

## Southern California Joint Pole Committee

444 E. Huntington Drive, Suite 205  
Arcadia, CA 91006  
Phone (626) 294-0820  
Fax (626) 294-0872

September 15, 1999

A meeting of the **ad hoc Committee on Reorganization** took place on the above date at 1:00 p.m., at the Committee office. Those in attendance were:

Mr. Dan Lewis	GTE, California
Ms. Lupe Hernandez	Pacific Bell
Ms. Sherri Goetz	So. Calif. Edison Co.
Mr. Ernie Solorzano	So. Calif. Edison Co.
Mr. Sheldon Cox	City of Pasadena
Ms. Ellen Blunt	GTE, California
Ms. Pamela Gozzo	Sprint PCS
Mr. Ralph Hammond	Sprint PCS
Mr. Mike Bogner	Sprint PCS
Ms. Lynn Prescott	AirTouch Cellular
Ms. Jennie L. Corella	Committee Staff

Chairperson, Lupe Hernandez brought the meeting to order. The first topic of discussion was the Agreement Amendment. Copies of the Agreement Amendment, and the Corporate Resolution had been mailed to members for their review. They were asked to have their respective legal council review the documents as to their legality. The legal council for Sprint PCS had suggested adding verbiage to paragraph 1 to read "pursuant to valid corporate, **or other organizational** action." After discussion, the members decided not to act on the suggestion submitted from the legal council for Sprint PCS.

It was suggested to add a header on page three of the amendment agreement, which is the signature page. This due to the probability that most corporate officers would not sign a blank signature page. Ms. Corella will make the correction. The Agreement Amendment, and the Corporate Resolution will be voted on at the October Board meeting.

The next item on the agenda was *Item 1288: Equally Shared Expenses*. Ms. Hernandez stated that this item did not pertain to Re-Organization. Mr. Lewis remarked that this item was to be reviewed in reference to the rules of the Agreement, and the By-Laws. An interpretation of Section 8, of the Agreement was required. Mr. Solorzano stated that his issue pertained to line items budgeted for the incoming year. He understands that if an item is not

AIRTOUCH CELLULAR  
AT&T COMM. OF CALIF., INC.  
CITY OF ANAHEIM  
CITY OF AZUSA  
CITY OF BURBANK  
CITY OF COLTON  
CITY OF GLENDALE  
CITY OF LOMPOC

CITY OF LOS ANGELES  
CITY OF PASADENA  
CITY OF RIVERSIDE  
CITY OF VERNON  
GTE CALIFORNIA, INC.  
ICG TELECOM GROUP, INC.  
LOS ANGELES CELLULAR  
MCI METRO/ATS

MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS  
MEDIA ONE  
NEXTLINK CALIFORNIA  
PACIFIC BELL  
PACIFIC BELL MOBILE SERVICES  
SOUTHERN CALIF. EDISON CO.  
SOUTHERN CALIF. WATER CO.  
SPRINT PCS  
TCG LOS ANGELES

budgeted, then the expense is shared equally under special assessment. He would like each line item reviewed as to the need of adding it to the incoming budget. Mr. Torelli read Section 8 of the Agreement. He stated that Section 8; paragraph G addressed Special Assessments. A special assessment for an expense is an item that was not anticipated during budget planning. Mr. Torelli state that when planning the 2000 budget, not to exclude items that are almost certain to occur, but then it is decided not to include it in the budget. This would create a special assessment to the members. It was decided that this issue did not belong in Re-Org., and would be addressed at the October 5,1999 Special Operating Budget meeting. Item 1288 was removed from the agenda.

The final item on the agenda was City of Vernon. The issue is the method of billing. The question is should Vernon be billed as a member under the 1988 Agreement, or as a non-member. Ms. Hernandez stated that there was no need for action, because Vernon does not have a problem with it. Mr. Autrey stated that Vernon should be billed under the 1988 Agreement. Mr. Solorzano remembered that there was language built into the Agreement to handle members who requested extensions, however then decided to withdraw. The final decision was to review the Agreement as to the handling of extensions.

The meeting adjourned at 2:00 p.m., until further notice.

---

Jennie L. Corella, Office Manager