

Southern California Joint Pole Committee

279 E. Arrow Hwy. Suite 104
San Dimas, CA 91773
Phone (909) 599-3801

February 18, 2026

A regular meeting of the **Basic JPA Training ad hoc Committee** took place on the above date, at 11:56 a.m., via teleconference.

Those in attendance were:

Ms. Aarize Dizon	Crown Castle NG West Inc.
Mr. Jeremy Effinger	Crown Castle NG West Inc.
Mr. Lex Treepaisan	Frontier Communications
Mr. John Bacon	City of Los Angeles
Ms. Silvana Ray	Southern California Edison
Mr. Kristoffer Scheetz	Southern California Edison
Ms. Carla Stephen	Southern California Edison
Ms. Shelby Mulvehill	Southern California Edison
Ms. Marisol Bailey	Southern California Edison
Mr. Micheal Pearson	Southern California Edison
Mr. Samuel Picazo	Southern California Edison
Mr. Kevin Flores	Southern California Edison
Ms. Kay Black	AT&T California
Mr. Barry Consulter	AT&T California
Mr. Alvin Robielos	AT&T California
Mr. Aaron Cochran	AT&T California
Ms. Veronica Casanova	AT&T California
Mr. James Todaro	Verizon Wireless
Mr. Alex Parra	City of Riverside
Mr. Edward Castro	City of Glendale
Mr. Irvin Orzuna	City of Glendale
Ms. Maria Ortiz	MCI Metro ATS/MCI Telecommunications/XO Communications
Mr. Salvador Zambrano	City of Burbank
Ms. Yesenia Delgado	Time-Warner Cable
Ms. Brian Rehfield	Bear Valley Electric Service, Inc.
Mr. Damon Stuart	City of Banning
Mr. David Campo	City of Lompoc
Mr. Nick Van Stryk	City of Vernon (Petrelli Electric)
Ms. Alicia Smith	Sprint Nextel/Sprint Communications
Ms. Shawn Henderson	T-Mobile USA
Ms. Heidi Seropian	Extenet Systems
Ms. Tamara Zaki	Boldyn Networks US LLC
Ms. Patti Ringo	Sonic Telecom, LLC
Ms. Angela Pranata	Committee Staff

Chairperson, Ms. Dizon called the meeting to order at 11:56 a.m. by addressing the first item on the agenda, the review of **January 2026 meeting minutes**.

There were no questions or comments.

The second item on the agenda is the **Final Billing Information – Training Video**.

- Training Videos
 - Completed videos can be found under:
<https://scjpc.net/members/basic-jpa-training/>
- Explaining Final memos/errors through videos
 - Next recorded videos by SCJPC for review
 - Action Items from last month
 - Members to send examples to Ms. Allen two Fridays before the monthly meeting.
 - Ms. Allen is still waiting on SCE to send information on the anchors in order to record the anchor video.
 - Ms. Allen to record videos on arms, billing out of sequence, PTX, and possibly a video on anchors.
 - Ms. Allen to record videos on memo reasons.
 - Ms. Allen to record separate videos on invalid grade and space scenarios.
 - Mr. Bacon or any other member to send Ms. Allen an example of a PTX JPA if they could find one.
 - Ms. Allen to work on creating Billing out of Sequence video(s).
 - Members to write a note of explaining the history under the “Location and Nature or Work” for BOS JPAs.
 - Ms. Black and Ms. Allen will continue collaborating to streamline a new process for handling Billing Out of Sequence (BOS) JPAS.

Ms. Dizon opened the discussion by acknowledging recent communications and asked whether Ms. Black or Ms. Allen were prepared to present their work. Ms. Black explained that she and Ms. Allen developed an alternative approach to simplify the billing process when a final bill is issued out of sequence. She outlined the two options. One the biller bills the JPA without crediting back the JPAs that billed out of sequence; or two the biller follows the current detailed method, which requires crediting and re-billing multiple JPAs. Ms. Black shared her screen and described the example they used was an NG JPA that identified a Time Warner JPA billed out of sequence. In this scenario, the H JPA and the Time Warner JPA billed before NG. Ms. Allen had to rely on the dead record for NG, credit previous JPAs, and rebill them accordingly. Ms. Black noted the total payments after all adjustments and proposed an alternative do not adjust prior billings. Billers would only bill the incoming JPA using the active record, in the order received. She stated this approach still compensates members appropriately, and in the sample scenario members received more than under the current Basic JPA Training Committee – February 18, 2026 – Page 2 of 3

method. She emphasized the time savings; Ms. Allen spent 45 minutes on one pole using the current “dead record” method, versus 15 minutes using the simplified approach. Mr. Bacon stated that LADWP would need additional time to review the proposal. Ms. Ray stated the same for Edison. Ms. Allen then shared her screen to walk through her calculations. The original JPA included multiple poles, but she recreated it with a single pole (18.1G) for clarity. She billed using the dead record per the Routine Handbook, starting with the Time Warner JPA, then the H JPA, crediting back before rebilling all JPAs in date-sent order. She identified the H JPA (2016): billed by E, FTR, and TCA, NG JPA (2017): billed by E, FTR, TCA, and H, and then the TWN JPA (2022): billed by E, FTR, TCA, H, and NG. Ms. Allen stated that Ms. Black’s proposed process would not require crediting and rebilling out of sequence JPAs. Instead, H would incur a penalty for not finalizing timely. She clarified the differences between the current dead record method and the proposed simplified method. She reiterated the time comparison of 45 minutes versus 15 minutes per pole and expressed concern that there is insufficient data to determine whether the simplified method is the correct long term solution. SCJPC has received only four or five JPAs involving Section 4.0, making trend evaluation difficult. Ms. Black responded that AT&T California has a substantial backlog of complex JPAs that have been deferred to a separate queue. She expects that more examples will surface over time, and other members may be in similar situations. She suggested tabling the topic until additional examples are available. Ms. Black concluded by stating she will send all materials to Ms. Pranata for distribution so members can review the detailed calculations and comparisons.

There were no further questions or comments.

The third item on the agenda is the **Interpretation of Billing (standing agenda item – 11/16/2022)**

There were none.

Miscellaneous Items

Ms. Dizon asked if there were any other miscellaneous items.

There were none.

Review of Action Items:

- Ms. Black will email the bill out of sequence sample to Ms. Pranata. Upon receipt, Ms. Pranata will forward it to the committee for review.

The meeting adjourned at 12:10 p.m. until March 18, 2026.

Transcribed by Ms. Kathleen Allen – Committee Staff

Pole 4258164E ACTIVE RECORD

Member	NG365242-11-26-R01 USING ACTIVE RECORD	H-A00FA4G	TWNZ6-3511967	*** Total each Member was paid
E	236	246	260	742
FTR	143	150	187	480
HLA	143			143
TCA	143	150	187	480
NG	* 75			75
TWN		150		** 150

* = TWN pays NG on NG FB because they are BOS.

** = H pays TWC 150 on H-A00FA4G FB as penalty for not billing timely.

*** = NG will pay Members a higher purchase price when the ACTIVE pole record us used as a penalty for not billing timely.

BILLERS TOTAL TIME SPENT TO BILL this ONE POLE using just the new NG FB that uses the active record.

15 MINS

Pole 4258164E DEAD RECORD

Member	NG365242-11-26-R01 USING DEAD RECORD	Total each Member was paid
E	113+100+236	449
FTR	(112)+100+143	131
HLA	75+143	218
TCA	(112)+100+143	131
NG	75	75
TWN	-150	-150

BILLERS TOTAL TIME SPENT TO BILL when the Biller had to research and pull 2 other FBs to recalculate in order to credit /charge again for the one pole.

45 MINS