit on Page 16-3 regarding how cost allocation is affected by changes in shared space.

PROPOSED

(June 12, 2007 Routine Revision) (June 20, 2007 Board Meeting – motion carried, effective 8/15/07)

Non-Useable Space GO 94-4 (Item: 1434)

Sec. 16.1-A Grade

Grade is measured from the ground line to the attachment in question. The average grade of the top position on a pole and other pertinent attachment information shall be assumed to be as follows (the top conductor is not necessarily at the same height):

Pole Ht	Top Grd	Ft in Grnd	Supp Struc	Safe Clear	Common Area	Use Ft
25'	20'	5'	14'	4'	23'	2'
30'	25'	5'	16'	4'	25'	5'
35'	30'	5'	18'*	4'*	27'	8'
40'	34'	6'	18'	6'	30'	10'
45'	39'	6'	18'	6'	30'	15'
50'	43'	7'	18'	6'	31'	19'
55'	48'	7'	18'	6'	31'	24'
60'	52'	8'	18'	6'	32'	28'
65'	57'	8'	18'	6'	32'	33'
70'	61'	9'	18'	6'	33'	37'
75'	66'	9'	18'	6'	33'	42'
80'	70'	10'	18'	6'	34'	46'
85'	75'	10'	18'	6'	34'	51'
90'	79'	11'	18'	6'	35'	55'
95'	84'	11'	18'	6'	35'	60'
100'	89'	11'	18'	6'	35'	65'

*Per Clearance Requirements

Sec. 16.1-B Grade with Antenna (other than pole top)

Grade is measured from the ground line to the attachment in question. The average grade of the top position on a pole and other pertinent attachment information shall be assumed to be as follows (the top conductor is not necessarily at the same height):

Pole Ht	Top Grd	Ft in Grnd	Supp Struc	Safe Clear	Safe Clear Antennas	Common Area	Use Ft
30'	25'	5'	16'	4'	2'	27'	3'
35'	30'	5'	18'*	4'*	2'	29'	6'
40'	34'	6'	18'	6'	2'	32'	8'
45'	39'	6'	18'	6'	2'	32'	13'
50'	43'	7'	18'	6'	2'	33'	17'
55'	48'	7'	18'	6'	2'	33'	22'
60'	52'	8'	18'	6'	2'	34'	26'
65'	57'	8'	18'	6'	2'	34'	31'
70'	61'	9'	18'	6'	2'	35'	35'
75'	66'	9'	18'	6'	2'	35'	40'
80'	70'	10'	18'	6'	2'	36'	44'
85'	75'	10'	18'	6'	2'	36'	49'
90'	79'	11'	18'	6'	2'	37'	53'
95'	84'	11'	18'	6'	2'	37'	58'
100'	89'	11'	18'	6'	2'	37'	63'

*Per Clearance Requirements

The above numbers are to be used as a guideline, but are subject to change based upon actual field conditions. See the exhibit on Page 16-3 regarding how cost allocation is affected by changes in shared space.

Southern California Joint Pole Committee

437 So. Cataract Ave. Unit 3

San Dimas, CA 91773

Phone (909) 592-4001

Fax (909) 592-4636

July 18, 2007

A regular meeting of the **Administrative Board** took place on the above date, at 9:00 a.m., at the Committee office. Those in attendance were:

Mr. Larry Chow	Southern California Edison
Mr. Justin Cashmer	Verizon California
Ms. Paula Haney	NextG Networks (teleconference)
Ms. Kourtney Aboudara	NextG Networks
Mr. Mike Bogner	Sprint Communications/Nextel Comm.
Mr. Sean Matlock	Golden State Water (teleconference)
Mr. Viset Ong	City of Riverside (teleconference)
Ms. Terry Holte	Nextel Communications (teleconference)
Mr. Roger Ohms	City of Banning
Mr. Malcolm Brown	Verizon Wireless
Ms. Lynn Prescott	Verizon Wireless
Mr. Mahendra Garg	City of Anaheim
Ms. Sue Thomas	Sprint PCS
Ms. Lupe Hernandez	Cingular Wireless
Mr. Steve Rodriguez	T-Mobile USA
Mr. Doug Schmaderer	City of Pasadena
Mr. Robert Allen	City of Los Angeles
Ms. Velma Prouty	AT&T California
Ms. Jennie Corella	Committee Staff
Ms. Jean Baccus	Committee Staff

CALL TO ORDER:

In the absence of President, Mr. Sheldon Cox, Mr. Cashmer chaired the meeting. He opened the meeting at 9:15 am.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Mr. Cashmer asked the members to review the June 20, 2007 Board minutes for any additions, or corrections. After allowing time to review the minutes, Mr. Cashmer inquired if there were any additions, deletions, or corrections. There were no additions, deletions, or corrections to the minutes. Mr. Chow motioned to accept the minutes as written, Ms. Hernandez seconded the motion, which upon voting, passed unanimously.

MANAGER'S REPORT

Ms. Corella reported that the gross operating expenses for the month of June 2007 totaled \$38,919.27. The members were assessed \$38,169.27. The difference resulting from a credit for the application fee submitted by CA-CLEC LLC of \$750.00.

COMMUNICATIONS:

Ms. Corella reported that CA-CLEC LLC, aside from submitting their membership application fee, have submitted a documents package. The following items are included in the packet:

- CPCN (and letter of transfer)
- Certificate of insurance
- Execution page of Agreement
- Corporate Resolution
- 24 hour number
- Maintenance Vendor
- CFO Affidavit
- \$750.00 Application Fee (confirmed as received by SCJPC on July 6th)

Ms. Corella stated that the applicant originally sent a correspondence in April 2007 to the Committee requesting the ability to post a bond in lieu of providing an audited financial statement. She added that the Operating ad hoc committee and/or the Reorganization ad hoc committee would be discussing the issue of bonds under assigned Item 1438: Restructure of Application Process. Ms. Corella stated that CA-CLEC LLC would be expecting an answer to their inquiry of posting a bond.

Ms. Prescott inquired if the applicant does not wish to provide a financial statement. Ms. Corella responded that they could only provide a pro-forma financial statement, which is unacceptable by the Committee, since that was the primary factor for rejection of their previous application.

This application will remain open, and the Operating committee ad hoc members will discuss the bond issue at their next meeting.

SPECIAL REPORTS

There were no special reports.

SUB-COMMITTEE REPORTS:

ad hoc Committee on Authorized Cost Elements

Mr. Allen reported that Authorized Costs Elements ad hoc committee did not meet in July.

Operating Committee

Mr. Cashmer reported that the ad hoc Operating Committee met in June 2007, and will meet immediately following the Board meeting. (Please refer to the June 2007 minutes for further details).

ad hoc Committee on Reorganization

Chairperson, Ms. Prouty reported that Reorganization met on July 10, 2007. She reported that the ad hoc is in the process of reviewing the 1998 Agreement for clarification, and interpretation. The ad hoc has reviewed up to Section 6 (Please refer to the minutes for further details).

Routine Revision Committee

Chairperson, Ms. Hernandez reported that the Routine Revision met on July 10, 2007. She stated that there are new sections on the Discussion calendar of the current meeting regarding the mandated safety clearance zone for wireless antennas.

She stated that the ad hoc is continuing to work on the revisions for Section 4.1. She added that there is extensive discussion on this issue; therefore, the ad hoc would appreciate the attendance, and input of the other members in order to arrive at an equitable resolution.

The SCE proposal is to create a greater penalty as a deterrent for unauthorized attachments then the penalty that is currently in the Routine Handbook.

Ms. Hernandez requested a correction to the Routine Revision minutes dated July 10, 2007. Ms. Corella noted the request, and would make the correction.

Ms. Hernandez reported that the web site would be updated to reflect a "JPA Alert" web page. This page would aid JPA handlers in instructing any changes, or

notations to the preliminary JPA process. Please refer to the minutes for further details.

Computer Communications ad hoc Committee

Mr. Chow reported that the ad hoc Computer Communications committee met July 10, 2007. He reported the ad hoc continues to discuss the Hyperlink contract. He added that the confidentiality of the web site userID and password were discussed, and agreed that if any third parties require this info, they must contact the member representative. (For further details, please refer to the minutes available on the web site.)

CONSENT CALENDAR:

There were no items on the consent calendar.

DISCUSSION CALENDAR:

Item 1428: NewPath Networks Membership Application

Ms. Corella questioned if any members had any questions, comments or concerns in regards to the applicants submitted financial information. She added that the applicant contacts her after every Board meeting to inquire into the status of their application.

Mr. Bogner inquired if applicants are aware that the process may be lengthy. Ms. Corella responded that she informs applicants that the process may exceed six months. She stated that the item number is dated October 2006; thus exceeding six months.

Ms. Prouty inquired if the financial statement was audited. Mr. Corella responded that it is in fact an audited financial statement. Mr. Bogner stated that the six-month reference should start over from the time the financials are submitted. Ms. Corella stated that the financials were sent to the members approximately two months back.

Mr. Bogner added that this item could correlate with the item number that is addressing the issuance of a bond.

Mr. Allen stated that, regardless of a bond for the Committee, each respective member has the right to request a bond according to the 1998 Agreement.

After brief discussion, the members agreed that if the applicant urgently requires pole attachment, there is always the lease option.

Item 1400: ExteNet Membership Application

Ms. Corella inquired if the attending members had any comments on this application, since Ms. Bennet was an interview guest at the last Board meeting. The members did not have any comments on this application. Therefore, this application would remain open in pending status.

Item 1434: Non-Useable Space GO Rule 94.4 New Routine Section

Ms. Hernandez opened discussion by stating that the new GO rule would go into effect August 15, 2007. She added that the ad hoc Routine Revision committee has created two sections to address the issue of wireless antenna 2-foot safety clearance zone (see attachment). The members reviewed, and discussed the proposed sections, which resulted in some minor revisions to the proposals. There is an example associated with proposed section 3.15 for Section 18, and a chart created for section 16.

Ms. Thomas motioned to accept the proposed Routine Revision sections as revised. Ms. Prouty seconded the motion. Mr. Bogner, and Mr. Allen abstained. All others approved. The motion carried.

SCE required wind-loading information

Mr. Chow opened by stating that wind loading is not a requirement within the JPC; however, SCE has a standing request for members to submit their wind-loading calculations with their JPAs for purchase of interest. This would not pertain to site JPAs. Mr. Bogner inquired if SCE would process a JPA lacking wind loading. Mr. Chow responded if it were discovered that the wind-loading calculations are needed, SCE would send the Form 7 back to the initiator. Mr. Chow stated that he has taken the original Form 7, and made modifications to address the wind-loading request issue.

He distributed copies of the modified Form 7 to the members for review. He then made some minor changes to the modified form.

Ms. Hernandez stated that the ad hoc had agreed that a JPA could not be returned unapproved/denied based on missing wind-loading calculations.

Mr. Chow cautioned the members that if SCE were unable to make a determination of whether they are able to sell space or not, on a specific pole, then SCE would return the Form 7 denied.

Ms. Thomas responded that SCE could not make such determination until the wind-loading calculations are returned. Therefore; SCE lacks a valid reason for denying the JPA at this time.

Mr. Chow disagreed and stated that if a JPA is submitted lacking wind-loading calculations, the specific SCE district would base the decision by taking existing conditions on a specific pole, and guess at an estimation of the proposed facilities. If by taking this information, and arriving at a calculation resulting in overloading the pole, then SCE has a valid reason to deny the submitted JPA lacking wind-loading calculations. Therefore, if SCE has the exact wind-loading calculations submitted with the JPA there is a greater chance of SCE arriving at a true calculation allowing them a correct decision.

Ms. Thomas questioned if SCE is performing calculations prior to the joint member submitting their calculations.

Mr. Chow inquired if with every JPA submitted, are the members aware, and/or certain that they are able to build on a specific pole. He added that SCE does not want to automatically approve JPAs, only to discover later that there are some poles that are overloaded, and were overloaded prior. Mr. Chow further stated that this scenario has occurred historically, and continues to transpire today.

Ms. Prouty inquired if it is discovered that a pole is overloaded, does SCE ask the member to replace the pole, or does SCE replace the pole.

Mr. Chow responded that in his opinion, the member requesting to purchase interest should replace.

Ms. Hernandez stated that according to her engineers, in order to calculate wind loading, members must purchase SCE maps. She added that obtaining this information might take several weeks. She further stated that her organization has an obligation to their clients.

Mr. Chow responded that wind loading is a compliance issue, and should be practiced by everyone. He then inquired how members are currently obtaining this information. He added that the compliance issue is separate from the SCE request.

Ms. Hernandez reiterated that her organization can not obtain the map information fast enough to calculate wind loading, prior to issuing the JPA within the timeframe satisfactory to their clients. She added that members are dependent on SCE info in order to provide SCE with the requested wind loading calculations.

Mr. Chow responded that members must process calculations, whether SCE requests the info, or does not.

Ms. Hernandez responded, that this is true, however; they do not have to send the calculations attached to the JPA.

The members discussed different scenarios, questioned SCE, and made comments on the issue of providing wind-loading calculations to SCE.

It was agreed that the members do not have a problem providing SCE with the requested information, however; they do not agree on SCE denying their respective JPAs based on wind loading calculations not provided.

The consensus is to standardize this request process. Mr. Chow stated that he would bring what is expected of the wind loading info. The info could be either the entire pole profile, or just the communications.

OTHER ITEMS: Unfinished Business

Item 1280: Authorized Signatures – RE-ORGANIZATION (12/17/98)
Item 1341: Storm Costs - AUTHORIZED COSTS (01/15/03)
Item 1360: Crane Costs - AUTHORIZED COSTS (01/07/04)
Item 1361: Removal of Ivy - AUTHORIZED COSTS (01/07/04)
Item 1399: Royal Street Mbr Application - OPERATING COMMITTEE (11/16/05)
Item 1400: ExTeNet Systems LLC Mbr App. - OPERATING COMMITTEE (11/16/05)
Item 1407: Proposed Revision to Section 4.1 – ROUTINE REVISION (02/08/06)
Item 1419: Vegetation Management Program - AUTHORIZED COSTS (06/21/06)
Item 1423: Standard & Procedures Manual - OPERATING COMMITTEE (09/14/06)
Item 1428: NewPath Networks Mbrship App. - OPERATING COMMITTEE (10/18/06)
Item 1434: Non-Use Space Rule 94.4 B&C - ROUTINE REVISION (03/14/07)
Item 1435: iPAM Cntrct Phse I Comp – COMPUTER COMMUNICATIONS 03/14/07)
Item 1436: Compliance with Routine - ROUTINE REVISION (03/21/07)
Item 1437: Staff Restructure - OPERATING COMMITTEE (03/21/07)
Item 1438: Restructure of App Process - OPERATING COMMITTEE (05/18/07)
Item 1440: Review of 1998 Agreement - RE-ORGANIZATION (05/18/07)
Item 1441: CA-CLEC-LLC Membership Application (07/09/07)

Item 1431: *Environmental Issues* - ROUTINE REVISION

No comments on this issue.

New Business

Known Items:

There are no known items.

Unknown Items:

SCJPC Member Tax ID Information

Mr. Chow stated that SCE is currently implementing a new software program. The system establishes as a business partner all the members. To set up the

partnership, SCE is requiring all members tax ID numbers. He added that he had Ms. Corella send a solicitation for this information to all members to be submitted to SCE. Mr. Chow thanked the members who have responded at this time, for their quick responses.

SCHEDULE OF FUTURE MEETINGS:

Future meetings were scheduled as follows:

Routine Revision	08/07/07	9:00 a.m.	Committee Office
Authorized Costs	08/07/07	Following	Routine Revision
Computer Committee	08/07/07	Following	Authorized Costs
Reorganization	08/07/07	Following	Computer Committee
Administrative Board	08/15/07	9:00 a.m.	Committee Office
Operating Committee	08/15/07	Following	Administrative Board

ADJOURNMENT:

Mr. Cashmer adjourned the meeting at 11:25 a.m., until August 15, 2007.

Mr. Justin Cashmer, President.

Ms. Jennie Corella, Mgr. of Operations

ATTACHMENT

2.7 Other Billing Definitions & Directives

I. Wireless Antenna Safety Clearance Zone Allocation

When section 3.15 is identified, allocation of space shall be configured per chart 16.1B in Section 16.1.

3.15 Notice of Intention to Place Wireless Antenna

(For space allocation, this section must be shown on the Final JPA)

Members proposing the installation of antennas shall prepare and submit to all owners on record, a Preliminary JPA (Form 2-1) notifying the members of their intention to construct. (See example 11 in Section 18)

Note: General Order 95, Rule 94 requires an additional 2' Safety Clearance Zone. Refer to Section 16.1, Chart 16.1B.

Southern California Joint Pole Committee

437 So. Cataract Ave. Unit 3

San Dimas, CA 91773

Phone (909) 592-4001

Fax (909) 592-4636

August 15, 2007

A regular meeting of the **Administrative Board** took place on the above date, at 9:00 a.m., at the Committee office. Those in attendance were:

Mr. Larry Chow	Southern California Edison
Ms. Sherri Goetz	Southern California Edison
Mr. Justin Cashmer	Verizon California (teleconference)
Ms. Paula Haney	NextG Networks
Ms. Kourtney Aboudara	NextG Networks
Mr. Mike Bogner	Sprint Communications/Nextel Comm.
Mr. Sean Matlock	Golden State Water (teleconference)
Mr. Dan Kjar	City of Azusa
Mr. Daniel Lippert	City of Burbank
Mr. Varghese George	City of Glendale
Mr. Don Beckermann	MCI Telecommunications/ATS
Mr. Roger Ohms	City of Banning
Mr. Malcolm Brown	Verizon Wireless
Ms. Lynn Prescott	Verizon Wireless
Mr. Mahendra Garg	City of Anaheim
Ms. Sue Thomas	Sprint PCS
Ms. Lupe Hernandez	Cingular Wireless
Mr. Steve Rodriguez	T-Mobile USA
Mr. Doug Schmaderer	City of Pasadena
Mr. Robert Allen	City of Los Angeles (teleconference)
Ms. Velma Prouty	AT&T California
Ms. Jennie Corella	Committee Staff
Ms. Jean Baccus	Committee Staff

CALL TO ORDER:

Mr. Doug Schmaderer called the meeting to order at 9:10, and allowed for introductions.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Mr. Schmaderer asked the members to review the July 18, 2007 Board minutes for any additions, deletions, or corrections. After allowing time to review the minutes, Mr. Schmaderer inquired if there were any additions, deletions, or corrections. Mr. Bogner stated that on page 4, there is a typo. He is noted as Ms. Bogner, and requested that this be corrected. Ms. Hernandez also notated a typo on page 6, the last sentence. The word "comps" should read, "comms". Ms. Corella noted the corrections, and the July 18, 2007 minutes would be revised. Mr. Garg motioned to accept the minutes as corrected, Ms. Hernandez seconded the motion, which upon voting, passed unanimously.

MANAGER'S REPORT

Ms. Corella reported that the gross operating expenses for the month of July 2007 totaled \$41,173.91. The members were assessed \$40,448.91. The difference resulting from a credit for the application fee submitted by CA-CLEC LLC of \$725.00.

COMMUNICATIONS:

Ms. Corella reported that she received an email message from Ms. Kris Bennet of ExTeNet. Ms. Corella read the message, which states the Ms. Bennet is requesting that the members vote on whether ExTeNet will be allowed membership in the SCJPC at the current meeting (August meeting).

Ms. Corella added that if the members so choose, they might take a vote on membership at this time.

Mr. Chow stated that the Operating committee is currently in the process of exploring and discussing the specifications of a surety bond that would be required by the Committee. However, he added that the ad hoc has not arrived on an agreement.

The members briefly discussed the issue of requesting a bond from incoming members. According to the 1998 Agreement, the committee would require a bond equivalent to two years of monthly assessments.

Ms. Hernandez stated that currently, the committee does not have the bond process in place at this time. She added that the applicant offered to purchase a bond. She proposed taking a vote on their application, and approving the application with the caveat that they are to provide a bond when the criteria is agreed upon.

Ms. Thomas stated that she agrees with Ms. Hernandez. If the issuance of a bond has never been a part of the application process, the process should not be revised during the application period of an applicant.

The consensus is that the incoming members are required to post a bond to cover the two-year assessment invoices. New members are to be informed that they may be required to post a bond by each respective member if that member so chooses.

The members discussed the issue of each members record keeping, and calculating the amount of bond necessary to cover their individual expenses should the applicant falter.

Ms. Hernandez reiterated that the bond issue should not delay voting on this issue. Ms. Hernandez motioned to approve **Item 1400: ExTeNet Member Application** providing they furnish a surety bond totaling two-years assessment.

Mr. Brown questioned the status of the other applications. He stated that in his opinion, any applicants whose application is in similar status as ExTeNet, should also be approved.

Ms. Corella responded that **Item 1428: NewPath Networks** have submitted their financials, and the members are currently reviewing the documents.

The members discussed the mention of requesting a bond to cover two-year assessments, and that individual members may request a bond prior to approving a JPA in the current By-Laws. It is agreed that applying members must be made aware of this statement in the By-Laws.

The above mentioned item numbers were moved to the Consent Calendar.

Ms. Corella reported that she received an email message from Level 3 Communications. She read the message to the members, which states that they are interested in committee membership.

The members discussed that applicants should be made aware that they are not guaranteed space on a pole merely by being a member of the committee. They must be referred to section 3 (a) of the Agreement.

Ms. Corella stated that she would respond to their interest in membership.

Lastly, Ms. Corella reported that Cingular Wireless is now to known as AT&T Wireless. She added that this is a name change, but their membership code (LAC) is not changed.

SPECIAL REPORTS

There were no special reports.

SUB-COMMITTEE REPORTS:

ad hoc Committee on Authorized Cost Elements

Mr. Allen reported that Authorized Costs Elements ad hoc committee met on August 7, 2007. He stated that the ad hoc is starting the process of arriving at proposed 2008 pole costs, and all members should have received the email notification with the attached pole price matrix sent by Ms. Corella. (Please refer to the minutes for further information).

Operating Committee

Mr. Cashmer reported that the ad hoc Operating Committee met in July 2007. (Please refer to the July 2007 minutes for further details).

ad hoc Committee on Reorganization

Chairperson, Ms. Prouty reported that Reorganization met on August 7, 2007. She reported that the ad hoc discussed the side-by-side poles left in the field, and the ad hoc would continue discussing this issue at this time. The ad hoc has partially reviewed Section 8 as it continues to review the 1998 Agreement. (Please refer to the minutes for further details).

Routine Revision Committee

Chairperson, Ms. Hernandez reported that the Routine Revision met on August 7, 2007. She stated that Item 1407: Proposed Revision to Section 4.1, is on the Consent Calendar of the day's calendar.

She reported that NextG has brought to the table the concern of JPA's with an excess of Form 7's. It was suggested to NextG that they revise the JPA(s), but the caveat is that the work has been completed in the field. The ad hoc agreed that for this special circumstance, phase one of the NextG project, NextG would revise the JPA, however, would not change the date. This allows the members to view the JPA, as it would appear when it has been finalized. The revised JPA would have a memo attached referring to the ad hoc agreement that transpired at the August 7, 2007 meeting.

The ad hoc would be creating an example for Section 18 of the Routine that reflects a revised JPA.

She stated that the ad hoc clarified the intent of the 45-day time frame in regards to initiating a JPA. She reported that if there is no written or verbal communication within the 45-day period, then the automatic approval is invoked. However, if there is any form of communication between members the 45-days is no

longer applicable. She stressed that in all fairness to the initiator, that the members be considerate of one another, and not respond on the 44th day.

She advised the members to avail themselves to the **JPA Alerts** icon on the SCJPC home page for topics addressed and interpreted within the ad hoc committee.

Computer Communications ad hoc Committee

Mr. Chow reported that the ad hoc Computer Communications committee met August 7, 2007. He reported the Hyperlink contract outline is ready to be delivered to the committee legal counsel for drafting. He added that it has been agreed to maintain the current web hosting company at this time. (For further details, please refer to the minutes available on the web site.)

CONSENT CALENDAR:

Item 1407: Proposed Revision to Section 4.1

Ms. Hernandez stated the proposal is that the penalty for unauthorized attachment to be purchased into pole at the current year's cost, plus 50% of the current year's pole cost. This proposal had previously been placed on the consent calendar, but was returned to the ad hoc for re-work. The ad hoc has agreed to take this item to vote at this time.

Mr. Chow motioned to accept the proposed revisions. Mr. Lippert seconded the motion. The results are as follows:

In favor: Southern California Edison, City of Anaheim, AT&T Wireless, Verizon Wireless, T-Mobile, City of Glendale, City of Burbank, City of Banning, MCI Communications, Sprint PCS, and City of Azusa.

Oppose: City of Los Angeles, Verizon California, AT&T California, and NextG Networks,

Abstentions: Golden State Water, City of Pasadena, and Sprint Communications L.P.

The results are as follows:

In favor: 44.5%, oppose: 52.3%, and abstentions: 03.2%. The motion did not carry. This item is now closed.

Item 1400: ExTeNet Membership Application

Item 1428: NewPath Networks Membership Application

Ms. Hernandez motioned to accept the above stated applicants as members of the committee providing they provide a surety bond for two years SCJPC of committee assessments, and with the understanding that they cannot process JPAs

until the membership fee, and surety bond is received at the JPC office. Approved members must be informed that other members may so choose to require an individual bond prior to approval of JPAs. Ms. Prouty seconded the motion. There were no oppositions, and the following members abstained: NextG Networks, City of Los Angeles, Sprint Communications L.P., Sprint PCS, Verizon California, City of Pasadena, and City of Anaheim. The remaining attending members were in favor of the motion. The motion carried.

DISCUSSION CALENDAR:

SCE Wind Loading Request

Mr. Chow opened discussion by stating that SCE requests that wind loading information be submitted with JPAs for purchase of interest. The topic has been discussed within the Routine Revision ad hoc committee. Discussed in the discussion is what information exactly is SCE requesting. Mr. Chow stated that what would aid SCE is the printout created by the wind-loading calculation software utilized by joint members. At a bare minimum SCE would require the communications order for the specific JPA. This would greatly aid the SCE estimators who review the submitted JPAs, and expedite the process.

1998 Agreement and By-Laws available on SCJPC web site (password protected)

Ms. Corella stated that the ad hoc members discussed the idea of having the above-mentioned documents on the SCJPC web site. The question to the members is 1) should this information be uploaded onto the SCJPC web site, 2) should it be available to the public, or 3) should the documents be password protected.

The consensus among the members is that the information would be of great value to members if it were on the website, however, the information should be password protected.

OTHER ITEMS: Unfinished Business

Item 1280: Authorized Signatures – RE-ORGANIZATION (12/17/98)

Item 1341: Storm Costs - AUTHORIZED COSTS (01/15/03)

Item 1360: Crane Costs - AUTHORIZED COSTS (01/07/04)

Item 1361: Removal of Ivy - AUTHORIZED COSTS (01/07/04)

Item 1399: Royal Street Mbr Application - OPERATING COMMITTEE (11/16/05)

Item 1400: ExTeNet Systems LLC Mbr App. - OPERATING COMMITTEE (11/16/05)

Item 1407: Proposed Revision to Section 4.1 – ROUTINE REVISION (02/08/06)

Item 1419: Vegetation Management Program - AUTHORIZED COSTS (06/21/06)
Item 1423: Standard & Procedures Manual - OPERATING COMMITTEE (09/14/06)
Item 1428: NewPath Networks Mbrship App. - OPERATING COMMITTEE (10/18/06)
Item 1435: iPAM Cntrct Phse I Comp – COMPUTER COMMUNICATIONS 03/14/07)
Item 1436: Compliance with Routine - ROUTINE REVISION (03/21/07)
Item 1437: Staff Restructure - OPERATING COMMITTEE (03/21/07)
Item 1438: Restructure of App Process - OPERATING COMMITTEE (05/18/07)
Item 1440: Review of 1998 Agreement - RE-ORGANIZATION (05/18/07)
Item 1441: CA-CLEC-LLC Membership Application (07/09/07)
Item 1442: 2008 Pole Prices - AUTHORIZED COSTS (08/07/07)

Item 1431: *Environmental Issues* - ROUTINE REVISION

No comments on this issue.

New Business

Known Items:

There are no known items.

Unknown Items:

Verify all membership information
All representatives must designate at least one alternate

Ms. Corella stated that according to the 1998 Agreement, all member utilities must designate one representative, and at least one alternate to serve in absence of the representative. She requested that the members view their respective membership information on the web site to ensure that it is the latest correct information. She added that she would be sending a reminder email message to the members.

DWP – No Cameras on Poles

Mr. Allen reported that DWP has been pressured to allow cameras on poles by such entities as LAPD, and city council to name a few. DWP has been attempting to defer this action, however, he added that he is not aware how much longer DWP will have the ability to keep cameras off the poles. He added that he felt giving the

members this information would be beneficial, since he is not sure the impact of allowing cameras on poles would have on the JPC and their joint member interactions.

He added that he has spoken to the training center personnel, Mr. Bengtson, and Mr. Walls. They will arrange a presentation for the members of interest to the mbmers. Mr. Allen would notify the members when this presentation would transpire.

SCHEDULE OF FUTURE MEETINGS:

Future meetings were scheduled as follows:

Routine Revision	09/11/07	9:00 a.m.	Committee Office
Authorized Costs	09/11/07	Following	Routine Revision
Computer Committee	09/11/07	Following	Authorized Costs
Reorganization	09/11/07	Following	Computer Committee
Administrative Board	09/19/07	9:00 a.m.	Committee Office
Operating Committee	09/19/07	Following	Administrative Board

ADJOURNMENT:

Mr. Schmaderer adjourned the meeting at 11:15 a.m., until September 19, 2007.

Mr. Doug Schmaderer, Acting President.

Ms. Jennie Corella, Mgr. of Operations

Southern California Joint Pole Committee

437 So. Cataract Ave. Unit 3

San Dimas, CA 91773

Phone (909) 592-4001

Fax (909) 592-4636

September 19, 2007

REVISED

A regular meeting of the **Administrative Board** took place on the above date, at 9:00 a.m., at the Committee office. Those in attendance were:

Mr. Wayne Brown	Southern California Edison
Mr. Justin Cashmer	Verizon California (teleconference)
Ms. Paula Haney	NextG Networks
Mr. Mahendra Garg	City of Anaheim (teleconference)
Mr. Don Beckermann	MCI Communications (teleconference)
Mr. Viset Ong	City of Riverside (teleconference)
Mr. Randall Starkey	Time-Warner
Mr. Sean Matlock	Golden State Water (teleconference)
Mr. Varghese George	City of Glendale
Mr. Roger Ohms	City of Banning
Mr. Malcolm Brown	Verizon Wireless
Ms. Lynn Prescott	Verizon Wireless
Ms. Sue Thomas	Sprint PCS
Ms. Lupe Hernandez	AT&T Wireless
Mr. Steve Rodriguez	T-Mobile USA
Mr. Sheldon Cox	City of Pasadena
Mr. Robert Allen	City of Los Angeles
Ms. Velma Prouty	AT&T California
Ms. Jennie Corella	Committee Staff

CALL TO ORDER:

Mr. Sheldon Cox called the meeting to order at 9:10, and allowed for introductions.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Mr. Cox asked the members to review the August 15, 2007 Board minutes for any additions, deletions, or corrections. After allowing time to review the minutes, Mr. Cox inquired if there were any additions, deletions, or corrections. There were

no revisions to the September 2007 Board minutes. Mr. Allen motioned to accept the minutes as written. Ms. Haney seconded the motion, where upon voting, passed unanimously.

MANAGER'S REPORT

Ms. Corella reported that the gross operating expenses for the month of August 2007 totaled \$55,945.76. The members were assessed \$55,945.76. The month of August was a three-pay period month, resulting in an above average expensed month.

COMMUNICATIONS:

Ms. Corella stated that in reference to communications, there is nothing to report at this time.

Mr. Garg questioned if there was any communication from Level III. Ms. Corella responded that they have inquired into membership. She added that she would be sending Level III the applicant documents prior to the next meeting.

SPECIAL REPORTS

There were no special reports.

SUB-COMMITTEE REPORTS:

ad hoc Committee on Authorized Cost Elements

Mr. Allen reported that Authorized Costs Elements ad hoc committee met on September 11, 2007. He reported that the dead line for submitting pole costs is September 28, 2007. The objective of the ad hoc is to have the pole costs approved by year-end. He added to refer to the minutes that are available on the web site for further details.

Operating Committee

Mr. Cashmer reported that the ad hoc Operating Committee met in August 2007. (Please refer to the August 2007 minutes for further details).

ad hoc Committee on Reorganization

Chairperson, Ms. Prouty reported that Reorganization met on September 11, 2007. She reported that the ad hoc reviewed Section 8, pages 12, and 13. Please refer to the minutes available on the web site for further details.

Routine Revision Committee

Chairperson, Ms. Hernandez reported that the Routine Revision met on September 11, 2007. She inquired if the members were aware of the JPA Alert icon on the SCJPC web page. She encouraged all members to visit JPA Alert page. She added that the page contains topics and issues of concern for all those who handle JPAs. She stated that the icon now reflects the date the last time the page was updated. She added that the alerts would remain on the page for thirty days, and then the items would be archived. There is an archive link to view past due JPA alerts.

The members discussed missing approval signatures. This issue is addressed on the JPC alert page. Ms. Hernandez stressed that if a JPA is not signed, then the JPA is not valid.

The ad hoc discussed hand dig interpretation. This topic could also be viewed on the JPA Alert page.

Lastly, Ms. Hernandez stated that the ad hoc is again discussing Section 4.1, as well as 4.0. It appears that there is a misinterpretation in Section 4.1.

Mr. George inquired if JPA's could be sent via email. Ms. Hernandez responded that the JPA would need a signature, therefore, would need to be sent as a scanned PDF file.

Mr. George responded that he sends his JPAs in Excel format. Therefore, there is no signature.

Ms. Hernandez responded per Section 18.1, the JPA needs a signature and/or initial. She added that unfortunately, the committee members have been very lax in regards to signatures.

She stated that the signatures are a safe measure for all members, in that no one member can deny his/her approved signature.

Computer Communications ad hoc Committee

Mr. Brown reported that the ad hoc Computer Communications committee met on September 11, 2007. He reported the Hyperlink contract outline has been delivered to the IT lawyer. He added that the ad hoc answered questions submitted by the lawyer to aid in composing the Hyperlink Contract. (For further details, please refer to the minutes available on the web site.)

CONSENT CALENDAR:

There were no items on consent.

DISCUSSION CALENDAR:

Take portion of new members fee and deposit into Operating Fund to bring fund up \$109,000.00.

Ms. Corella reported that at the last Reorganization ad hoc meeting, the issue of the balance of the operating fund was discussed. She added that according to the SCJPC 1998 Agreement, a balance must be maintained in the Operating fund to prevent short-term cash shortages. The balance cannot exceed 20% of the previous calendar year's expenses.

Currently, the balance in the operating fund is less than 20% of the prior year's expenses. The ad hoc would like to raise the balance to exactly 20% due to what the current monthly expenses total today. The ad hoc is requesting Board approval to take funds from the new members fees to increase the operating fund. To achieve 20% of the prior year's expenses, the operating fund would require a balance of \$109,000.00. The current balance is \$78,115.00; therefore, \$30,885.00 would bring the balance to the 20% limit.

The members briefly discussed raising the limit, however; this would take revising the SCJPC Agreement. If the ad hoc proposes other changes to the agreement, this issue would be revisited.

Mr. Cox stated that now is the best opportunity to raise the operating fund balance, since the committee has received the membership fees from the two recently accepted members. There is no guarantee that there could not be a cash shortage in the future.

Ms. Hernandez motioned to take the funds from the new membership fees, to bring the operating fund up to 20% of the prior calendar year's expenses. Ms. Prouty seconded the motion, Mr. Starkey abstained, and the remaining members approved. The motion to raise the balance of the operating fund from the new members fee carried.

OTHER ITEMS: Unfinished Business

Item 1280: Authorized Signatures – RE-ORGANIZATION (12/17/98)
Item 1341: Storm Costs - AUTHORIZED COSTS (01/15/03)
Item 1361: Removal of Ivy - AUTHORIZED COSTS (01/07/04)
Item 1399: Royal Street Mbr Application - OPERATING COMMITTEE (11/16/05)
Item 1407: Proposed Revision to Section 4.1 – ROUTINE REVISION (02/08/06)
Item 1423: Standard & Procedures Manual - OPERATING COMMITTEE (09/14/06)
Item 1435: iPAM Cntrct Phse I Comp – COMPUTER COMMUNICATIONS 03/14/07)
Item 1436: Compliance with Routine - ROUTINE REVISION (03/21/07)
Item 1438: Restructure of App Process - OPERATING COMMITTEE (05/18/07)
Item 1440: Review of 1998 Agreement - RE-ORGANIZATION (05/18/07)
Item 1441: CA-CLEC-LLC Membership Application (07/09/07)
Item 1442: 2008 Pole Prices - AUTHORIZED COSTS (08/07/07)
Item 1443: Section 18.1-C (b) Verbiage/Example - ROUTINE REVISION (08/08/07)
Item 1444: Foreign Attachments- ROUTINE REVISION (08/15/07)
Item 1445: Auth. Contractors List - OPERATING COMMITTEE (08/15/07)
Item 1446: Rev. of Sect. 4.0/4.1 & Rev.of Hdbk-ROUTINE REVISION (08/15/07)

Ms. Corella reported on the two membership application open items. She stated that Royal Street has submitted a 2005 audited financial statement, however, Edison is requesting a later dated financial. Ms. Corella reported that she had contacted CA-CLEC-LLC and stated that the Committee would like an audited financial statement, and cannot post a bond in lieu of an audited statement.

Item 1431: *Environmental Issues* - ROUTINE REVISION

No comments on this issue.

New Business

Known Items:

There are no known items.

Unknown Items:

Ms. Prouty stated that she has an inquiry directed to the wireless members. She inquired that if power utilities and wireless members are joint owners on a pole, and the power utility has a tenant, the power then chooses to relinquish ownership on the pole, what becomes of the tenant?

Mr. Malcolm Brown responded that he personally has not experienced this situation.

Ms. Prouty inquired of Mr. Brown, what he would do in this situation, because AT&T California is experiencing this situation in the North.

Mr. Brown responded that wireless entities do not have tenants, and he has not experienced this type scenario.

Ms. Thomas interjected that she believes that wireless companies do not have a process in place to handle tenants. She added that she believes that the power company has a lease agreement with the tenant, therefore, how do they relinquish when they should not breech the agreement.

Ms. Prouty then questioned must the power entity maintain interest in the pole if the they have a contract with their tenant.

Ms. Hernandez inquired if there is a lease period and expiration date with tenants.

Mr. Allen responded that a lease is for a set term period, which is generally ten years. He added that this scenario can transpire, and that the tenant must then come to a lease agreement with a remaining joint owner. A member cannot be denied relinquishment.

Ms. Thomas added that in her opinion, it is the responsibility of the power owner to make arrangements with the existing tenant by notifying their tenant that they are relinquishing, and they either have to make arrangements with wireless or vacant the pole.

Ms. Prouty stated that historically, communications would purchase interest in a pole specifically for a tenant, however, that is not necessarily the situation today.

Mr. Allen stated that this deals with access to poles, and the telecommunications act. He added that to deny access to a pole, a utility might have to deal with legal issues.

Ms. Prescott interjected stating in her opinion, the issue is not the denying of access to poles; but that wireless entities do not have a process in place to deal with tenants.

~~A wireless entity may be faced with legal issues if they do not take over the tenant.~~

Mr. Brown responded that it is not that the wireless members do not want the responsibility of a tenant; it is that they are not equipped to handle the lease agreement process. He added that he is aware of the legal aspects; however, at this time the wireless entities do not have a process in place to handle tenants. He added that there might be a need to establish such a process.

Mr. Cox stated that if power chooses to relinquish pole ownership, then it is up to wireless to handle the tenant since the remaining member is owner of the

pole. He added that if power maintains the pole, then they are responsible for maintenance such as inspections, car hit poles and all the other scenarios that power entities are accountable for, and they choose not to remain owners for the sake of tenants.

Ms. Thomas stated that power utilities have a lease agreement with the tenant, and should honor the agreement.

Mr. Allen stated that there is a clause in their agreements that states when they relinquish the lease is no longer valid. He added that DWP notifies their tenants of the new owners, and they need to work with the new owners.

Ms. Hernandez stated that when a member relinquishes, they must notate the name of the tenant, and the contact information on the JPA for the remaining member(s) on the pole. She added it is the responsibility of the initiating member and the receiving member to contact the tenant and inform them of the changes.

Mr. Allen read section 5.1, second paragraph, **“An owner may not relinquish its interest in a pole on which it has a tenant unless the tenant’s equipment is removed or one of the remaining owners accepts the outside party as it tenant”.**

Ms. Thomas stated that according to this section a remaining owner does not have to accept the tenant.

Mr. Brown stated that if this relinquishment is not handled with the proper notification, the tenant could become a free attachment.

It was agreed that if this scenario were transpiring in the North, it would likely begin transpiring in the South.

SCHEDULE OF FUTURE MEETINGS:

Future meetings were scheduled as follows:

Routine Revision	10/09/07	9:00 a.m.	Committee Office
Authorized Costs	10/09/07	Following	Routine Revision
Computer Committee	10/09/07	Following	Authorized Costs
Reorganization	10/09/07	Following	Computer Committee
Administrative Board	10/17/07	9:00 a.m.	Committee Office
Operating Committee	10/17/07	Following	Administrative Board

ADJOURNMENT:

Mr. Cox adjourned the meeting at 10:00 a.m., until October 17, 2007.

Mr. Sheldon Cox, President.

Ms. Jennie Corella, Mgr. of Operations

Southern California Joint Pole Committee

437 So. Cataract Ave. Unit 3

San Dimas, CA 91773

Phone (909) 592-4001

Fax (909) 592-4636

October 17, 2007

A regular meeting of the **Administrative Board** took place on the above date, at 9:00 a.m., at the Committee office. Those in attendance were:

Mr. Larry Chow	Southern California Edison
Mr. Justin Cashmer	Verizon California (teleconference)
Ms. Paula Haney	NextG Networks
Ms. Kourtney Aboudara	NextG Networks
Ms. Debbie Wooten	NextG Networks
Mr. Mahendra Garg	City of Anaheim (teleconference)
Mr. Don Beckermann	MCI Communications (teleconference)
Mr. Cory Autrey	Nextel Communications
Mr. Sean Matlock	Golden State Water (teleconference)
Mr. Varghese George	City of Glendale
Mr. Roger Ohms	City of Banning
Mr. Malcolm Brown	Verizon Wireless
Ms. Lynn Prescott	Verizon Wireless
Ms. Sue Thomas	Sprint PCS
Ms. Lupe Hernandez	AT&T Wireless
Mr. Steve Rodriguez	T-Mobile USA
Mr. Doug Schmaderer	City of Pasadena
Ms. Velma Prouty	AT&T California
Ms. Jennie Corella	Committee Staff
Ms. Jean Baccus	Committee Staff

CALL TO ORDER:

In the absence of committee president Mr. Sheldon Cox, his alternate Mr. Doug Schmaderer called the meeting to order at 9:05, and allowed for introductions.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Mr. Schmaderer asked the members to review the September 19, 2007 Board minutes for any additions, deletions, or corrections. After allowing time to review

the minutes, Mr. Schmaderer inquired if there were any additions, deletions, or corrections.

Ms. Thomas stated that on page 6 she does not recollect the sentence which reads, "A wireless entity may be faced with legal issues if they do not take over the tenant", being stated. Ms. Corella responded that Mr. Allen had stated this comment. She added that it does not imply that a wireless entity would be faced with legal issues, but that there is a possibility of legal action. Ms. Hernandez stated that the statement probably is Mr. Allen's personal opinion. Ms. Corella inquired if she should insert the term, opinion into the sentence.

It was agreed that Ms. Corella would listen to the tape to ensure the intent of the conversation and statement by Mr. Allen. The approval of the October 17, 2007 minutes would be tabled for the next Board meeting.

MANAGER'S REPORT

Ms. Corella reported that the gross operating expenses for the month of September 2007 totaled \$46,112.28. The month of September is reflective of an average month. The members were assessed zero. The assessments were taken from the initial membership fee received from the recently approved members.

Ms. Corella further reported that money has been taken from the initial membership fees and deposited into the committee-operating fund to bring the balance up to \$109,000.00.

COMMUNICATIONS:

Ms. Corella reported that she has responded to the request for membership from Level 3 Communications. She received a response from the Level 3 contact person stating that upper management would review the received documents, and contact the JPC office with their decision.

Lastly, Ms. Corella reported that she has received another financial statement from Royal Street. The original statement received at the committee office was dated 2005; the re-submitted statement is dated 2006. She added that she would be sending a copy of the financial in PDF format via email to all committee members for their review. This item would be on the discussion calendar of the next Board meeting.

SPECIAL REPORTS

There were no special reports.

SUB-COMMITTEE REPORTS:

ad hoc Committee on Authorized Cost Elements

In the absence of Mr. Allen, Ms. Corella reported that the Authorized Costs Elements ad hoc committee met on October 9, 2007. She reported that the primary issue is the 2008 pole prices. The ad hoc has agreed to extend the time limit for submitting pole prices to November 5, 2007. She added that those members that fail to submit pole costs would automatically default to the approved weighted average pole costs. She referred the members to the minutes that are available on the web site for further details.

Operating Committee

Mr. Cashmer reported that the ad hoc Operating Committee met in September 2007. (Please refer to the September 2007 minutes for further details).

ad hoc Committee on Reorganization

Chairperson, Ms. Prouty reported that Reorganization met on October 9, 2007. She reported that the ad hoc has completed the review of Section 8. Please refer to the minutes available on the web site for further details.

Routine Revision Committee

Ms. Hernandez reported that Routine Revision met on October 9, 2007. She reported that the ad hoc continues to update the JPA Alert page found on the SCJPC.net web page. She added if any members have a concern with the Routine that they would like discussed or clarified, please bring the issue to the ad hoc table, or contact herself, or Ms. Corella who would bring the issue to the attention of the ad hoc members.

A new JPA example has been created for section 18 of the Routine Handbook. It is example 12, reflecting the correct procedure for a revised JPA. It would be uploaded into the Routine Handbook, and be notated on the JPA Alert page.

Mr. George inquired about PTX and stated that he is unable to locate any verbiage regarding this action by the pole owner. He added that section 14.5 does not allude to the base owner. Mr. George stated that his organization would like to

add a PTX to poles where they are the base owner. Mr. George stated that this would not impact other joint members, since his organization as base owner is adding the PTX.

Mr. Chow stated that in his opinion this issue has been previously discussed. He added that he believes the agreement is to notate the PTX on the JPA for the sake of record keeping, and maintaining space and grade.

The members discussed the reallocation of grade and space. Some members stated that it had been agreed that the grade and space would not be changed, but the pole record would notate a PTX. Other members stated that in their understanding the grade and space would require reallocation.

The members discussed this issue and the consensus is to address this at the ad hoc level. **Item 1448: PTX** has been assigned to the Routine Revision ad hoc committee.

Lastly, Ms. Hernandez reported that the ad hoc is currently working on verbiage for section 2.7-D, removal of mod poles. She recommended that members refer to the ad hoc minutes for further details.

Computer Communications ad hoc Committee

Mr. Chow reported that the ad hoc Computer Communications committee met on October 9, 2007. He reported the ad hoc reviewed the first draft for the iPAM phase 1 completion, drafted by the committee legal counsel. He added that the draft is attached to the minutes of that meeting. Mr. Chow encouraged the members to review the draft and/or attend the next ad hoc meeting.

The issue of the software training, and venue are being discussed, however, this would not transpire until the software is approved by the ad hoc. (For further details, please refer to the minutes available on the web site.)

CONSENT CALENDAR:

There were no items on consent.

DISCUSSION CALENDAR:

There were no items for discussion..

OTHER ITEMS: Unfinished Business

Item 1280: Authorized Signatures – RE-ORGANIZATION (12/17/98)
Item 1361: Removal of Ivy - AUTHORIZED COSTS (01/07/04)
Item 1399: Royal Street Mbr Application - OPERATING COMMITTEE (11/16/05)
Item 1423: Standard & Procedures Manual - OPERATING COMMITTEE (09/14/06)
Item 1435: iPAM Cntrct Phse I Comp – COMPUTER COMMUNICATIONS 03/14/07)
Item 1436: Compliance with Routine - ROUTINE REVISION (03/21/07)
Item 1438: Restructure of App Process - OPERATING COMMITTEE (05/18/07)
Item 1440: Review of 1998 Agreement - RE-ORGANIZATION (05/18/07)
Item 1441: CA-CLEC-LLC Membership Application (07/09/07)
Item 1442: 2008 Pole Prices - AUTHORIZED COSTS (08/07/07)
Item 1443: Section 18.1-C (b) Verbiage/Example - ROUTINE REVISION (08/08/07)
Item 1444: Foreign Attachments- ROUTINE REVISION (08/15/07)
Item 1445: Auth. Contractors List - OPERATING COMMITTEE (08/15/07)
Item 1446: Rev. of Sect. 4.0/4.1 & Rev.of Hdbk-ROUTINE REVISION (08/15/07)
Item 1447: 2008 Operating Budget - OPERATING COMMITTEE (10/17/07)

Item 1431: *Environmental Issues* - ROUTINE REVISION

No comments on this issue.

New Business

Known Items:

Ms. Goetz inquired into the schedule for the availability of the meeting minutes on the SCJPC web page.

Ms. Corella responded that the Administrative and Operating meeting minutes would be available on the web page no later than the first day of the month following the aforementioned meetings. She added that the ad hoc meeting minutes would be available on the web page no later than the evening prior to the current months board meeting. She added that there is an Operating-meeting packet available on the web page for those members that choose to teleconference the Operating meetings.

Ms. Corella stated that a nominating committee must be formed to elect 2008 committee officers. Ms. Corella inquired if any members would like to chair this ad hoc committee. Ms. Paula Haney of NextG volunteered to chair the ad hoc. The following members volunteered to be on the committee:

- | | |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1. Mr. Larry Chow | Southern California Edison |
| 2. Mr. Justin Cashmer | Verizon California |
| 3. Ms. Lupe Hernandez | AT&T Wireless |
| 4. Mr. Steve Rodriguez | T-Mobile USA |
| 5. Mr. Mahendra Garg | City Anaheim |
| 6. Ms. Velma Prouty | AT&T California |
| 7. Ms. Lynn Prescott | Verizon Wireless |
| 8. Mr. Cory Autry | Sprint-Nextel |

The chair would be scheduling the meeting.

Unknown Items:

Ms. Hernandez stated that she had been asked to raise the issue of color codes for member utilities. These are the tag colors. She added that observed in the field are colored tags, however, they are not identified with the owning member utilities name. She added that the members must arrive at a resolution to ensure that cables are identifiable.

Ms. Thomas stated that according to her recollection, the committee had decided to assign colors codes to members. She further stated that initially members would place identified colored tags, but it appears that this practice has diminished.

Ms. Hernandez stated that she has been informed that NextG is currently utilizing several different colors, but is identified on the tags. Therefore, she added that in her opinion, the committee should arrive at a remedy for this issue.

Ms. Haney questioned if this is part of the Routine. Ms. Hernandez responded that identification of equipment is a part of the Routine, however, there is no agreed upon solution to this issue.

Ms. Prescott stated that companies change so often that it would become difficult to track codes. She added that it is unlikely that members would retroactively go out into the field to tag any equipment that is lacking a cable tag.

Ms. Hernandez stated that this type equipment must, at the minimum, be identified, if not with a color code than the utility member code.

Mr. Brown stated that his organization has been tagging their equipment with yellow for many years. The tag reflects their SCJPC code, and there is an 800 number to address any issues or concerns.

Mr. Chow stated that some time ago, the committee agreed at the rules committee level, that orange tags would signify fiber optics, and it appears that all members began using the orange tags.

Mr. Autrey stated that according to his recollection, this issue had been discussed historically, and the outcome was that it would be difficult to enforce.

The consensus is to assign an item number to the Routine Revision ad hoc committee to further discuss this issue. **Item 1449: Review Section 15.2-A** has been assigned to the Routine Revision ad hoc committee.

The last topic discussed is the scheduling of the November Board meeting. There are two options, 1) Tuesday, November 20, or Wednesday, November 28, 2007. After some discussion it was agreed that the Board would meet Wednesday, November 28, 2007.

Mr. George raised one last question. He questioned if a member may bill a joint member for inspections on poles.

Ms. Corella responded that any member who desires to have the cost of pole inspections shared by joint members must have their maintenance/inspection program approved by the Administrative Board. Ms. Corella recommended that Mr. George schedule a date when the Board meets, and get his program on the special reports section of that dates agenda.

SCHEDULE OF FUTURE MEETINGS:

Future meetings were scheduled as follows:

Routine Revision	11/13/07	9:00 a.m.	Committee Office
Authorized Costs	11/13/07	Following	Routine Revision
Computer Committee	11/13/07	Following	Authorized Costs
Reorganization	11/13/07	Following	Computer Committee
Administrative Board	11/28/07	9:00 a.m.	Committee Office
Operating Committee	11/28/07	Following	Administrative Board

ADJOURNMENT:

Mr. Schmaderer adjourned the meeting at 10:10 a.m., until November 28, 2007.

Mr. Doug Schmaderer. Alt President.

Ms. Jennie Corella, Mgr. of Operations

Southern California Joint Pole Committee

437 So. Cataract Ave. Unit 3

San Dimas, CA 91773

Phone (909) 592-4001

Fax (909) 592-4636

November 28, 2007

A regular meeting of the **Administrative Board** took place on the above date, at 9:00 a.m., at the Committee office. Those in attendance were:

Mr. Larry Chow	Southern California Edison
Mr. Mike Bogner	Sprint Communications L.P.
Ms. Paula Haney	NextG Networks
Mr. Mike Zornes	Time-Warner Cable
Ms. Debbie Wooten	NextG Networks
Mr. Randall Starkey	Time-Warner Cable
Mr. Dan Kjar	City of Azusa
Mr. Cory Autrey	Sprint-Nextel
Mr. Malcolm Brown	Verizon Wireless
Mr. Robert Allen	City of Los Angeles (teleconference)
Mr. Viset Ong	City of Riverside (teleconference)
Mr. Jeff Frye	Extenet Systems (teleconference)
Ms. Sue Thomas	Sprint PCS
Ms. Lupe Hernandez	AT&T Wireless
Mr. Steve Rodriguez	T-Mobile USA
Mr. Sheldon Cox	City of Pasadena
Mr. Robert Wolfe	AT&T California (teleconference)
Ms. Jennie Corella	Committee Staff
Ms. Jean Baccus	Committee Staff

CALL TO ORDER:

Committee President, Mr. Sheldon Cox called the meeting to order at 9:05, and allowed for introductions.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Mr. Cox asked the members to review the September 19, 2007 Board minutes which were tabled from the previous meeting, and to review the October 17, 2007 minutes for any additions, deletions, or corrections. After allowing time to review the minutes, Mr. Cox inquired if there were any additions, deletions, or corrections.

Ms. Thomas noted misspelled words in the revised September 19, 2007 minutes. Ms. Corella noted the misspelled words and will make the corrections.

Ms. Hernandez motioned to accept the revised September 19, 2007 with the corrections. Mr. Wolfe seconded the motion. Mr. Bogner abstained. The remaining members approved. The September 19, 2007 minutes have been board approved.

Ms. Goetz requested that her name be included in the rooster of the October 17, 2007 minutes since she had attended, but neglected to sign the attendance sheet. Mr. Chow noted an error on page 2, second paragraph. He stated that the date stated, "October 17, 2007", should read, "September 19, 2007. Ms. Corella noted the corrections.

Ms. Cox requested a motion to accept the October 17, 2007 minutes. Ms. Hernandez motioned to accept the October 17, 2007 minutes as corrected. Ms. Goetz seconded the motion. Mr. Bogner abstained. The remaining members approved. The October 17, 2007 minutes have been board approved.

Mr. Robert Wolfe of AT&T California gave an overview on how the NCJPA is working on a process for handling relinquishment of poles with tenants. The NCJPA is of the opinion that the wireless utilities need to put in place license agreements.

MANAGER'S REPORT

Ms. Corella reported that the monthly expenses for October 2007 were \$39,284.53, reflective of an average month. She added that the members were credited their entire monthly assessments based on the new members initial fee. Therefore, there are no monies due from the members for the month of October 2007.

COMMUNICATIONS:

Ms. Corella reported that she received correspondence from Mr. Mike Zornes of Time-Warner Cable. She read the correspondence to the members, which announced the acquisition of Adelphia and Comcast cable systems by Time-Warner Cable. She then invited the members to pose their questions, and concerns in regards to Time-Warner directly to Mr. Zornes who was in attendance.

Ms. Hernandez questioned if Time-Warner would continue alpha-coding JPAs with ABS or MO, the current codes for Adelphia Cable and Comcast Cable respectively. Mr. Zornes replied that Time Warner would prefer to use the Time Warner Cable code of TWC. Mr. Zornes stated that within Time Warner, they are no longer recognizing Adelphia Cable or Comcast Cable. Therefore, in order to simplify things both Adelphia and Comcast should be consolidated into Time Warner.

The members requested Mr. Zornes to draft a letter requesting the SCJPC to merge both Adelphia and Comcast into one entity to be known as Time Warner Cable. This action would decrease the current membership from 32 to 31 members.

Ms. Corella would be sending Mr. Zornes a membership information sheet to complete.

SPECIAL REPORTS

There are no special reports

SUB-COMMITTEE REPORTS:

ad hoc Committee on Authorized Cost Elements

Mr. Allen reported that authorized costs elements met in November. The pole prices are on the discussion calendar of this meeting. He stated that members should refer to the minutes for further reference.

Operating Committee

Mr. Cashmer was not in attendance. Operating met in October; please refer to the minutes of that meeting for further reference.

ad hoc Committee on Reorganization

Mr. Wolfe reported that Reorganization met on November 13, 2007. He gave a synopsis on the meeting. Please refer to the minutes, of the above-mentioned date, available on the web site for further details.

Routine Revision Committee

Ms. Hernandez reported that Routine Revision met on November 13, 2007. She reminded the members to visit the JPA Alert web page for any current updates. She reported that the latest alert refers to the marking of cables. She added that the ad hoc continues to discuss section 4.0 versus section 4.1. The PTX issue continues to be discussed at the ad hoc level. She stated that there is extensive information in the minutes of the November ad hoc meeting, and recommended that all members review the above-mentioned meeting minutes.

Computer Communications ad hoc Committee

Mr. Chow reported that the ad hoc Computer Communications committee met on November 13, 2007. He stated that the draft for the iPAM Phase I

completion is on the discussion calendar for the members to review later in the meeting.

CONSENT CALENDAR:

There were no items on consent.

DISCUSSION CALENDAR:

Item 1361: Removal of Vegetation (attachment)

This proposed cost was brought to the table by SCE. Ms. Hernandez informed the attending members, that the members of the authorized costs elements ad hoc committee were in agreement with the proposal, with the exception of Verizon California. She added that the ad hoc has not received any input from Verizon California in the last few months; therefore, the ad hoc agreed to bring this proposal to the board for discussion with the possibility of board approval.

The attending members agreed to vote on this proposal. Mr. Wolfe motioned to accept the proposal of \$200.00. Mr. Chow seconded the motion. Mr. Bogner abstained, and the remaining members approved. The total voting shares in favor of the proposal equated to greater than 75%. Therefore, according to the agreement, the motion carried. This cost would be added to the authorized costs effective January 1, 2008.

Item 1399: Royal Street Communications Financial Statement

Ms. Corella reported that this is the final copy of the applicant's financial statement. She added that the current issue is if the members are in agreement that the applicant is in good financial standing.

Mr. Wolfe opened discussion by stating that AT&T California is of the opinion that the applicant's financial standing is weak according to the financial statement they submitted. He added that in this situation, the issue of posting a bond should be addressed.

Ms. Hernandez responded stating that all incoming members must place a deposit, or post a surety bond to cover two years of membership assessments. This is on behalf of the committee, and at the request of the committee as a whole. However, she added that each member, might request individually the posting of a

bond to cover any future project. She further stated that this is at the discretion of each respective member.

The members discussed this issue, and agreed to invite the applicant to the next meeting for an interview. This would create the opportunity to pose any questions, and/or concerns in regards to their financial standing.

Item 1435: IPAM contract Phase I Completion

Ms. Corella briefed the members that this contract draft is not ready for signing. She added that the ad hoc choose to place this issue on discussion, so that a greater audience than the ad hoc members would review the draft. The ad hoc would like to ensure that the contract specifically address the committee needs, and protects the committee's rights.

Mr. Zornes inquired into *section 5.4: License to Software content and Client Content. Client grants to Developer a nonexclusive, worldwide license to reproduce and modify the Software Content to develop and maintain the Software.* He inquired an identification of the term, "client content". He added that it appears to him that this could be confidential information on each respective member.

Mr. Chow responded that the ad hoc has discussed this paragraph on several occasions. The concern is the right of granting the developer the right to market the software to third parties. He added that the developer would require a grant from the SCJPC to modify the software, if the SCJPC feels necessary, for greater software performance. What this section should allude to is the granting to the developer to modify the software after it has been completed. However, not to grant the developer the right to market the software to third parties.

Mr. Autrey stated that in his opinion, the contract should be written to ensure that what the developer delivers is what the committee originally intended.

Ms. Corella stated that this contract is for the completion of iPAM phase I. The specifications for the software were identified in the original contract. She added that the primary concern is that the technology has changed since the original contract was written.

Ms. Pranata explained that when the Clipper program (software used by JPC office) crashed, Hyperlink immediately started work on an SQL program to replace Clipper. The original intent was to have iPAM communicate with Clipper. Therefore, iPAM was developed to communicate with a DOS program. The newly developed software (FRIEND) is in a different version, so iPAM is to be completed to communicate with FRIEND.

The members discussed this issue extensively. It was agreed that the ad hoc would meet one time prior to inviting Hyperlink to the office.

Item 1442: 2008 Pole Prices

The members reviewed the submitted pole costs. There were questions, concerns, and discussion in regards to the submitted costs. After extensive discussion, Mr. Cox inquired for a motion to approve the pole prices. Mr. Allen

motioned to approve the 2008 pole prices with the minor revisions. Ms. Haney seconded the motion. Mr. Bogner, and Mr. Zornes abstained. The remaining members approved. The motion carried, and the 2008 pole costs would be published January 2008.

Item 1447: 2008 Operating Budget

Mr. Cox stated that the proposed 2008 operating budget is open for discussion. After some questions, answers, and extensive discussion, Mr. Cox inquired for a motion to approve the operating budget draft. Ms. Goetz motioned to accept the operating budget draft as written. Mr. Rodriguez seconded the motion. Mr. Bogner abstained, and the remaining members approved. The motion carried, and the 2008 operating budget is approved.

2008 Officers

Mr. Cox read the nominated 2008 officers, and ad hoc committee chairs to the members. Committee president is AT&T Wireless, and VP is T-Mobile. Chairs are as follows: Authorized Costs is City of Los Angeles, Routine Revision is AT&T Wireless, Operating is T-Mobile, and Computer Communications is Southern California Edison. Ms. Goetz motioned to accept the nominated 2008 officers and ad hoc chairs. Mr. Rodriguez seconded the motion. Mr. Bogner abstained. The remaining members approved. The 2008 nominees were approved.

OTHER ITEMS: Unfinished Business

Item 1280: Authorized Signatures – RE-ORGANIZATION (12/17/98)
Item 1361: Removal of Ivy - AUTHORIZED COSTS (01/07/04)
Item 1399: Royal Street Mbr Application - OPERATING COMMITTEE (11/16/05)
Item 1423: Standard & Procedures Manual - OPERATING COMMITTEE (09/14/06)
Item 1435: iPAM Cntrct Phse I Comp – COMPUTER COMMUNICATIONS 03/14/07)
Item 1436: Compliance with Routine - ROUTINE REVISION (03/21/07)
Item 1438: Restructure of App Process - OPERATING COMMITTEE (05/18/07)
Item 1440: Review of 1998 Agreement - RE-ORGANIZATION (05/18/07)
Item 1441: CA-CLEC-LLC Membership Application (07/09/07)
Item 1442: 2008 Pole Prices - AUTHORIZED COSTS (08/07/07)
Item 1443: Section 18.1-C (b) Verbiage/Example - ROUTINE REVISION (08/08/07)
Item 1444: Foreign Attachments- ROUTINE REVISION (08/15/07)
Item 1445: Auth. Contractors List - OPERATING COMMITTEE (08/15/07)
Item 1446: Rev. of Sect. 4.0/4.1 & Rev.of Hdbk-ROUTINE REVISION (08/15/07)
Item 1447: 2008 Operating Budget - OPERATING COMMITTEE (10/17/07)

Item 1448: PTX - ROUTINE REVISION (10/17/07)

Item 1450: Review of Section 14.9 Hand Dig - ROUTINE REVISION (11/13/07)

Item 1451: Wireless Equip. & Restoration Service - ROUTINE REVISION (11/13/07)

Item 1452: New Section for Disaster Replacement - ROUTINE REVISION (11/13/07)

Item 1453: Extension of 45-day Period - ROUTINE REVISION (11/13/07)

Item 1431: *Environmental Issues* - ROUTINE REVISION

No comments on this issue.

New Business

Known Items:

Unknown Items:

Fire Damage JPAs – NextG Networks

Ms. Haney stated that she would like to confirm that those members who are writing fire damage JPAs are identifying them as such.

Ms. Goetz responded that SCE identifies fire damage JPAs with a stamp stating the name of the fire.

Ms. Wolfe stated that the name of the fire is called out on AT&T California fire damage JPAs.

After some brief discussion, it was agreed that this issue could be addressed under Item 1452: New Section for Disaster Replacement.

Fumigate Identification – SCE

Mr. Chow stated that on SCE poles, the type of fumigate that the specific pole has been treated with is identified with a silver tag, whether it be ultra Fume, Boron-rod, or mitc-fume. He added that years ago SCE agreed to PTD all poles treated with mitc-fume. However, recently some members are identifying all poles with a silver tag as mitc-fume treated poles. Therefore, expecting that it is the responsibility of SCE to PTD the pole. SCE then visits the pole site and discovers that it is not mitc-fume treated, but another treatment type. Members may pull all type treated poles, with the exception of mitc-fume, which would be pulled by SCE. He added that there are instances where a pole was mitc-fume treated at the ground line level; therefore it is safe for other members to LTD a pole.

SCHEDULE OF FUTURE MEETINGS:

Future meetings were scheduled as follows:

Routine Revision	1/08/08	9:00 a.m.	Committee Office
Authorized Costs	1/08/08	Following	Routine Revision
Computer Committee	1/08/08	Following	Authorized Costs
Reorganization	1/08/08	Following	Computer Committee
Administrative Board	1/16/08	9:00 a.m.	Committee Office
Operating Committee	1/16/08	Following	Administrative Board

ADJOURNMENT:

Mr. Cox adjourned the meeting at 12:05 p.m., until January 16, 2008.

Mr. Sheldon Cox, President. Ms. Jennie Corella, Mgr. of Operations

ATTACHMENT

Ad Hoc Committee on Authorized Costs.

Item 1361 Removal of Vegetation

13. Pole access obstructions:

- (a) Clearing poles in State Responsibility Area. 16
(Includes cost of contractor to perform activity and post Quality Control inspection)
- (b) Removal of vegetation to facilitate the placement/replacement of a pole. 200
- (c) All other by Special Agreement Section 1.2