

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA JOINT POLE COMMITTEE

279 E. Arrow Hwy, Suite 104

San Dimas, CA 91773

Phone (909) 5993801

Fax (909) 599-3825

January 15th, 2013

A meeting of the **ad hoc Authorized Cost Elements Committee** took place on the above date, at 9:05 a.m. at the Committee office. Those in attendance were:

Mr. Scott Hunter – City of Los Angeles – DWP
Mr. Steve Brown – City of Los Angeles - DWP
Ms. Janet Chirrick- AT&T California
Mr. Josh Mathisen – AT&T California
Ms. Lynn Prescott – Verizon Wireless - (teleconference)
Ms. Tracey Province – Verizon Ca, MCI/ Comm/ Metro
Ms. Yvonne Johnson – AT&T Mobility
Ms. Shawn Henderson – T-Mobile USA
Mr. Jim Eastwood – Southern California Edison
Mr. Larry Chow – Southern California Edison
Ms. Jessica Pearson – Southern California Edison
Ms. Alicia Smith – Sprint Comm/Sprint-Nextel – (teleconference)
Ms. Paula Haney – NextG Networks/NewPath Networks/CA-CLEC,LLC
Ms. Maria Ortiz – XO Communications
Ms. Jennifer Navarro Yhap – Metro PCS Ca, LLC (teleconference)
Ms. Angela Pranata – Committee Staff
Mr. Kyle Levy- Committee Staff
Ms. Jenny Silva – Committee Staff

The meeting commenced at 9:05 a.m. The **prior month's minutes** were reviewed with no questions or comments from any members.

Mr. Hunter began the discussion with Agenda item 2: Ms. Pranata informed him that both Authorized Cost and Pole Prices would be discussed in the following day's Administrative Board Meeting.

Agenda Item 4: Engineered Steel Structure Pricing Methodology: Mr. Hunter felt this item should be tabled until next meeting since Mr. Chow had not yet arrived.

Agenda Item 5: Discussion of Item 12 – Mr. Hunter began the discussion with intrusive inspections. He began with asking Ms. Province if Communication was required to do intrusive inspections outside the fire threat zones. He pointed out that the description is extremely vague as to whether or not it's required. Ms. Province told him she would look into it and get back to

him at the next meeting. Some discussion followed. Mr. Mathisen who is AT&T's new Compliance Specialist stated that AT&T only performs intrusive inspections on solely owned poles. Mr. Chow then arrived and was asked by Mr. Hunter if he would have a problem getting rid of item 12A. Mr. Chow informed the committee Edison rarely used 12A and when it does it is with good reason. The issue with billing item 12A came from the cities of Riverside and Anaheim. After the last meeting the committee was supposed to follow up with Anaheim and Riverside to verify whether or not it is in their maintenance plan to charge for visual inspections. Ms. Pranata did email a copy of the maintenance plan for both Anaheim and Riverside but since neither city is present to discuss this and explain why they're charging so many 12A charges there is no sense in discussion this further. Mr. Hunter asked that this item be added to the Administrative Board Agenda for further discussion

Mr. Hunter then circled back to Agenda Item 4: Engineered Steel Structure Pricing Methodology - Mr. Chow did not have anything on paper so he asked that this item be tabled.

Mr. Chow then circled back to Authorized Cost and informed the committee that there is currently no Authorized Cost for Lowering. The Authorized Cost Matrix does not have an item for Lowering. Mr. Hunter agreed there should be a cost for LTD (5E) and this should include items 5b and 5d. Some discussion followed. Mr. Hunter then clarified the Lowering cost would cover lowering of the common area of the pole not the top portion. Some Discussion followed. Mr. Hunter then asked that the possibility of an item 5E for Lowering be added to discussion for the Administrative Board Meeting. Ms. Smith then noted this topic had been discussed previously and was moved to Routine. She then noted Ms. Hernandez wanted to be involved in this discussion. The committee agreed to discuss the subject but not make any final decisions without Ms. Hernandez's input.

Mr. Hunter then moved on to Agenda item 6: Transfer of Facilities – The question here is should Communication pay Power for facility transfers even if it's not to their specifications. Ms. Province feels communication shouldn't have to pay Power if the transfer is not to their specifications. Mr. Chow feels very strongly that his people should be compensated for their work especially since it will hold permanently. Mr. Chow thinks the other option here would be to have communication transfer their own equipment. Some discussion followed. Mr. Hunter asked where we should go from here. Ms. Province asked if the committee could revisit this topic next month after she has had time to speak with her construction crew to clarify a few things. The committee agreed to table this topic until next month.

Mr. Hunter then opened the floor to any miscellaneous items – There were none.

Review of Action Items:

- Ms. Pranata to add Item 12A to the Discussion board for the Admin meeting.

- Committee to research past Authorized Cost for Lowering.
- Ms. Pranata to add a note to the Administrative Board Agenda about the possibility of a 5E charge for Lowering.

The ad hoc meeting adjourned at 9:55 a.m. The next meeting is scheduled for February 19th, 2013.

Jenny Silva – Committee Staff

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA JOINT POLE COMMITTEE
279 E. Arrow Hwy, Suite 104
San Dimas, CA 91773
Phone (909) 5993801
Fax (909) 599-3825

February 19th, 2013

A meeting of the **ad hoc Authorized Cost Elements Committee** took place on the above date, at 2:43 p.m. at the Committee office. Those in attendance were:

Mr. Scott Hunter – City of Los Angeles – DWP
Mr. Steve Brown – City of Los Angeles - DWP
Ms. Janet Chirrick- AT&T California
Mr. Josh Mathisen – AT&T California
Ms. Lynn Prescott – Verizon Wireless
Ms. Tracey Province – Verizon Ca, MCI/ Comm/ Metro
Ms. Yvonne Johnson – AT&T Mobility
Ms. Shawn Henderson – T-Mobile USA
Mr. Jim Eastwood – Southern California Edison
Mr. Larry Chow – Southern California Edison
Ms. Jessica Pearson – Southern California Edison
Ms. Alicia Smith – Sprint Comm/Sprint-Nextel – (teleconference)
Ms. Lupe Hernandez - AT&T Local Services
Ms. Paula Haney – NextG Networks/NewPath Networks/CA-CLEC,LLC
Ms. Maria Ortiz – XO Communications
Ms. Angela Pranata – Committee Staff
Mr. Kyle Levy- Committee Staff

The meeting commenced at 2:43 a.m. The **prior month's minutes** were reviewed with no questions or comments from any members.

Ms. Chirrick began the discussion with Agenda item 2: Authorized Cost Prices 2013 – Item 5(E) – Lowering – This item was referred to routine and was sent back. The committee was to come up with a lowering cost. Ms. Hernandez asked if this was outside of the cut & kick. Mr. Hunter answered yes. This lowering cost would give a dollar amount to the “L” in LTD. He then asked Ms. Pranata if she was able to locate a previous. Ms. Pranata informed the committee she was unsuccessful in locating a cost for Lowering. Mr. Chow added that he recalls it being quite some time since there were a cost for Lowering. After some discussion the committee agreed to a \$200 lowering cost. The final decision to approve the \$200 lowering cost would be made by the Administrative Board at tomorrow's meeting. Ms. Chirrick then asked Ms. Pranata to add this item to discussion for tomorrow's meeting.

The committee then discussed adding a note to the Lowering Cost to help clarify the use of this charge. The committee agreed the note should read “Based on cut & lowering pole”. Mr. Hunter then moved on to Extended Truss Pricing – He informed the committee of a 5 year old pole that was overloaded and one option to fix the problem was to add an extended truss to pick up the class of the pole. Ms. Hernandez asked what an extended truss was exactly. She was not familiar with the term. Mr. Hunter explained it is a big piece of metal that wraps around the pole reinforcing it. It can go up to 20ft above ground. Ms. Hernandez then asked if Item 6 (Metal Truss) applies. Mr. Hunter explained section 6 only applied to a C-Truss not an extended truss. He then explained an Extended Truss would not be used often at all. It would only be used on newer poles to save the cost of replacing the pole. I would never be used on a 30 year old pole. Mr. Hunter informed the committee he would try to get photos of an extended truss as well as pricing from his contractors. For the time being he’ll be sure to use item 1.2 for any Extended Truss’.

Agenda Item 3: Engineered Steel Structure Pricing Methodology: Ms. Chirrick asked Mr. Chow if he had a chance to put pen to paper on this yet. Mr. Chow asked that this item be tabled until he could locate the final billed JPA that had the engineered steel pricing methodology.

Agenda Item 4: Item 1563: Transfer of Facilities - Ms. Chirrick asked Ms. Province if she had a chance to follow up with her construction crew on this. Ms. Province did not recall what she was supposed to clarify. Ms. Hernandez informed her she believed she wanted to get some clarification as to what constitutes a transfer. Mr. Chow informed the committee he remembers them all agreeing if the attachment was made with hardware it would constitute a transfer; if the attachment were roped up they would not be compensated. Ms. Province apologized for not having the needed information and agreed to have it before the next meeting.

Ms. Chirrick then opened the floor to any miscellaneous items – Ms. Province then brought up item 12A and the fact that the cost of item 12 A was included in items 12B, C and D. Should the committee remove the 12A cost from items 12B,C and D. Mr. Chow added if the committee did that the cost of item 12B would be \$6 which no one was okay with. After some discussion the committee agreed to leave items 12B, C and D as is.

Review of Action Items:

- Ms. Pranata to add the cost for item 5E to the Discussion board for the Admin meeting.
- Ms. Province to provide information on what constitutes a transfer.
- Mr. Chow to provide information on Engineered Steel Structure Pricing Methodology.

Authorized Cost – February 19th, 2013

The ad hoc meeting adjourned at 3:05 p.m. The next meeting is scheduled for March 19th, 2013.

Jenny Silva – Committee Staff

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA JOINT POLE COMMITTEE
279 E. Arrow Hwy, Suite 104
San Dimas, CA 91773
Phone (909) 5993801
Fax (909) 599-3825

March 19th, 2013

A meeting of the **ad hoc Authorized Cost Elements Committee** took place on the above date, at 2:43 p.m. at the Committee office. Those in attendance were:

Ms. Janet Chirrick- AT&T California
Mr. Scott Hunter – City of Los Angeles – DWP
Mr. Steve Brown – City of Los Angeles - DWP
Ms. Gail Dafun – AT&T California
Mr. Josh Mathisen – AT&T California
Ms. Lynn Prescott – Verizon Wireless
Ms. Tracey Province – Verizon Ca, MCI/ Comm/ Metro – (teleconference)
Mr. William E. Kearns – Verizon Ca
Mr. Larry Vail – Verizon Ca
Mr. James Bollier – Verizon Ca
Ms. Yvonne Johnson – AT&T Mobility
Ms. Shawn Henderson – T-Mobile USA
Mr. Jim Eastwood – Southern California Edison
Ms. Jessica Pearson – Southern California Edison
Ms. Alicia Smith – Sprint Comm/Sprint-Nextel – (teleconference)
Ms. Jennifer Navarro Yhap – Metro PCS Ca, LLC (teleconference)
Ms. Tina Simms – AT&T (teleconference)
Ms. Lupe Hernandez - AT&T Local Services
Ms. Paula Haney – NextG Networks/NewPath Networks/CA-CLEC, LLC
Ms. Maria Ortiz – XO Communications
Ms. Angela Pranata – Committee Staff
Mr. Kyle Levy- Committee Staff
Ms. Jenny Silva – Committee Staff

The meeting commenced at 2:43 p.m. The **prior month's minutes** were reviewed. Ms. Chirrick found one typo on the 2nd page, 1 paragraph. There were no further issues.

Ms. Chirrick began the discussion with **Agenda item 2: Authorized Cost Prices 2013 – Item 5(E) – Lowering** – This item will be voted on at tomorrow's Admin Board Meeting.

The committee moved on to **Extended Truss Pricing** – As agreed upon in the previous meeting Mr. Hunter provided photos of an Extended Truss for committee review. He explained an Extended Truss is attached to a C Truss, which can be up to 10 feet underground. It would be used as an alternative to changing out a newer pole that is over loaded. The Extended Truss can bring a Class 3 pole up to an H1. Mr. Hunter once again clarified this would only be used to eliminate changing out a newer pole. He also informed the committee he would be sure to use item 1.2 for billing.

Agenda Item 3: Engineered Steel Structure Pricing Methodology: Mr. Chow was not present. Item tabled.

Agenda Item 4: Item 1563: Transfer of Facilities - Ms. Chirrick asked Ms. Province if she had a chance to follow up with her construction crew on the topic of whether or not they agreed with Mr. Chow on what constitutes a permanent transfer. Ms. Province then turned it over to Mr. Vail who was present and had a few concerns. His main concern was whether or not the through bolt and clamp used for the transfer meet Verizon's specifications. He then asked the other Comm. Companies where they stood on the issue. Mr. Mathisen gave his input stating AT&T has had minimal issues with transfers meeting their specs. He pointed out the authorized cost for a transfer is a lot less expensive than sending a crew out to do the transfer. According to his numbers even if AT&T had to go back out and fix half the transfers they would still come out ahead in the end. Mr. Eastwood then added that 99% of the time Edison is using the exact same bolt when doing a transfer; if they are unable to use the original bolt they would then use a new bolt. Some discussion followed. Mr. Vail felt he would have to further discuss this issue with this people before making a decision. Item tabled.

Ms. Chirrick then opened the floor to any **Miscellaneous Items** – Mr. Brown then asked the committee whose responsibility it is to trim vegetation around Communication? The committee all agreed it would be Communications' responsibility. He then notified the committee DWP had been receiving 3rd party notifications of vegetation growing around communication. Ms. Haney felt DWP should send the notification back stating Power is clear.

Mr. Hunter then asked the committee if it's necessary to hand dig to remove a pole. Ms. Hernandez answered that on occasion it may be necessary. Mr. Hunter informed the committee of an issue he was having with one of the communication companies cancelling out item 9A with item 9C claiming if hand digging was necessary to install the pole then it would be necessary to remove it. After much discussion the committee agreed this was a training issue on the communication end.

Review of Action Items:

- Mr. Vail to report back with a final decision on what Verizon feels constitutes a transfer.
- Ms. Chirrick to address the training issue with Item 9.
- Mr. Chow to provide information on Engineered Steel Structure Pricing Methodology.

The ad hoc meeting adjourned at 1:55 p.m. The next meeting is scheduled for April 16th, 2013.

Jenny Silva – Committee Staff

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA JOINT POLE COMMITTEE
279 E. Arrow Hwy, Suite 104
San Dimas, CA 91773
Phone (909) 5993801
Fax (909) 599-3825

April 16th, 2013

A meeting of the **ad hoc Authorized Cost Elements Committee** took place on the above date, at 12:35 p.m. at the Committee office. Those in attendance were:

Mr. Scott Hunter – City of Los Angeles – DWP
Mr. Steve Brown – City of Los Angeles - DWP
Ms. Lynn Prescott – Verizon Wireless
Mr. James Bollier – Verizon California
Ms. Tracey Province – Verizon California
Ms. Yvonne Johnson – AT&T Mobility
Ms. Shawn Henderson – T-Mobile USA
Mr. Jim Eastwood – Southern California Edison
Ms. Jessica Pearson – Southern California Edison
Ms. Lupe Hernandez - AT&T Local Services
Ms. Paula Haney – Crown Castle NG West Inc. /NewPath Networks/CA-CLEC, LLC
Ms. Jaimie Collins-Crown Castle NG West Inc. /NewPath Networks/CA-CLEC, LLC
Ms. Debbie Wooten-Crown Castle NG West Inc./NewPath Networks/CA-CLEC, LLC
Ms. Maria Ortiz – XO Communications
Ms. Angela Pranata – Committee Staff
Mr. Kyle Levy- Committee Staff
Ms. Jenny Silva – Committee Staff

Those attending via teleconference were:

Ms. Alicia Smith – Sprint Comm/Sprint-Nextel –
Ms. Amy Parker - Crown Castle NG West Inc. /NewPath Networks/CA-CLEC, LLC
Ms. Tina Simms – AT&T
Mr. Josh Mathisen – AT&T California
Ms. Janet Chirrick – AT&T California
Ms. Jennifer Navarro Yhap – Metro PCS

The meeting commenced at 12:37 p.m. The **prior month's minutes** were reviewed with no corrections.

Ms. Chirrick began the discussion with **Agenda item 2: Extended Truss (LADWP 1/24/2013)**: Mr. Hunter informed the committee DWP would use

Section 1.2 for billing until the next year when the Authorized Costs are updated.

Agenda Item 3: Engineered Steel Structure Pricing Methodology: Mr. Chow was not present. Mr. Eastwood informed the committee Mr. Chow sent a pricing worksheet over to Mr. Levy. Mr. Levy will forward the worksheet to the committee for review. Item tabled.

Agenda Item 4: Item 1563: Transfer of Facilities – In Mr. Vail's absence, Ms. Province asked the item be tabled.

Ms. Chirrick then opened the floor to any **Miscellaneous Items** – There were no Misc Items.

Review of Action Items:

- Mr. Vail to report back on what Verizon will accept as a transfer.
- Ms. Chirrick to address the training issue with Item 9 as well as trimming brush.
- Mr. Levy to forward pricing worksheet on Engineered Steel Structure Pricing Methodology to the committee.

The ad hoc meeting adjourned at 12:45 p.m. The next meeting is scheduled for May 14th, 2013.

Jenny Silva – Committee Staff

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA JOINT POLE COMMITTEE
279 E. Arrow Hwy, Suite 104
San Dimas, CA 91773
Phone (909) 5993801
Fax (909) 599-3825

May 14th, 2013

A meeting of the **ad hoc Authorized Cost Elements Committee** took place on the above date, at 9:00a.m. at the Committee office. Those in attendance were:

Ms. Janet Chirrick – AT&T California
Mr. Scott Hunter – City of Los Angeles – DWP
Mr. Steve Brown – City of Los Angeles - DWP
Ms. Lynn Prescott – Verizon Wireless
Mr. James Bollier – Verizon California
Mr. William Kearns – Verizon California
Mr. Larry Vail – Verizon California
Mr. Josh Mathisen – AT&T California
Ms. Yvonne Johnson – AT&T Mobility
Mr. Rory Gandy – AT&T
Mr. Paul Smolarski – T-Mobile USA
Mr. Jim Eastwood – Southern California Edison
Ms. Jessica Pearson – Southern California Edison
Ms. Lupe Hernandez - AT&T Local Services
Ms. Paula Haney – Crown Castle NG West Inc. /NewPath Networks/CA-CLEC, LLC
Ms. Amy Lynn Parker - Crown Castle NG West Inc./NewPath Networks/CA-CLEC, LLC
Ms. Debbie Wooten-Crown Castle NG West Inc./NewPath Networks/CA-CLEC, LLC
Ms. Maria Ortiz – XO Communications
Ms. Angela Pranata – Committee Staff
Mr. Kyle Levy- Committee Staff
Ms. Jenny Silva – Committee Staff

Those attending via teleconference were:

Ms. Alicia Smith – Sprint Comm/Sprint-Nextel –
Ms. Tina Simms – AT&T
Ms. Jennifer Navarro Yhap – Metro PCS
Mr. Stephen Pebley -

The meeting commenced at 9:00a.m. The **prior month's minutes** were reviewed with no corrections.

Agenda Item 2: Engineered Steel Structure Pricing Methodology: Mr. Levy forwarded an example of Edison’s steel structure pricing methodology to all members for review. Mr. Eastwood went over the worksheet and explained pricing to the members in detail. He informed the members that each pole would be different; therefore they would be billed under Section 1.2. After some discussion Ms. Hernandez asked this item be tabled until Mr. Chow was present. Item tabled.

Agenda Item 4: Item 1563: Transfer of Facilities – Mr. Vail did not have an answer from Verizon on this. Mr. Eastwood stated Verizon management had agreed to pay the Transfer fees in a separate meeting with Mr. Chow. Mr. Vail was not made aware of this decision and asked the item be tabled until he could meet with Mr. Gonzalez from Edison to further discuss the matter. Item tabled.

Ms. Chirrick then opened the floor to any **Miscellaneous Items** – Mr. Eastwood informed the committee of Edison’s plans to increase the number of poles they’re replacing annually. The number is expected to rise from 10,000 pole replacements per year to 35,000 poles per year. Mr. Vail asked how Edison was determining which poles had to be replaced. Mr. Eastwood informed the committee the poles are being replaced according to code. Edison is currently in the process of organizing a pilot run with AT&T. Mr. Chow has been in contact with Mr. Mathisen and is currently in a meeting with AT&T about specifics on how to go about streamlining the JPA approval process. They’re talking about uploading the JPA’s directly to a cloud that only AT&T would have access to. The goal is to get the ball rolling as soon as possible. Edison has been extremely selective with the poles they are choosing to replace, staying away from poles with more than two owners at this time. Once this pilot run with AT&T is completed, Mr. Chow will be in contact with Verizon to set up a pilot in the San Joaquin area. Edison also plans to upload pole loading information on all replaced poles to their new pole loading program (SPIDA); the plan is to eventually have all 1.6 million poles uploaded. After much discussion on the matter, Mr. Hunter informed the committee of a Pole Replacement Guide the committee was working on not too long ago. He felt very strongly this Guide would help speed the process along. Some discussion followed. The committee then decided to give this topic an item number, Item # 1573: Pole Replacement Guide was created and moved to compliance.

Review of Action Items:

- Mr. Vail to report back on what Verizon will accept as a transfer.
- Add Item 1573: Pole Replacement Guide to Compliance for further dialog.

- Mr. Chow to clarify the exact purpose of the Steel Structure Pricing Methodology Worksheet.

The ad hoc meeting adjourned at 9:50 a.m. The next meeting is scheduled for June 18th, 2013.

Jenny Silva – Committee Staff

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA JOINT POLE COMMITTEE
279 E. Arrow Hwy, Suite 104
San Dimas, CA 91773
Phone (909) 5993801
Fax (909) 599-3825

June 18, 2013

A meeting of the **ad hoc Authorized Cost Elements Committee** took place on the above date, at 1:10 p.m. at the Committee office. Those in attendance were:

Ms. Janet Chirrick – AT&T California
Mr. Steve Brown – City of Los Angeles - DWP
Ms. Lynn Prescott – Verizon Wireless
Mr. William Kearns – Verizon California
Ms. Yvonne Johnson – AT&T Mobility
Mr. Jim Eastwood – Southern California Edison
Ms. Jessica Pearson – Southern California Edison
Ms. Lupe Hernandez - AT&T Local Services
Ms. Paula Haney – Crown Castle NG West Inc. /NewPath Networks/CA-CLEC, LLC
Ms. Debbie Wooten-Crown Castle NG West Inc./NewPath Networks/CA-CLEC, LLC
Ms. Maria Ortiz – XO Communications
Mr. Kyle Levy- Committee Staff
Ms. Jenny Silva – Committee Staff

Those attending via teleconference were:

Ms. Alicia Smith – Sprint Comm/Sprint-Nextel –
Ms. Tina Simms – AT&T
Ms. Jennifer Navarro Yhap – Metro PCS
Ms. Amy Lynn Parker - Crown Castle NG West Inc. /NewPath Networks/CA-CLEC, LLC
Ms. Jaimie Collins -Crown Castle NG West Inc./NewPath Networks/CA-CLEC, LLC
Ms. Jane Bibb - Crown Castle NG West Inc. /NewPath Networks/CA-CLEC, LLC

The meeting commenced at 1:10 p.m. The **prior month's minutes** were reviewed with no corrections.

Agenda Item 2: Engineered Steel Structure Pricing Methodology: Mr. Eastwood informed the committee he was able to speak with Mr. Chow about his intentions for the Engineered Steel Structure pricing spreadsheet he had forwarded to the committee for review. Mr. Chow explained his intentions were to show a breakdown of pricing so the committee would get an idea of the method used. Every member would have different pricing but the pricing method should stay the same. This would be billed under section 1.2. Ms.

Hernandez agreed this was a good idea. Ms. Chirrick then asked the item be closed and removed from the Agenda.

Agenda Item 4: Item 1563: Transfer of Facilities – Mr. Vail was not present. Item tabled.

Ms. Chirrick then opened the floor to any **Miscellaneous Items** – Ms. Chirrick then asked the committee when Pole Prices are due for the following year. Mr. Eastwood informed the committee the Pole Pricing process usually begins in September. Ms. Haney suggested the committee start in August to assure Pole Pricing is finalized by January 2014. Ms. Chirrick then asked Mr. Levy to create a new item for review of the Pole Price Matrix. Mr. Levy created item 1575: Review Pole Price Matrix. There were no further miscellaneous items.

Review of Action Items:

- Mr. Vail to report back on what Verizon will accept as a transfer.
- Mr. Levy to add new Item 1575: Review of Pole Price Matrix to the July Agenda.

The ad hoc meeting adjourned at 1:23 p.m. The next meeting is scheduled for July 23, 2013.

Jenny Silva – Committee Staff

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA JOINT POLE COMMITTEE
279 E. Arrow Hwy, Suite 104
San Dimas, CA 91773
Phone (909) 5993801
Fax (909) 599-3825

July 23, 2013

A meeting of the **ad hoc Authorized Cost Elements Committee** took place on the above date, at 10:05 a.m. at the Committee office. Those in attendance were:

Ms. Janet Chirrick – AT&T California
Mr. Josh Mathisen – AT&T California
Mr. Rory Gandy – AT&T Mobility
Mr. Jeffery Williams – City of Los Angeles - DWP
Mr. Steve Brown – City of Los Angeles - DWP
Mr. Larry Vail – Verizon California
Ms. Yvonne Johnson – AT&T Mobility
Mr. Jim Eastwood – Southern California Edison
Mr. Larry Chow – Southern California Edison
Ms. Jessica Pearson – Southern California Edison
Ms. Lupe Hernandez - AT&T Local Services
Ms. Paula Haney – Crown Castle NG West Inc. /NewPath Networks/CA-CLEC, LLC
Mr. Paul Smolarski – T-Mobile USA
Ms. Debbie Wooten-Crown Castle NG West Inc./NewPath Networks/CA-CLEC, LLC
Ms. Maria Ortiz – XO Communications
Ms. Darlene Russo – AT&T California
Ms. Virginia Becker – AT&T California
Mr. Albert Aleman – Verizon California
Ms. Angela Pranata – Committee Staff
Mr. Kyle Levy- Committee Staff
Ms. Jenny Silva – Committee Staff

Those attending via teleconference were:

Ms. Alicia Smith – Sprint Comm/Sprint-Nextel –
Ms. Tina Simms – AT&T
Ms. Jennifer Navarro Yhap – Metro PCS
Ms. Naneth Kasala – AT&T California
Ms. Tracey Province – Verizon California
Ms. Amy Lynn Parker - Crown Castle NG West Inc. /NewPath Networks/CA-CLEC, LLC
Ms. Jaimie Collins -Crown Castle NG West Inc./NewPath Networks/CA-CLEC, LLC

The meeting commenced at 10:05 a.m. The **prior month's minutes** were reviewed with no corrections.

Agenda Item 2: Item 1563: Transfer of Facilities (10/13/2012): Per Larry Vail, Verizon will not be participating in any transfer of facilities.

Agenda Item 3: Item 1575: Review of Pole Price Matrix and Definitions – Ms. Chirrick began with the review of Definitions. The word workman was replaced with worker throughout the entire document. Item 4, Digging and Erecting, Ms. Hernandez asked the committee how many workers were in an average crew. Mr. Brown informed her DWP uses a 5 sometimes 6 worker crew. Mr. Vail informed her Verizon uses a 4 worker crew. Mr. Mathisen then suggested the committee revise the definition to read “Average man-hours per company”, the committee agreed. Item 9, Engineering and Planning, Ms. Chirrick asked the committee how many hours they felt it would take for Engineering and Planning. Mr. Chow stated the committee agreed to a 1 hour limit for Engineering and Planning a few years back. There were no further questions or comments on the definitions.

Ms. Chirrick moved on to review The Matrix. She explained each tab and column. Ms. Pranata asked the committee's opinion on adding a note to the Matrix itself stating “Please submit to SCJPC by (Due Date)”. The committee agreed this would be a good idea. Upon review of the Wood Pole Matrix, Mr. Vail asked if anyone knew why the 1 hour limit was set for Engineering and Planning. Mr. Chow then explained his recollection as to why the 1 hour limit was placed. The committee originally added Engineering and Planning to cover the cost of having to get permits, it was not supposed to be for planning and design. The committee decided to go back and review the listed definition for Engineering and Planning, much discussion followed. The committee agreed 1 hour was not sufficient for Engineering and Planning; they then asked the 1 hour limit be removed. Ms. Chirrick asked committee staff to add this request to the discussion board for tomorrow's Administrative Board meeting. Ms. Pranata informed the committee the board would not be able to make a decision until the next scheduled meeting on August 20, 2013. Once the Board reaches a decision, Ms. Pranata will email the Matrix to all members no later than August 23, 2013. Ms. Chirrick suggested a due date of September 30, 2013, the committee agreed.

Ms. Chirrick then opened the floor to any **Miscellaneous Items** – Mr. Brown asked the committee why, out of items 5A through 5E, was 5C the only one that has to be itemized. Ms. Hernandez explained the reason was to save time and space when filling in the Form 2. Currently items 5A (Pulling), 5B (Transporting) and 5D (Disposal) are all combined and referred to as PTD. The Letter L refers to Item 5E (Lowering). Mr. Brown then asked if he were to put a note under Nature of Work stating “M cut 10ft”, would he still have to list item 5C. Ms. Pranata answered yes and explained the committee staff will not bill for item 5C if it is not listed on the Form 2. Ms. Pranata then suggested a few

changes to the Legend located on the bottom left corner of the Form 2. She felt adding the Item numbers in parenthesis next to the description would help avoid any confusion in the future. The committee agreed and approved Ms. Pranata to make these changes to the Form 2.

Review of Action Items:

- Ms. Pranata to update Legend on Form 2 Prelim & Final.
- Ms. Pranata to add “Please submit to SCJPC by (due date)” to the Pole Prices matrix excel file.
- Mr. Levy to add new definition for Engineering and Planning, with removal of the 1 hour limit, to the discussion board for tomorrow’s Administrative Board Meeting.

The ad hoc meeting adjourned at 10:35 a.m. The next meeting is scheduled for August 20, 2013.

Jenny Silva – Committee Staff

2014 DEFINITIONS OF ELEMENTS

1. **Pole Length** - Pole price elements are based upon the length and class of pole. For the purposes of the SCJPC weighted average use a Class 2 pole.
2. **Direct Labor (Loaded)** – The AVERAGE non-premium hourly rate of field work~~er~~men (shown in US dollars) that includes cost of associated benefits (i.e., medical, dental, vision, vacation, sick leave, etc.). The average non-premium hourly rate is the average between the high and the low hourly rate of the labor classification (or title) directly involved in setting the pole.
3. **Transport and Handling** – The AVERAGE amount of time (in hours and/or quarter-hour increments) directly related to the work~~er~~smen who load a pole onto a pole dolly, transport to the job site and return to the yard.
4. **Digging and Erecting** – The AVERAGE amount of man-hours of an average-sized crew for each company to excavate the pole hole, erect the pole, plumb the pole, backfill and compaction tamp the pole in place. The average total man-hours include setup and take down of the work operation. Excludes hand-digging cost.
5. **Total Direct Labor (Loaded)** – This is calculated by multiplying direct labor (loaded) rate in column #2 times the hours in column #3 and column #4 on the Pole Price Matrix Worksheet. The total direct labor (loaded) is calculated by then summing or adding the results of these calculations together.
6. **Material Cost (F.O.B.) Pole** – The AVERAGE material expense (in US dollars including sales tax and transportation costs from supplier) of a pole delivered to the first destination point (usually a purchaser’s construction yard).
7. **Supply Expense** – The average expense for storing the pole prior to use (shown in US dollars), i.e., cost of storage (inventory expense). This is usually derived or calculated as a percentage of material cost of the pole. If the Supply Expense is already included within another defined expense on the Pole Price Matrix expense categories (i.e., Direct Labor (Loaded) or General & Administrative), use the appropriate designator provided in the “NOTES” of the Pole Price Matrix Worksheet to show under which pricing element these costs are already included.
8. **Equipment Expense** – The expense (shown in US dollars) of the equipment directly used in the placement or replacement of a jointly owned pole. The expenses should include fuel, oil, and average maintenance costs. For example, a

combination digger/derrick vehicle, aerial personnel lift and direct supervision pickup truck is commonly associated with the pole replacement activity. If the Equipment Expense is already included within another defined expense on the Pole Price Matrix expense categories (i.e., Direct Labor (Loaded) or General & Administrative), use the appropriate designator provided in the “NOTES” of the Pole Price Matrix Worksheet to show under which pricing element these costs are already included.

9. **Engineering and Planning** – The average expense (shown in US dollars) for site survey, pole loading calculation and JPA document preparation for set/replacement of a jointly owned pole. This is calculated by labor hours for engineering and planning for the defined work steps multiplied by average direct (loaded) non-premium labor rate of the labor class (or title) doing the engineering and planning work. (That portion of work that is directly related to the joint pole replacement. This should not include work that is for the sole benefit of any member on record).
10. **General and Administrative** – The average expense for additional labor (shown in US dollars) for direct clerical support, direct supervision of the field crew and indirect supervision of the crew placing the pole. This is usually derived or calculated as a percentage of Direct Labor Rate.
11. **Total Cost** – The total cost to set/replace a jointly owned pole (shown in US dollars). The Total Cost is calculated by summing or adding together the dollar values shown in columns #5, #6, #7, #8, #9, and #10 of the Pole Price Matrix Worksheet.
12. **Total Number of Joint Poles Set** – This is the number of jointly owned poles set by a member utility in the previous calendar year. The SCJPC provides the total number.

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA JOINT POLE COMMITTEE
279 E. Arrow Hwy, Suite 104
San Dimas, CA 91773
Phone (909) 5993801
Fax (909) 599-3825

August 20, 2013

A meeting of the **ad hoc Authorized Cost Elements Committee** took place on the above date, at 1:05 p.m. at the Committee office. Those in attendance were:

Ms. Janet Chirrick – AT&T California
Mr. Scott Hunter – City of Los Angeles – DWP
Mr. Steve Brown – City of Los Angeles – DWP
Ms. Lynn Prescott – Verizon Wireless
Mr. William Kearns – Verizon California
Ms. Yvonne Johnson – AT&T Mobility
Ms. Lupe Hernandez - AT&T Local Services
Ms. Paula Haney – Crown Castle NG West Inc. /NewPath Networks/CA-CLEC, LLC
Mr. Paul Smolarski – T-Mobile USA
Ms. Maria Ortiz – XO Communications
Mr. Albert Aleman – Verizon California
Mr. Tim Clark – Southern California Edison
Mr. Bret Plaskey – Verizon California
Ms. Angela Pranata – Committee Staff
Mr. Kyle Levy- Committee Staff
Ms. Jenny Silva – Committee Staff

Those attending via teleconference were:

Ms. Alicia Smith – Sprint Comm/Sprint-Nextel –
Ms. Debbie Wooten-Crown Castle NG West Inc./NewPath Networks/CA-CLEC, LLC
Mr. Joe Serrato - Crown Castle NG West Inc. /NewPath Networks/CA-CLEC, LLC

The meeting commenced at 1:05 p.m. The **prior month's minutes** were reviewed with no corrections.

Agenda Item 2: Item 1576: Review of 2014 Pole Prices – Mr. Hunter asked the committee if they would have a problem with LADWP possibly having to add to or change their pricing at a later date. LADWP is currently in the process of getting pricing for a few other class poles. Ms. Hernandez and Ms. Chirrick both agreed there should not be a problem with adding or changing pricing later as long as LADWP is up front with the committee.

There is still some time before the pole prices for 2014 are due. The committee will revisit this item next month

Ms. Chirrick then opened the floor to any **Miscellaneous Items** – Mr. Brown asked the committee about the process to change new poles from wood to steel on existing pending JPA's. Currently a revised JPA is required for changing to other than wood poles. Mr. Brown asked the committee, if LADWP provided pole loading to show a wood pole would not suffice, would a Form 7 be acceptable. Ms. Hernandez expressed her concern with the 15 day response deadline; she did not feel this would be enough time. Mr. Hunter stated that LADWP would give the members additional time. After some discussion, the committee decided a Form 7 would be acceptable in place of a revised JPA as long as extra time is given and pole loading information is provided.

Review of Action Items:

- Committee to provide 2014 Pole Prices for **Item 1576: Review of 2014 Pole Prices.**
- Committee approval of wood to steel pole replacement via Form 7 and Pole Loading Information, in place of a revised JPA.

The ad hoc meeting adjourned at 1:18 p.m. The next meeting is scheduled for September 17, 2013.

Jenny Silva – Committee Staff

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA JOINT POLE COMMITTEE

279 E. Arrow Hwy, Suite 104

San Dimas, CA 91773

Phone (909) 5993801

Fax (909) 599-3825

September 17, 2013

A meeting of the **ad hoc Authorized Cost Elements Committee** took place on the above date, at 12:30 p.m. at the Committee office. Those in attendance were:

Mr. Scott Hunter – City of Los Angeles – DWP
Ms. Yvonne Johnson – AT&T Mobility
Ms. Lupe Hernandez – Teleport Communications America, LLC
Mr. Rory Gandy – AT&T Mobility
Ms. Paula Haney – Crown Castle NG West Inc. /NewPath Networks/CA-CLEC, LLC
Ms. Maria Ortiz – XO Communications
Mr. Albert Aleman – Verizon California
Mr. Larry Vail – Verizon California
Mr. Bret Plaskey – Verizon California
Ms. Angela Pranata – Committee Staff
Mr. Kyle Levy- Committee Staff
Ms. Jenny Silva – Committee Staff

Those attending via teleconference were:

Ms. Janet Chirrick – AT&T California
Mr. Josh Mathisen – AT&T California
Ms. Tina Simms – AT&T
Mr. William Kearns – Verizon California
Ms. Tracey Province – Verizon California
Ms. Alicia Smith – Sprint Comm/Sprint-Nextel –
Ms. Jaimie Collins – Crown Castle NG West Inc. /NewPath Networks/CA-CLEC, LLC
Mr. Joe Serrato – Crown Castle NG West Inc. /NewPath Networks/CA-CLEC, LLC
Ms. Crystal Corbin -
Mr. Stephen Pebley –

The meeting commenced at 12:31 p.m. The **prior month's minutes** were reviewed with no corrections.

Agenda Item 2: Item 1576: Review of 2014 Pole Prices – Ms. Pranata notified the committee as of today only one member has submitted their pole prices. Ms. Chirrick reminded the committee members all Pole Prices are due to Angela by September 30th.

Ms. Chirrick then opened the floor to any **Miscellaneous Items** – There were no misc items.

Review of Action Items:

- Committee to submit 2014 Pole Prices to Ms. Pranata by September 30, 2013.

The ad hoc meeting adjourned at 12:33 p.m. The next meeting is scheduled for October 15, 2013.

Jenny Silva – Committee Staff

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA JOINT POLE COMMITTEE
279 E. Arrow Hwy, Suite 104
San Dimas, CA 91773
Phone (909) 5993801
Fax (909) 599-3825

October 15, 2013

A meeting of the **ad hoc Authorized Cost Elements Committee** took place on the above date, at 9:30 a.m. at the Committee office. Those in attendance were:

Mr. Scott Hunter – City of Los Angeles – DWP
Mr. Steve Brown – City of Los Angeles – DWP
Ms. Lynn Prescott – Verizon Wireless
Mr. William Kearns – Verizon California
Mr. James Eastwood – Southern California Edison
Ms. Jessica Pearson – Southern California Edison
Ms. Yvonne Johnson – AT&T Mobility
Ms. Lupe Hernandez – Teleport Communications America, LLC
Ms. Paula Haney – Crown Castle NG West Inc. /NewPath Networks/CA-CLEC, LLC
Ms. Maria Ortiz – XO Communications
Mr. Albert Aleman – Verizon California
Mr. Larry Vail – Verizon California
Ms. Angela Pranata – Committee Staff
Mr. Kyle Levy – Committee Staff
Ms. Jenny Silva – Committee Staff

Those attending via teleconference were:

Ms. Janet Chirrick – AT&T California
Mr. Josh Mathisen – AT&T California
Ms. Tracey Province – Verizon California
Ms. Alicia Smith – Sprint Comm/Sprint-Nextel –
Ms. Jaimie Collins – Crown Castle NG West Inc. /NewPath Networks/CA-CLEC, LLC
Mr. Joe Serrato – Crown Castle NG West Inc. /NewPath Networks/CA-CLEC, LLC
Mr. Randall Starkey – Time Warner Cable
Ms. Jennifer Navarro Yhap – Metro PCS
Mr. Stephen Pebley – Frontier Communications

The meeting commenced at 9:30 a.m. The **prior month's minutes** were reviewed with no corrections.

Agenda Item 2: Item 1576: Review of 2014 Pole Prices – Ms. Pranata informed the committee she is waiting on LADWP, City of Pasadena and Verizon to submit their Pole Prices. Ms. Chirrick reminded the committee members all Pole Prices were due to Angela by September 30th and asked that they please submit their prices soon so they can be voted on and ready to go by January 1, 2014. The committee decided to go ahead and reviewed the pole price matrix. After some review Ms. Chirrick asked the committee if they felt it would be a good idea to use 2013 prices on all poles that did not have pricing submitted for 2014. The committee agreed this would be best. After reviewing prices for Southern California Edison, Ms. Pranata notified Mr. Eastwood of the missing information in the General & Admin field on all Poles 75’ and higher, she asked if this was correct. Mr. Eastwood did not know what that was. He notified the committee he would look into it and get back to Ms. Pranata. Ms. Pranata then notified Ms. Chirrick AT&T was missing pricing for 55’ poles. Ms. Chirrick asked Ms. Pranata to go ahead and use the 2013 prices.

Ms. Province then asked the committee if the matrix was based on a class 2 or class 3 poles. There seemed to be some inconsistencies in the prices submitted by Edison. Much discussion followed. Ms. Chirrick referenced the 2014 Definition of Pole Price Elements Document which informed the Committee of the following;

1. Pole Length- Pole price elements are based upon the length and class of pole. For the purpose of the SCJPC weighted average use Class 2 pole.

After some discussion the committee agreed it would be best if the second sentence under the definition for pole length were removed. Ms. Chirrick asked Ms. Pranata to add this topic to the discussion board for tomorrow's admin meeting.

Ms. Chirrick then opened the floor to any **Miscellaneous Items** – Ms. Haney brought up an issue she had been having with item 14-Failure to Final JPA Administrative Fee (per pole cost), B- Field Verification Only. According to our minutes this item was originally introduced in August 2012, but there is no language adjusted in our routine handbook. Unfortunately this has left room for confusion. Ms. Haney would like this to be a lesson to us all. In the future the committee has to make sure the Routine Handbook is updated before any new Authorized Costs are added.

Review of Action Items:

- Ms. Pranata to add review of 2014 Pole Price Matrix to discussion board for tomorrow's Admin Board meeting.
- LADWP, City of Pasadena and Verizon to submit 2014 Pole Prices to Ms. Pranata ASAP (deadline was September 30th 2013).
- Once Ms. Pranata has updated the 2014 Pole Price Matrix with pricing from missing members she will send a draft to all members.
- Moving forward the committee will be sure to update and review the Routine Handbook in detail before adding any new Authorized Costs.

Authorized Cost – October 15, 2013

The ad hoc meeting adjourned at 10:00 a.m. The next meeting is scheduled for November 19, 2013.

Jenny Silva – Committee Staff

2014 DEFINITIONS OF ELEMENTS

1. **Pole Length** - Pole price elements are based upon the length and class of pole.
2. **Direct Labor (Loaded)** – The AVERAGE non-premium hourly rate of field worker (shown in US dollars) that includes cost of associated benefits (i.e., medical, dental, vision, vacation, sick leave, etc.). The average non-premium hourly rate is the average between the high and the low hourly rate of the labor classification (or title) directly involved in setting the pole.
3. **Transport and Handling** – The AVERAGE amount of time (in hours and/or quarter-hour increments) directly related to the workers who load a pole onto a pole dolly, transport to the job site and return to the yard.
4. **Digging and Erecting** – The AVERAGE amount of man-hours of an average-sized crew for each company to excavate the pole hole, erect the pole, plumb the pole, backfill and compaction tamp the pole in place. The average total man-hours include setup and take down of the work operation. Excludes hand-digging cost.
5. **Total Direct Labor (Loaded)** – This is calculated by multiplying direct labor (loaded) rate in column #2 times the hours in column #3 and column #4 on the Pole Price Matrix Worksheet. The total direct labor (loaded) is calculated by then summing or adding the results of these calculations together.
6. **Material Cost (F.O.B.) Pole** – The AVERAGE material expense (in US dollars including sales tax and transportation costs from supplier) of a pole delivered to the first destination point (usually a purchaser’s construction yard).
7. **Supply Expense** – The average expense for storing the pole prior to use (shown in US dollars), i.e., cost of storage (inventory expense). This is usually derived or calculated as a percentage of material cost of the pole. If the Supply Expense is already included within another defined expense on the Pole Price Matrix expense categories (i.e., Direct Labor (Loaded) or General & Administrative), use the appropriate designator provided in the “NOTES” of the Pole Price Matrix Worksheet to show under which pricing element these costs are already included.
8. **Equipment Expense** – The expense (shown in US dollars) of the equipment directly used in the placement or replacement of a jointly owned pole. The expenses should include fuel, oil, and average maintenance costs. For example, a combination digger/derrick vehicle, aerial personnel lift and direct supervision

pickup truck is commonly associated with the pole replacement activity. If the Equipment Expense is already included within another defined expense on the Pole Price Matrix expense categories (i.e., Direct Labor (Loaded) or General & Administrative), use the appropriate designator provided in the “NOTES” of the Pole Price Matrix Worksheet to show under which pricing element these costs are already included.

9. **Engineering and Planning** – The average expense (shown in US dollars) for site survey, pole loading calculation and JPA document preparation for set/replacement of a jointly owned pole. This is calculated by labor hours for engineering and planning for the defined work steps multiplied by average direct (loaded) non-premium labor rate of the labor class (or title) doing the engineering and planning work. (That portion of work that is directly related to the joint pole replacement. This should not include work that is for the sole benefit of any member on record).
10. **General and Administrative** – The average expense for additional labor (shown in US dollars) for direct clerical support, direct supervision of the field crew and indirect supervision of the crew placing the pole. This is usually derived or calculated as a percentage of Direct Labor Rate.
11. **Total Cost** – The total cost to set/replace a jointly owned pole (shown in US dollars). The Total Cost is calculated by summing or adding together the dollar values shown in columns #5, #6, #7, #8, #9, and #10 of the Pole Price Matrix Worksheet.
12. **Total Number of Joint Poles Set** – This is the number of jointly owned poles set by a member utility in the previous calendar year. The SCJPC provides the total number.

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA JOINT POLE COMMITTEE

279 E. Arrow Hwy, Suite 104

San Dimas, CA 91773

Phone (909) 5993801

Fax (909) 599-3825

November 19, 2013

A meeting of the **ad hoc Authorized Cost Elements Committee** took place on the above date, at 10:35 a.m. at the Committee office. Those in attendance were:

Mr. Steve Brown – City of Los Angeles – DWP
Mr. James Eastwood – Southern California Edison
Ms. Jessica Pearson – Southern California Edison
Ms. Yvonne Johnson – AT&T Mobility
Ms. Lupe Hernandez – Teleport Communications America, LLC
Ms. Paula Haney – Crown Castle NG West Inc. /NewPath Networks/CA-CLEC, LLC
Ms. Maria Ortiz – XO Communications
Mr. Albert Aleman – Verizon California
Mr. Larry Vail – Verizon California
Mr. Rory Gandy – AT&T Mobility
Ms. Marina Birarova –
Mr. Joe Serrato - Crown Castle NG West Inc. /NewPath Networks/CA-CLEC, LLC
Mr. Dave Elston –
Ms. Michelle Arellanes – Southern California Edison
Ms. Laura Navar – Southern California Edison
Ms. Cindy Jacobs -
Ms. Angela Pranata – Committee Staff
Mr. Kyle Levy- Committee Staff
Ms. Jenny Silva – Committee Staff

Those attending via teleconference were:

Ms. Janet Chirrick – AT&T California
Mr. Josh Mathisen – AT&T California
Ms. Tracey Province – Verizon California
Ms. Alicia Smith – Sprint Comm/Sprint-Nextel –
Ms. Jaimie Collins – Crown Castle NG West Inc. /NewPath Networks/CA-CLEC, LLC
Ms. Jennifer Navarro Yhap – Metro PCS
Mr. Stephen Pebley – Frontier Communications
Ms. Tina Simms – AT&T

The meeting commenced at 10:35 a.m. The **prior month's minutes** were reviewed. Ms. Chirrick asked the committee if they knew what company

Stephen Pebley was with. Mr. Levy informed the committee he was with Frontier Communications. Correction made to the October minutes.

Agenda Item 2: Item 1576: Review of 2014 Pole Prices – Ms. Pranata informed the committee she has yet to receive 2014 pole prices from 10 companies. These are all small companies and since the due date was September 30th, the committee decided to move forward without their pole prices. The committee then moved on to review the 2014 pole price matrix as is. Ms. Pranata informed the committee Verizon has submitted multiple prices for several different pole classes. After some discussion on the topic Ms. Hernandez noted the problems this would present when purchasing into a new pole, the purchasing company would have to know the class of the pole. After some discussion the committee realized that Verizon was the only company that did this. Mr. Eastwood informed Verizon that Edison only uses 1 class pole for pricing in order to avoid issues on the back end with billing. Mr. Brown asked if it would be better to average the classes by pole height. Mr. Vail noted Verizon would be agreeable to averaging the class poles for each height. Ms. Pranata agreed to average this out later today and email it to the committee for review. Ms. Pranata also agreed to add this to the discussion board for tomorrow's Administrative Board Meeting. The committee agreed to hold off on finalizing the 2014 prices till January. Ms. Ortiz informed Ms. Pranata of an issue with the formula on page 2, pole heights 35' – 55'. Ms. Pranata agreed to have these corrected later today.

Ms. Chirrick then opened the floor to any **Miscellaneous Items** – there were none.

Review of Action Items:

- Ms. Pranata to add review of 2014 Pole Price Matrix to discussion board for tomorrow's Admin Board meeting.
- Ms. Pranata to update 2014 Pole Price Matrix with averages for Verizon as well as correct formula on page 2.

The ad hoc meeting adjourned at 11:10 a.m. The next meeting is scheduled for January 14, 2014.

Jenny Silva – Committee Staff